Common Fixed Point Theorems Of Gregus Type For Compatible Mappings In Banach Spaces

¹*Neelmani Gupta

Abstract

In this paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem of Gregus type for compatible mappings in Banach space. .Our work generalizes several earlier results on fixed points in this direction.

Key Words: Common fixed point, compatible mappings, weakly compatible mappings, best approximant.

AMS Subject classification (2000): Primary 54H25, Secondary 47H10

1 INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES:

The following definitions and results will be used in this paper.

In [8], Jungck defined the concept of compatibility of two mappings, which includes weakly commuting mappings (Sessa [15]) as proper sub class.

1.1 Definition:

Let *X* be a normed linear space and let $S,T: X \to X$ be two mappings *S* and *T* are said to be compatible if, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in *X* such that $Sx_n, Tx_n \to x \in X$, then

$$\|STx_n - TSx_n\| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

In (1998), Jungck and Rhoades[10] introduced the notion of weakly compatible maps and showed that compatible maps are weakly compatible but converse need not be true.

1.2 Definition:

A pair of S and T is called weakly compatible pair if they commute at coincidence points.

1.3 Example:

Consider X = [0,2] with the usual metric *d*. Define mappings $S, T: X \to X$ by

Sx = 0 if x = 0, Sx = 0.15 if x > 0

Tx = 0 if x = 0, Tx = 0.3 if $0 < x \le 0.5$, Tx = x - 0.35 if x > 0.5

Since *S* and *T* commute at coincidence point $0 \in X$, so *S* and *T* are weakly compatible maps to see that *S* and *T* are not

compatible, let us consider a decreasing sequence $\{x_n\}$ where $x_n = 0.5 + \left(\frac{1}{n}\right), n = 1, 2, ...$ Then $Sx_n \to 0.15, Tx_n \to 0.15$

but $STx_n \rightarrow 0.15, TSx_n \rightarrow 0.3$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus weakly compatible compatible maps need not be compatible.

2. MAIN RESULTS:

We prove a common fixed point theorem of Gregus type for compatible mappings in Banach space. Our Theorem is improvement of results of Gregus[6], Jungck [9], Sharma and Deshpande [17].

Throughout this section, we assume that X is Banach space and C is non empty closed convex subset of X. Now, we prove our main theorem.

2.1 Theorem :

Let *S* and *T* be compatible mappings of *C* into itself satisfying the following condition: $||Tx - Ty|| \le a ||Sx - Sy|| + b \max \{ ||Tx - Sx||, ||Ty - Sy|| \}$

$$+ c \max\{\|Sx - Sy\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \|Ty - Sy\|\}$$

¹*Department of Mathematics, Govt. Dungar College, Bikaner (Raj.)-334003, Email: neelmanigupta04@gmail.com

+
$$d \max\{\|Sx - Sy\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \|Ty - Sy\|, \frac{1}{2}(\|Ty - Sx\| + \|Tx - Sy\|)\}$$
(1)

for all *x*, *y* in *C* where *a*,*b*,*c*,*d*>0, a+b+c+d=1 and $a+c+d<\sqrt{a}$ if *S* is linear and continuous in *C* and $T(C) \subset S(C)$. Then *T* and *S* have a unique common fixed point *z* in *C* and *T* is continuous at *z*.

Proof : Consider $x = x_0$ be an arbitrary point in *C* and choose points x_1 , x_2 and x_3 in *C* such that $Sx_1 = Tx$, $Sx_2 = Tx_1$, $Sx_3 = Tx_2$

This can be done since $T(C) \subset S(C)$. for r = 1,2,3,... (1) leads to $||Tx_r - Sx_r|| = ||Tx_r - Tx_{r-1}||$ $\leq a||Sx_r - Sx_{r-1}|| + b \max\{||Tx_r - Sx_r||, ||Tx_{r-1} - Sx_{r-1}||\}$ $+ c \max\{||Sx_r - Sx_{r-1}||, ||Tx_r - Sx_r||, ||Tx_{r-1} - Sx_{r-1}||\}$ $+ d \max\{||Sx_r - Sx_{r-1}||, ||Tx_r - Sx_r||, ||Tx_{r-1} - Sx_{r-1}||, \frac{1}{2}(||Tx_{r-1} - Sx_r|| + ||Tx_r - Sx_{r-1}||)\}$

which shows that, since

$$||Sx_{r} - Sx_{r-1}|| = ||Tx_{r-1} - Sx_{r-1}||,$$

we have, for *r* = 1,2,3,...

