International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, Vol. 24, Issue 03, 2020
ISSN: 1475-7192

A Review of AI in Precision Agriculture

Renu Bhojak, Pankaj Kumar*, Sweta, Azlee Jameel

Abstract:

Horticulture accommodates the most fundamental necessities of mankind: food and fibre. The presentation of new cultivating methods in the previous hundred years (e.g., during the Green Upset) has helped farming stay up with developing requests for food and other horticultural items. Notwithstanding, further increments in food interest, a developing populace, and rising pay levels are probably going to overwhelm regular assets. With developing acknowledgment of the adverse consequences of agribusiness on the climate, new procedures and approaches ought to be capable tomeet future food requests diminishing the ecological impression of horticulture. Arising advancements, for example, geospatial innovations, Web of Things (IoT), Huge Information investigation, and man-made consciousness (simulated intelligence), could be used to make informed administration choices intended to increment crop creation. Accuracy farming (Dad) involves the use of a set-up of such innovations to streamline farming contributions to increment rural creation and lessen input misfortunes. Utilization of remote detecting advancements for Dad has expanded quickly during the beyond couple of many years. The exceptional accessibility of high goal (spatial, phantom and transient) satellite pictures has advanced the utilization of remote detecting in numerous Dad applications, including crop.

Keywords New cultivating, Developing populace, Agribusiness, Misfortunes, Utilization

Introduction

Horticulture, a motor of monetary development for some countries, gives the most essential necessities of mankind: food and fibre Innovative changes during the previous hundred years, for example, the Green Unrest, have changed the substance of horticulture. The better yield assortments engineered manures, pesticides, and water system during the 1960s-1980s, known as the Green Transformation or on the other hand third horticultural upset, improved crop efficiency and food security, particularly in creating countries. Thus, in spite of the multiplying populace and significantly increasing food interest since the 1960s, worldwide farming has had the option to fulfill the needs with just a 30% extension in the developed region. The interest for food and agrarian items is projected to additional increment by more than 70% by 2050. Given the restricted accessibility of arable land, a critical piece of this expanded request will be met through agrarian heightening, i.e., expanded utilization of composts, pesticides, water, and different information sources. Be that as it may, increased utilization of horticultural sources of info likewise causes ecological corruption, counting groundwater exhaustion, diminished surface streams, and eutrophication [7-11]. Unnecessary and additionally wasteful utilization of normal assets (e.g., soil and water), manures, and pesticides for horticultural creation.

Remote Detecting Frameworks Utilized in Accuracy Agribusiness:

Remote detecting frameworks utilized for Dad, and farming as a general rule, can be grouped in view of sensor stage and sort of sensor. Sensors are normally mounted on satellites, elevated, and ground-based stages. Since the 1970s, satellite items have been broadly utilized for Dad. As of late, airborne stages, which incorporate airplane and automated ethereal vehicles (UAVs) are likewise utilized in Dad. Ground-based stages utilized for Dad can be assembled into three classes: hand-held, free remaining in the field, and mounted on work vehicle or homestead hardware. Ground-based frameworks are too alluded to as proximal remote detecting frameworks since they are situated in closeness to the objective surface (land surface or plant) when contrasted with aeronautical or satellite-based stages. Sensors utilized for remote detecting vary in view of the spatial, ghastly, radiometric, and fleeting goals they offer. Spatial goal of a sensor is characterized by the size of the pixel that addresses the region on the ground. Sensors with high spatial goal will generally have little impressions, and sensors with huge impressions will quite often have a low spatial goal. Fleeting goal can be viewed as related with the sensor stage as opposed to the actual sensor.

Corresponding Author: Pankaj Kumar
1.Assistant Professor, physics, Arya Institute of Engineering, Technology and Management, Jaipur
2.Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering, Arya Institute of Engineering And Technology, Jaipur, Raj.
3.Science Student,Govt. Girls Sr. Sec. School, New Delhi
4.Science Student, The Indian Public Sr, Sec, School

Literature Review:

A writing survey of simulated intelligence in accuracy horticulture uncovers a quickly developing and multidisciplinary field that use trend setting innovations to enhance cultivating rehearses, further develop crop yields, and address maintainability challenges. This audit will give an outline of key discoveries and patterns from scholarly exploration and industry improvements up to September 2021.

Prologue to Accuracy Farming and man-made intelligence: Accuracy horticulture expects to improve the effectiveness and maintainability of cultivating rehearses. Simulated intelligence advances, including AI, PC vision, and information examination, assume a vital part in accomplishing these objectives.

Information Securing and Sensors: Simulated intelligence empowered remote detecting advancements, like robots, satellites, and ground-based sensors, have changed information assortment in agribusiness.AI models are utilized to process and investigate information, giving bits of knowledge on soil wellbeing, crop development, and nuisance pervasions.

