# Generation of Wind Waves in Large Streams

Eshev S.S.– DSc, I.X. Gayimnazarov, A.R. Rakhimov and Latipov Sh. A

Abstract--- There are methods for calculating the waveforms in the reservoirs, taking into account the direct flow and the reverse direction of the flow and the limited acceleration due to the coasts.

Keywords--- Wind Waves, Effective Acceleration, Channels, Speed, Passing Currents, Oncoming Currents.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Additional factors that determine the generation of wind waves on large watercourses (flowing reservoirs, large rivers, large canals) compared to the open sea, are the current and limited acceleration of the banks. The combined effect of both factors was studied in [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10]. We make a brief review of the relevant theory, which is the basis of the method for calculating the formation of wind waves on watercourses.

#### Main part

For wind waves on a large-scale current, the main dynamic equation is the equation of conservation of wave action, which, as applied to the problem in question, can be written as:

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} + \vec{r} \frac{\partial N}{\partial \vec{r}} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial N}{\partial \vec{k}} = Q_{ucm}, \qquad (1)$$

Where:

$$N(\vec{r},\vec{k},t) = S(\vec{r},\vec{k},t) / \omega_r$$
(2)

Wave spectral density,  $S(\vec{r}, \vec{k}, t)$ - spatial amplitude spectrum of waves,  $\omega_r$  – frequency, corresponding to the spectral component  $\vec{k}(k_x, k_y)$  at the point  $\vec{r}(x, y)$  at time t in the reference frame moving with the flow velocity  $\vec{U}(\vec{r}, t)$ . The principle of conservation of wave action is valid for waves in a moving medium, was established by Bretherton, Garret in 1969 [9] and is developed for waves on water by Weisem.

In the one-dimensional case, equation (1.1) is simplified as follows:

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial t}\frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial k}{\partial t}\frac{\partial N}{\partial \vec{k}} = Q_{ucm}.$$
 (3)

Characteristics of the equation (3):

Eshev S. S.– DSc, Head of the Department "Exploitation of Hydraulic Structures and Pumping Stations". Karshi Engineering and Economics Institute (KEEI), Uzbekistan.

I.X. Gayimnazarov, Senior Lecturer of the Department "Exploitation of Hydraulic Structures and Pumping Stations" (KEEI), Uzbekistan. A.R. Rakhimov, Assistant of the Department "Exploitation of Hydraulic Structures and Pumping Stations" (KEEI), Uzbekistan. Latipov Sh. A, Assistant of the Department "Exploitation of Hydraulic Structures and Pumping Stations" (KEEI), Uzbekistan.

International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, Vol. 24, Issue 01, 2020 ISSN: 1475-7192

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial k} = C_{ga},$$

$$\frac{\partial k}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} = 0,$$
(4)

where  $C_{ga}$  – absolute group speed,  $C_{ga}=C_{gr}+U$ . In accordance with (3) and (4) the condition on the characteristics is:

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} + C_{ga} \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} = Q_{ucm}.$$
(5)

In the steady state, when sufficient time is reached, the wave action ceases to depend on time, and is only a function of the run. In this case, equation (1.5) takes a very simple form:

$$C_{ga} \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} = Q_{ucm} \,. \tag{6}$$

Consequently, using the method of characteristics and separating the variables in equation (6), we can write the solution of equation (3) in the following implicit form:

$$\int_{N_0}^{N} \frac{dN}{Q_{ucm}} = \int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{dx}{C_{ga}},$$
(7)

where

$$N = N_0 \big|_{x = x_0} \tag{8}$$

is a boundary condition. Since the group velocity at constant depth and flow velocity does not depend on the coordinate, the right-hand side of equation (7) is reduced to the form:

$$\int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ga}}} = t - t_0 = \frac{x - x_0}{C_{\mathrm{ga}}},\tag{9}$$

where t- $t_0$  – wave propagation time with group velocity from the boundary point. From (7) and (9) it follows that the following transformation of the coordinate (on  $x_0$ =0)

$$\frac{x}{C_{ga}} = \frac{X}{C_{g0}} u\pi u \frac{X}{x} = \frac{C_{g0}}{C_{ga}}$$
(10)

where  $C_{g0}$  – group speed on still water, gives a distance of run-up x over the amount of wave action N(x), equal to  $N_0(X)$  – the magnitude of the wave action on the length of the run X on still water. Thus, relation (10) determines the effective acceleration length for wind waves in a current.

Determination of the effective acceleration length for waves in a current is considered in more detail in [6], where, in particular, it is shown that if the angle between the wind and current vectors is  $\alpha$ , then the effective acceleration length is determined by the ratio:

International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, Vol. 24, Issue 01, 2020 ISSN: 1475-7192

$$\frac{X}{x} = \frac{C_{g0}}{C_{gr} + U\cos\alpha},\tag{11}$$

Where  $C_{gr}$  – group velocity of waves in the coordinate system associated with the flow.