$$||Tx_r - Sx_r|| \le ||Tx_{r-1} - Sx_{r-1}||$$
.(2)

From (1) and (2) we have

$$\begin{split} \|Tx_{2} - Sx_{1}\| &= \|Tx_{2} - Tx\| \\ &\leq a\|Sx_{2} - Sx\| + b\max\{\|Tx_{2} - Sx_{2}\|, \|Tx - Sx\|\} \\ &+ c\max\{\|Sx_{2} - Sx\|, \|Tx_{2} - Sx_{2}\|, \|Tx - Sx\|\} \\ &+ d\max\{\|Sx_{2} - Sx\|, \|Tx_{2} - Sx_{2}\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \frac{1}{2}(\|Tx - Sx_{2}\| + \|Tx_{2} - Sx\|)\} \\ &\leq a\|Tx_{1} - Sx\| + b\max\{\|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tx - Sx\|\} \\ &+ c\max\{\|Tx_{1} - Sx\| + b\max\{\|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tx - Sx\|\} \\ &+ d\max\{\|Tx_{1} - Sx\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tx - Sx\|\} \\ &+ d\max\{\|Tx_{1} - Sx\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \frac{1}{2}(\|Tx - Tx_{1}\| + \|Tx_{2} - Sx\|)\} \\ &\leq 2a\|Tx - Sx\| + b\|Tx - Sx\| + 2c\|Tx - Sx\| + d\max\{\|Tx_{1} - Sx\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &\frac{1}{2}(\|Tx - Sx_{1}\| + \|Tx_{1} - Sx_{1}\| + \|Tx_{2} - Sx_{2}\| + \|Sx_{2} - Sx\|)\} \\ &\leq 2a\|Tx - Sx\| + b\|Tx - Sx\| + 2c\|Tx - Sx\| + d\max\{\|Tx_{1} - Sx\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &\frac{1}{2}(\|Tx - Sx\| + b\|Tx - Sx\| + 2c\|Tx - Sx\| + d\max\{\|Tx_{1} - Sx\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &\frac{1}{2}(\|Tx - Sx\| + b\|Tx - Sx\| + 2c\|Tx - Sx\| + d\max\{\|Tx_{1} - Sx\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &\frac{1}{2}(\|Tx - Sx\| + b\|Tx - Sx\| + \|Tx_{1} - Sx\|)\} \\ &\leq 2a\|Tx - Sx\| + b\|Tx - Sx\| + b\|Tx - Sx\| + 2c\|Tx - Sx\| + 2d\|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &\frac{1}{2}(\|Tx - Sx\| + \|Tx - Sx\| + \|Tx_{1} - Sx\|)\} \\ &\leq 2a\|Tx - Sx\| + b\|Tx - Sx\| + b\|Tx - Sx\| + 2c\|Tx - Sx\| + 2d\|Tx - Sx\| \\ &\leq (2a + 2c + 2d) + b\}\|Tx - Sx\| + 2d\|Tx - Sx\| \\ &\leq \{(2a + 2c + 2d) + b\}\|Tx - Sx\| + \dots(3) \end{split}$$

We shall now define a point

$$z = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)x_2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)x_3$$

Since *C* is convex, $z \in C$ and *S* being linear

$$Sz = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)Sx_2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)Sx_3$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)Tx_1 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)Tx_2 \qquad \dots (4)$$

It follows from (2), (3) and (4) that

$$\|Sz - Sx_1\| = \left\| \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) Tx_1 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) Tx_2 - Sx_1 \right\|$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \|Tx_1 - Sx_1\| + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \|Tx_2 - Sx_1\|$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \|Tx - Sx\| + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \{(2a + 2c + 2d) + b\} \|Tx - Sx\|$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \{1 + (2a + 2c + 2d) + b\} \|Tx - Sx\| \qquad \dots (5)$$