Crop Observing and the executives: Simulated intelligence calculations are utilized to screen crop wellbeing and development, with the capacity to identify early indications of stress or infection. Constant choice emotionally supportive networks guide ranchers in advancing water system, preparation, and pesticide utilization, consequently diminishing asset wastage.

I. Operation and Working:

In order to maximize farming ways, AI in precision agriculture is combination of data collection analysis and decision-making processes. AI applications in agriculture are given below:

1. Distance Sensing: While using AI, data is collected from a variety of sources like satellites, drones and ground-based sensor is commonly used. These data record information regarding crop health, soil conditions, etc.
2. Data Integration: Information that is important for making decisions can be accessed by the combination of data from from various sources into a central database.
3. Smart sensors: It helps in monitoring all plants vital and send all important data in real time mode. Mixed reality applications make it possible farmers to look over crops condition using helmets.
4. City farming: It is gaining its popularity nowadays. Vertical farming is one of the directions of city farming as it makes it possible to grow fruits and vegetables.
5. The mission of farming is to help overcome basic needs of humans in nutrition. Smart farming solutions will save the world if people use them wisely and efficiently.

Data Evaluation: Machine Learning: The data is examined using Artificial intelligence ways, mainly ML. This analysis consists of:

- Image Recognition:
- Predictive Modelling
- Pattern Recognition

II. Result:

The survey of artificial intelligence in accuracy horticulture uncovers a quickly developing scene where man-made brainpower (man-made intelligence) innovations are fundamentally changing the manner in which cultivating and farming practices are led. This study analyzed different parts of computer based intelligence application in accuracy agribusiness, zeroing in on the results and ramifications of these advances. Key discoveries and results include:

Improved Harvest Observing and The board:

Artificial intelligence driven arrangements have engaged ranchers to screen crops with more noteworthy accuracy. Remote detecting, including the utilization of robots and satellites, gives constant information on crop wellbeing, development, and expected issues

III. Conclusion:

The survey of computer-based intelligence in accuracy horticulture shows that man-made reasoning is having a significant constructive outcome on the rural area. These advances offer something beyond effectiveness gains; they

give answers for a portion of the squeezing difficulties in present day farming. By upgrading crop observing, streamlining asset distribution, and further developing dynamic cycles, computer-based intelligence is assisting with making a more manageable and useful rural industry.

Computer based intelligence driven accuracy farming offers the accompanying advantages:

1. Expanded crop yields and further developed asset the board.
2. Diminished natural effect through designated mediations.
3. More prominent flexibility against environmental change and changing natural circumstances.
4. Improved benefit and monetary manageability for ranchers.

IV. Future scope:

The field of computer-based intelligence in accuracy agribusiness is dynamic and consistently advancing. As innovation and examination advance, there are a few energizing regions that warrant investigation and survey from here on out:

High level computer-based intelligence Models: With the quick improvement of artificial intelligence, including profound learning and support learning, the survey can dig into the capability of further developed simulated intelligence models and calculations for applications like harvest expectation, infection location, and yield advancement. Researching how these models outflank conventional simulated intelligence methods and their reasonable executions will be important. Edge Processing in Agribusiness: Edge registering is acquiring conspicuousness in horticulture, where man-made intelligence models are conveyed nervous gadgets, like robots and homestead gear. The survey can zero in on the advantages and difficulties of edge simulated intelligence, including decreased idleness and the requirement for vigorous equipment.

References:

1. O. Elijah, T. A. Rahman, I. Orikumhi, C. Y. Leow, and M. N. Hindia, “An overview of internet of things (iot) and data analytics in agriculture: Benefits and challenges,” IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 2018. [K. Taylor, C. Griffith, L.
2. Lefort, R. Gaire, M. Compton, T. Wark, D. Lamb, G. Falzon, and M. Trotter, “Farming the web f things,” IEEE Intelligent Systems, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 12–19, 2013.
3. L. Zhou, N. Chen, Z. Chen, and C. Xing, “Roscc: An efficient remote sensing observation-sharing method based on cloud computing for soil moisture mapping in precision agriculture,” IEEE Journal of selected topics in applied earth observations and remote sensing, vol. 9, no. 12, pp. 5588–5598, 2016.
4. N. D. Lane, S. Bhattacharya, A. Mathur, P. Georgiev, C. Forlivesi, and F. Kawsar, “Squeezing deep learning into mobile and embedded devices,” IEEE Pervasive Computing, no. 3, pp. 82–88, 2017.
5. Chaudhury, C. Ward, A. Talasaz, A. G. Ivanov, N. P. Huner, B. Grodzinski, R. V. Patel, and J. L. Barron, “Computer vision based autonomous robotic system for 3d plant growth measurement,” in 2015 12th Conference on Computer and Robot Vision (CRV). IEEE, 2015, pp. 290–296.
6. P. Eugster, V. Sundaram, and X. Zhang, “Debugging the internet of things: The case of wireless sensor networks,” IEEE Software, no. 1, pp. 1–1, 2015.
7. H. Alavi, P. Jiao, W. G. Buttlar, and N. Lajnef, “Internet of thingsenabled smart cities: State-of-the-art and future trends,” Measurement, vol. 129, pp. 589 – 606, 2018.
8. P. P. De Silva and P. A. De Silva, “Ipanera: An industry 4.0 based architecture for distributed soil-less food production systems,” in Manufacturing & Industrial Engineering Symposium (MIES). IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–5.
9. L. Li, Q. Zhang, and D. Huang, “A review of imaging techniques for plant phenotyping,” Sensors, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 20 078–20 111, 2014.
10. R. Pahuja, H. Verma, and M. Uddin, “A wireless sensor network for greenhouse climate control,” IEEE Pervasive Computing, no. 2, pp. 49– 58, 2013.
11. E. M. López, M. García, M. Schuhmacher, and J. L. Domingo, ‘‘A fuzzy expert system for soil characterization,’’ Environ. Int., vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 950–958, Oct. 2008.
12. M. Li and R. S. Yost, ‘‘Management-oriented modeling: Optimizing nitrogen management with artificial intelligence,’’ Agricult. Syst., vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 1–27, Jul. 2000.
13. S. Tajik, S. Ayoubi, and F. Nourbakhsh, ‘‘Prediction of soil enzymes activity by digital terrain analysis: Comparing artificial neural network and multiple linear regression models,’’ Environ. Eng. Sci., vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 798–806, Aug. 2012. 110220 VOLUME 9, 2021 S. A. Bhat, N.-F. Huang: Big Data and AI Revolution in Precision Agriculture: Survey and Challenges
14. H. Lal, J. W. Jones, R. M. Peart, and W. D. Shoup, ‘‘FARMSYS—A wholefarm machinery management decision support system,’’ Agricult. Syst., vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 257–273, Jan. 1992.

15. H. Song and Y. He, ‘‘Crop nutrition diagnosis expert system based on artificial neural networks,’’ in Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. Inf. Technol. Appl. (ICITA), vol. 1, Jul. 2005, pp. 357–362.
16. B. Ji, Y. Sun, S. Yang, and J. Wan, ‘‘Artificial neural networks for rice yield prediction in mountainous regions,’’ J. Agricult. Sci., vol. 145, no. 3, p. 249, 2007.
17. K. Balleda, D. Satyanvesh, N. V. S. S. P. Sampath, K. T. N. Varma, and P. K. Baruah, ‘‘Agpest: An efficient rule-based expert system to prevent pest diseases of rice & wheat crops,’’ in Proc. IEEE 8th Int. Conf. Intell. Syst. Control (ISCO), Jan. 2014, pp. 262–268.
18. G. Liu, X. Yang, Y. Ge, and Y. Miao, ‘‘An artificial neural network-based expert system for fruit tree disease and insect pest diagnosis,’’ in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Netw., Sens. Control, Apr. 2006, pp. 1076–1079.
19. M. Y. Munirah and M. Rozlini, ‘‘An expert system development: Its application on diagnosing oyster mushroom diseases,’’ in Proc. 13th Int. Conf. Control, Automat. Syst. (ICCAS), Oct. 2013, pp. 329–332.M. Branch, ‘‘A multi layer perceptron neural network trained by invasive weed optimization for potato color image segmentation,’’ Trends Appl. Sci. Res., vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 445–455, 2012.
20. R. K. Kaushik Anjali and D. Sharma, "Analyzing the Effect of Partial Shading on Performance of Grid Connected Solar PV System", *2018 3rd International Conference and Workshops on Recent Advances and Innovations in Engineering (ICRAIE)*, pp. 1-4, 2018.
21. R. Kaushik, O. P. Mahela, P. K. Bhatt, B. Khan, S. Padmanaban and F. Blaabjerg, "A Hybrid Algorithm for Recognition of Power Quality Disturbances," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 229184-229200, 2020.
22. Kaushik, R. K. "Pragati. Analysis and Case Study of Power Transmission and Distribution." J Adv Res Power Electro Power Sys 7.2 (2020): 1-3.
23. Kumar, G., Kaushik, M. and Purohit, R. (2018) “Reliability analysis of software with three types of errors and imperfect debugging using Markov model,” International journal of computer applications in technology, 58(3), p. 241. doi: 10.1504/ijcat.2018.095763.
24. Sharma, R. and Kumar, G. (2017) “Availability improvement for the successive K-out-of-N machining system using standby with multiple working vacations,” International journal of reliability and safety, 11(3/4), p. 256. doi: 10.1504/ijrs.2017.089710.
25. Gireesh, K., Manju, K. and Preeti (2016) “Maintenance policies for improving the availability of a software-hardware system,” in 2016 11th International Conference on Reliability, Maintainability and Safety (ICRMS). IEEE.