The concept of effective acceleration length for waves in a current gives the correct qualitative tendency of the influence of a current on the development of waves.

Indeed, in deep water  $C_{ga} = C_{g0} + U$  and on the way (U>0) from (1.10) follows that X/x<1, those effective length of acceleration is less than the actual. On the contrary, on a counter course (U<0) from (1.10) follows that X/x>1, the effective acceleration length is greater than the actual geometric length. Such a flow effect corresponds to the data obtained in aerohydrodynamic channels [11–13], where the wind waves on the tail current are less, and on the oncoming flow more than in the absence of the flow, all other conditions being equal.

The effective acceleration length can be determined from equation (11), in which the relative group velocity must be specified. For this, an experimental dependence can be used for the frequency of the maximum of the wave spectrum in the coordinate system of the flow [13]:

$$\frac{u_* f_{pr}}{g} = 0.939 \left(\frac{gX}{u_*^2}\right)^{-0.354}$$
(12)

Where  $u_*$  - wind friction velocity in a fixed coordinate system. If we go in the relation (12) to the wind speed and the average frequency in the spectrum using the following relations [14]:

$$W = 25u_* \quad \bar{f} = 1,17f_p \,, \tag{13}$$

Then the expression (12) takes the form:

$$\frac{\overline{\omega}_r W}{g} = 14,28 \left(\frac{gX}{u_*^2}\right)^{-0.354},\tag{14}$$

Where  $\omega_r$  – average relative circular frequency. Then for the average group velocity we get:

$$\overline{C}_{gr} = \frac{0.44W}{4\pi} \left(\frac{gX}{u_*^2}\right)^{-0.354}.$$
(15)

And for the effective acceleration length, the following implicit equation is obtained from relation (11):

$$\frac{X}{x} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{X}{x}\right)^{0.354} + \frac{4\pi}{0.44} \frac{U\cos\alpha}{W} \left(\frac{gx}{W^2}\right)^{-0.354}}.$$
 (16)

The numerical solution of equation (1.16) is shown in Figure 1.1. In Figure 1.1, the decision refers to the cocurrent, when  $U \cos \alpha / W > 0$  и X/x < I. Figure 1.1b shows a solution for a counter flow:  $U \cos \alpha / W < 0$  и X/x>1. The curves in Figure 1.1 clearly demonstrate how important the flow factor can be, which can increase or decrease the acceleration length, especially at limited acceleration lengths.

The introduction of an effective length of acceleration allows the use of the following GOIN-SoyuzMorNIIproekt formula [5] for calculating the average height and period of the waves over a current:

$$\frac{g\overline{H}}{W^{2}} = 0.16 \left\{ 1 - \left[ \frac{1}{1 + 6.0 \times 10^{-3} \left( gX/W^{2} \right)^{0.5}} \right]^{2} \right\} th \left\{ 0.625 \frac{\left( gd/W^{2} \right)^{0.8}}{1 - \left[ \frac{1}{1 + 6.0 \times 10^{-3} \left( gX/W^{2} \right)^{0.5}} \right]} \right\}, \quad (17)$$
$$\frac{g\overline{T}_{r}}{W} = 3.1 \times 2\pi \left( \frac{g\overline{H}}{W^{2}} \right)^{0.625}$$

Where  $T_r$  – the period of the waves in the moving coordinate system (in the flow system), d – water depth at acceleration. As shown in [5], the dependences (17) are consistent for the case of the absence of a flow with a large amount of data of natural wave measurements.



Fig.1.1: The dimensionless acceleration length for wind waves during: a) on the course, b) on the opposite

5

When forecasting wind waves in limited water areas (large rivers, canals, reservoirs, coastal zone of the sea), it is necessary to take into account the limitation of acceleration by the coastline. This factor in conditions of narrowness or complex configuration of the coastline may be no less important than the flow.

The principle of taking into account the influence of the coastline on the limitation of acceleration is known. For the coastal zone of the sea, the corresponding techniques are given in [15]. For estuaries, straits and channels that can be approximated in terms of a rectangle, the method of taking into account the limited width of the channel is given in [16].

It also uses the effective acceleration length, which we will call effective overclocking limiting. The latter value is determined by integrating the acceleration lengths, measured from the calculated point to the intersection with the coastline, by the angle between the local and general wind speed directions:

$$\frac{F}{x} = \int_{-\theta'}^{\theta'} p(\theta) x(\theta) d\theta \bigg/ \int_{-\theta'}^{\theta'} x d\theta \quad , \tag{18}$$

where  $\theta'$  – a raster of corners of the effective wave-forming effect of the wind,  $p(\theta)$  – weight function.

The raster of effective wave formation is assumed to be 90 degrees to both sides of the general direction for a complex outline of the coastline and from 30 to 90 degrees in the case of watercourses. The type of weight function depends on the distribution of wind speed in directions and on local conditions. So with  $\theta'=30^{0}$  and  $p(\theta)=1$  the solution often used for channels is obtained:

$$\frac{F}{x} = \frac{6}{\pi} \left[ \ln tg \left( \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arctg} \frac{b}{2x} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right) - 1,317 \frac{b}{2x} - \frac{b}{2x} \ln tg \left( \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{arctg} \frac{b}{2x} \right) \right], (19)$$

where b – channel width. The type of function (1.19) is shown in fig. 1.2.