By (2) and (4), we have

$$\begin{split} \|Sz - Sx_2\| &= \left\| \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) Tx_1 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) Tx_2 - Sx_2 \right\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \|Tx_2 - Sx_2\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \|Tx - Sx\|. \qquad \dots (6) \end{split}$$

By (1) and (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tz - Sz\| &= \left\|Tz - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)Tx_1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)Tx_2\right\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \|Tz - Tx_1\| + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\|Tz - Tx_2\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)a\|Sz - Sx_1\| + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)b\max\left\{\|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx_1 - Sx_1\|\right\} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)c\max\left\{\|Sz - Sx_1\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx_1 - Sx_1\|\right\} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left\{\|Sz - Sx_1\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx_1 - Sx_1\|\right\} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left\{\|Sz - Sx_2\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx_1 - Sx_2\|\right\} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)c\max\left\{\|Sz - Sx_2\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx_2 - Sx_2\|\right\} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)c\max\left\{\|Sz - Sx_2\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx_2 - Sx_2\|\right\} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left\{\|Sz - Sx_2\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx_2 - Sx_2\|\right\} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left\{\|Sz - Sx_2\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx_2 - Sx_2\|, \\ &\frac{1}{2}\left(\|Tx_2 - Sz\| + \|Tz - Sx_2\|\right)\right\} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)a[1 + 2a + 2c + 2d + b]\|Tx - Sx\| + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)b\max\left\{\|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx - Sx\|\right\} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)c\max\left\{\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \|Tz - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)d\max\left[\frac{1}{2}(1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d)\|Tx - Sx\|, \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \{ (2 + 2a + 2c + 2d + b) \| Tx - Sx \| + \| Tz - Sz \| \} = \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \right\} a \| Tx - Sx \|$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) b \max \{ \| Tz - Sz \|, \| Tx - Sx \| \} + \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) c \max \{ \frac{1}{2} \| Tx - Sx \|, \| Tz - Sz \|, \| Tx - Sx \| \} \le \lambda \| Tx - Sx \| \ldots (7)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) d \max \{ \frac{1}{2} \| Tx - Sx \|, \| Tz - Sz \|, \| Tx - Sx \|, \frac{1}{2} (2 \| Tx - Sx \| + \| Tz - Sz \|) \}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \lambda &= \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) a [2 + 2a + 2c + 2d + b] + b + \frac{1}{4} c [1 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} c + \frac{1}{4} d [2 + 2a + 2c + b + 2d] + d \\ &< \frac{1}{4} a (3 + \sqrt{a}) + \frac{1}{4} c (2 + \sqrt{a}) + b + \frac{1}{2} c + \frac{d}{4} (3 + \sqrt{a}) + d \\ &< \frac{a}{4} + \frac{3a}{4} + b + c + d \\ &= a + b + c + d = 1 \end{split}$$

So we have $0 < \lambda < 1$.

 K_n

Since *x* is an arbitrary point in *C*, from (7), it follows that there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in C such that $||T_{Z_0} - S_{Z_0}|| \le \lambda ||T_{X_0} - S_{X_0}||$,

$$\|Z_{0} - S_{0}\| \le h|T_{0} - S_{0}\|, \\ \|T_{z_{1}} - S_{z_{1}}\| \le \lambda \|T_{z_{0}} - S_{z_{0}}\|, \\ \|T_{z_{n}} - S_{z_{n}}\| \le \lambda \|T_{z_{n-1}} - S_{z_{n-1}}\|, \\ \text{which yield that} \\ \|T_{z_{n}} - S_{z_{n}}\| \le \lambda^{n+1} \|T_{x_{0}} - S_{x_{0}}\|, \\ \text{and so we have} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_{z_{n}} - S_{z_{n}}\| = 0 \dots (8) \\ \text{Setting } K_{n} = \left\{x \in C : \|Tx - Sx\| \le \frac{1}{n}\right\} \\ \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \text{ then } (8) \text{ shows that} \\ K_{n} \neq \phi \qquad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \\ \text{and } K_{1} \supset K_{2} \supset K_{3} \supset \dots \\ \text{obviously, we have } \overline{TK_{n}} \neq \phi \text{ and} \\ \overline{TK_{n}} \supset \overline{TK_{n+1}} \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots \\ \text{for any } x, y \text{ in } K_{n} \text{ by } (1), we have \\ \|Tx - Ty\| \le d \|Sx - Sy\| + n^{-1}b + c \max\{\|Sx - Sy\|, n^{-1}\} \\ + d \max\{\|Sx - Sy\|, n^{-1}, \frac{1}{2}(n^{-1} + \|Sx - Sy\| + n^{-1} + \|Sx - Sy\|)\} \\ \le a \|Sx - Sy\|, n^{-1}, (n^{-1} + \|Sx - Sy\|)\} \\ \le a (2n^{-1} + \|Tx - Ty\|) + n^{-1}b + c (2n^{-1} + \|Tx - Ty\|) + d(3n^{-1} + \|Tx - Ty\|) \\ = [a + c]2n^{-1} + [a + c + d]\|Tx - Ty\| + n^{-1}b + 3n^{-1}d$$

Therefore,

$$||Tx - Ty|| \le n^{-1} \{2[a+c]+b+3d\}(1-a-c-d)^{-1}$$

Thus we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} diam(\overline{TK_n}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} diam(TK_n) = 0$$

By Cantor's theorem, there exists a point u in C such that

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\overline{TK_n} \right) = \{u\}.$$

Since $u \in C$ for each n = 1, 2, ... there exists a point y_n in TK_n such that $||y_n - u|| < n^{-1}$ Then there exists a point x_n is K_n such that $||u - Tx_n|| < n^{-1}$ and so $Tx_n \to u$ as $n \to \infty$.

Since $x_n \in k_n$, we have also

$$\left\|Tx_n - Sx_n\right\| < n^{-1}$$

and so $Sx_n \to u$ as $n \to \infty$.

Since S is continuous $STx_n \rightarrow Su$ and $SSx_n \rightarrow Su$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Moreover
$$||TSx_n - STx_n|| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
.

Since S and T are compatible and $Tx_n \to Sx_n \to u$ as $n \to \infty$, we have $TSx_n \to Su$. By (1), we have

$$\|Tu - Su\| \le \|Tu - TSx_n\| + \|TSx_n - Su\|$$

$$\le a\|Su - SSx_n\| + b\max\{\|Tu - Su\|, \|TSx_n - SSx_n\|\}$$

$$+ c\max\{\|Su - SSx_n\|, \|Tu - Su\|, \|TSx_n - SSx_n\|\}$$

$$+ d\max\{\|Su - SSx_n\|, \|Tu - Su\|, \|TSx_n - SSx_n\|,$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\|TSx_n - Su\| + \|Tu - SSx_n\|)\} + \|TSx_n - Su\|$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu - Su\| &\leq a \|Su - Su\| + b \max\{\|Tu - Su\|, \|Su - Su\|\} \\ &+ c \max\{\|Su - Su\|, \|Tu - Su\|, \|Su - Su\|\} \\ &+ d \max\{\|Su - Su\|, \|Tu - Su\|, \|Su - Su\|, \\ &\frac{1}{2}(\|Su - Su\| + \|Tu - Su\|)\} + \|Su - Su\| \\ &= (b + c + d)\|Tu - Su\| \\ &= (1 - a) \|Tu - Su\|. \end{aligned}$$

So we have Tu = Su.

Thus TSu = STu and TTu = TSu = STu since S and T are compatible. Furthermore, we have $||TTu - Tu|| \le a ||STu - Su|| + b \max \{||TTu - STu||, ||Tu - Su||\}$ $+ c \max \{||STu - Su||, ||TTu - STu||, ||Tu - Su||\}$ $+ d \max \{||STu - Su||, ||TTu - STu||, ||Tu - Su||$ $\frac{1}{2} (||Tu - STu|| + ||TTu - Su||)\}$ = (a + c + d) ||TTu - Tu||

This leads to ||TTu - Tu|| = 0 since $(a + c + d) < \sqrt{a}$.

Let z = Tu = Su.

Then
$$Tz = z$$
 and $Sz = STz = TSz = Tz = z$.

Thus *z* is a unique common fixed point of *T* and *S*. The uniqueness of *z* is a consequence of inequality (1). Now, we show that *T* is continuous at *z*. Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in *C* such that $y_n \rightarrow z$.

Since S is continuous, $Sy_n \rightarrow Sz$, By (1), we have

$$\begin{split} \|Ty_{n} - Tz\| &\leq a \|Sy_{n} - Sz\| + b \max\{\|Ty_{n} - Sy_{n}\|, \|Tz - Sz\|\} \\ &+ c \max\{\|Sy_{n} - Sz\|, \|Ty_{n} - Sy_{n}\|, \|Tz - Sz\|\} \\ &+ d \max\{\|Sy_{n} - Sz\|, \|Ty_{n} - Sy_{n}\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \frac{1}{2}(\|Tz - Sy_{n}\| + \|Ty_{n} - Sz\|)\} \\ &\leq a \|Sy_{n} - Sz\| + b \max\{\|Ty_{n} - Tz\| + \|Tz - Sy_{n}\|\} \\ &+ c \max\{\|Sy_{n} - Sz\|, \|Ty_{n} - Tz\| + \|Tz - Sy_{n}\|\} \\ &+ d \max\{\|Sy_{n} - Sz\|, \|Ty_{n} - Tz\| + \|Tz - Sy_{n}\|, \|Tz - Sz\|, \\ &\frac{1}{2}(\|Tz - Sy_{n}\| + \|Ty_{n} - Sz\|)\} \\ &\leq a \|Sy_{n} - Sz\| + b\{\|Ty_{n} - Tz\| + \|Sz - Sy_{n}\|\} + c\{\|Ty_{n} - Tz\| + \|Sz - Sy_{n}\|\} \\ &+ d\{\|Ty_{n} - Tz\| + \|Sz - Sy_{n}\|, \|Ty_{n} - Tz\| + \|Sz - Sy_{n}\|\} \\ &= (a + b + c + d)\|Sy_{n} - Sz\| + (b + c + d)\|Ty_{n} - Tz\| \\ &\leq (a + b + c + d)(1 - b - c - d)^{-1}\|Sy_{n} - Sz\| \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have $Ty_n \rightarrow Tz$ and so T is continuous at z.

This completes the proof.

As a consequences of our Theorem 2.1, we have the following results.

2.2Corallary:

Let *S* and *T* be compatible mappings of *C* into itself satisfying the following condition:

$$||Tx - Ty|| \le a||Sx - Sy|| + b \max\{||Tx - Sx||, ||Ty - Sy||\} + c \max\{||Sx - Sy||, ||Tx - Sx||, ||Ty - Sy||\}$$

for all x, y in C where a,b,c>0, a+b+c=1 and $a+c<\sqrt{a}$ if S is linear and continuous in C and $T(C) \subset S(C)$. Then T and S have a unique common fixed point z in C and T is continuous at z.

Corallary 2.2 shows the result of Sharma and Deshpande [16], which obtain by putting d = 0. Now if b=0, c=0 then we get the following corallary

2.3Corallary:

Let *S* and *T* be compatible mappings of C into itself satisfying the following condition:

$$||Tx - Ty|| \le a ||Sx - Sy|| + (1 - a) \max \{||Tx - Sx||, ||Ty - Sy||\}$$

for all x, y in C, 0 < a < 1, if S is linear and continuous in C and $T(C) \subset S(C)$, Then T and S have a unique common fixed pointz in C and T is continuous at z.

2.4 Remark:

Corallary (2.3) also proves continuity of *T*, so it improves the result of Jungck[9]. if we put a = b = c = 0 then we get the following result

2.5 Corallary:

Let S and T be compatible mappings of C into itself satisfying the following condition:

$$||Tx - Ty|| \le d \max\{||Sx - Sy||, ||Tx - Sx||, ||Ty - Sy||, \frac{1}{2}(||Ty - Sx|| + ||Tx - Sy||)\}$$

for all *x*, *y* in *C* where $0 \le d < 1$, if *S* is linear and continuous in *C* and $T(C) \subset S(C)$. Then *T* and *S* have a unique common fixed point*z* in *C* and *T* is continuous at *z*.

To demonstrate the validity of our Theorem 2.1, we have the following example

2.6 Example:

Let X = R and C = [0,1] with the usual norm. Consider the mappings T and S on C defined as $T_x = \frac{1}{4}x$ and $S_x = \frac{1}{2}x$ for all $x \in C$

Then $T(C) = \left[0, \frac{1}{4}\right] \subset S(C) = \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right].$

It is easy to see that S is linear and continuous.

Further, *T* and *S* are compatible if $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$, where $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in *C* such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = 0$ for some $0 \in C$.

If we take a = 1/9, b = 13/18, c = 3/18, d = 0 we see that the condition (1) of our Theorem 3.1, is satisfied also we have a + b + c = 1 and $a + c < \sqrt{a}$.

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and 0 is the unique common fixed point of S and T.

REFERENCES

- 1. B. Brosowski., "Fixpunktsatze in der approximation-theory", Mathamatica (Cluj)., 11, (1969), 195.
- 2. Charbone., "Applications of Fixed Points to Approximation Theory", Jnanabha., 19, (1989), 63.
- 3. Carbone., "Applications of fixed point theorem", Jnanabha., 22, (1992), 85
- 4. W.J. (Jr.) Dotson., "Fixed point theorems for non expansive mappings on starshaped Subsets of banach spaces", J. London. Math. Soc., 1 (1972), 408 410.
- 5. Fisher, and S. Sessa., "On a fixed point theorem of Gregus", Internet. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 9, (1986), 23.
- 6. M. Gregus "A fixed point theorem in Banach space", Boll. Um. Math. Ital., (5), 17-A, (1980), 198.
- 7. T.L. Hicks, and M.D. Humphries, "A note on fixed point theorem", J. Approx. Theory, 34, (1986), 771.
- 8. Jungck, "Compatible mappings of Fisher and Sessa", Internat, J. Math. and Math., Sci., 9, (1986), 771.
- 9. G. Jungck, "On a fixed point theorem of Fisher and Sessa, Internal, J. Math and Math., Sci., (1990), 497.
- 10. G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **29(3)** (1998), 227 238.
- 11. G. Meinardus., "Invariagebeilinearen approximation", Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. 14 (1963), 301.303.
- 12. R.N. Mukherjee, and V. Verma, "A note on a fixed point theorem of Gregus, Math. Japonica, 33, (1988), 745.
- 13. H.K. Pathak, Y.J. Cho, and S.M. Kang, "An application of fixed point theorems, Internat, J. Math and Math., Sci., 21, (1998), 467.
- 14. S.A. Sahab, M.S. Khan, and S. Sess, "A result in best approximation theory", J. Approx. Theory, 55, (1988), 349.
- 15. S. Sessa., "On a weak commutativity conditions in fixed point consideration, Publ. Inst. Math. 46, (1982), 149.
- 16. Sushil Sharma, and Bhavna Deshpande., "Fixed point theorem and its application to best approximation theory", Bull. Cal, Soc., **93**, **(2)** (2001), 155-166.
- 17. Sushil Sharma and Bhavana Deshpande., "Fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings and its application to best approximation theory", Journal of the Indian Math. Soc., Vol. 69, Nos. **1-4** (2002), 161-171.
- 18. M.L. Singh., "Application of fixed points to approximation theory", Proc. Approximation Theory and Applications., Pitman, London 198. (**1985**).
- 19. S.P. Singh., "An application of a fixed point theorem to approximation theory, J. Approx. Theory., 25, 89 (1979).
- **20.** P.V. Subrahmanyam., "An application of a fixed point theorem to best approximations", J. Approximation Theory., 20, **165** (1977).