Fig.1.2: The influence of the relative width of the channel on the acceleration of waves

The calculation method, which takes into account the influence of both the current and the complex configuration of the coastline, is as follows and is used further to calculate the size of wind waves in the region of the transition under study. The principles of the methodology are detailed in [7, 8].

The algorithm represents a generalization of the method for calculating wind waves with a complex configuration of the coastline. From the calculated point with an interval of 22,5 degrees to both sides of the main beam with the number n=1 spend extra rays with numbers  $n = \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4$ . Then, for each beam, the effective acceleration length with the flow is determined:

$$X(\alpha) = \Delta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{X}{x}\right)_{i},$$
(20)

where  $\Delta$  - length of the calculated area,  $(X/x)_i$  determined by the formula (16), in which the corresponding projection of the flow velocity is involved. The beam continues to the intersection with the line of the coast. In the case of relatively small accelerations, we can neglect the curvature of the wave beam and use the relation (20) for the entire beam.

Further, for each wave beam, the first wavelength is calculated using the first formula (17), the average wave height for the studied water area is determined by weighted averaging in accordance with the recommendations [13]:

$$\overline{H} = 0.1 \left\{ 25\overline{H}_{1}^{2} + 21\left(\overline{H}_{2}^{2} + \overline{H}_{-2}^{2}\right) + 13\left(\overline{H}_{3}^{2} + \overline{H}_{-3}^{2}\right) + 3.5\left(\overline{H}_{4}^{2} + \overline{H}_{-4}^{2}\right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (21)

Then, by the second of formulas (17), the average period of the waves is determined.

For the transition from the average height and period of the waves to the height and period of a given security in the system, the distribution function of the heights of the wind waves in the form of the Rayleigh distribution is used, which is assumed to be fair and for effective acceleration. In particular, the following relations can be applied to determine the height and period of significant waves:

$$\overline{H} = 0.625H_s \quad \overline{T} = 0.9T_s. \tag{22}$$

As measurements show, there is a feature for the countercurrent that relates to the initial part of the acceleration. In this area, the wind waves generated propagate downstream, and downwind, i.e. upstream spread wave groups. It is natural to assume that for a given wave the length of the initial segment is determined by the relation:

$$C_{gr} = U \left| \cos \alpha \right|, \tag{23}$$

What, using the expression (1.15) for group velocity, can be written in the form:

$$\left(\frac{X}{x}\right)^{0,354} - \frac{4\pi}{0,44} \frac{U}{W} \left(\frac{gx}{W^2}\right)^{-0,354} \left|\cos\alpha\right| = 0.$$
<sup>(24)</sup>

If we solve for the beam on a counter-current of equation (24) and (16) together, then we can take into account the effect of the initial segment.

The developed technique was confirmed by field measurements made by the authors on the Kara Kum Canal, as well as SANIIRI measurement data on several Central Asian channels [6]. For an idea of the ranges of the performed comparison, the measurements of the authors, together with the data of the calculations, are presented in Table 1.1.

					Average wave height, cm	
Acceleration	Channel	Water depth,	Wind speed,	Flow rate, m	Measurements	Calculation
length, m	width, m	m	m / s	/ s		
256	134	4,6	5,3	-0,42	4,5	4,3
258	134	4,6	6,9	-0,42	5,8	6,7
258	134	4,6	4,1	-0,42	3,3	3,0
795	167	3,8	5,7	0,42	6,0	6,1
795	167	3,8	5,8	0,42	6,3	6,2
137	55	3,2	9,4	-0,55	8,0	5,8
198	55	3,2	6,1	-0,55	5,6	3,7
180	50	2,1	15,8	-0,53	14,0	14,0
125	50	2,1	17,2	-0,53	13,0	11,0
180	50	2,1	6,0	-0,53	1,6	1,5

Table 1: Comparison of the results of the calculation of wind wave heights with measurement data

From the table it can be seen that a comparison of the results of the calculation of the height of wind waves with the measurement data gives a good ratio.

## **II.** CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we can conclude that when calculating the formation of wind waves along the current in flowing reservoirs, large and small rivers, and large canals, we can use the proposed calculation method.

## References

- [1] Atazhanov A., Kantarzhi I.G., Sapova N.O. Development of the method of forecasting wind waves in watercourses. *Proceedings of the Institute of Vodgeo, M., Vodgeo,* 1989, 40-45.
- [2] Zairov Kh.I., Listrova, P.P., Masumov, R.R. The forecast elements of wind waves in large channels. *Hydraulic* engineering construction, 12, 1986, 44-46.
- [3] Kantarzhi I.G. The method of forecasting wind waves on watercourses. Tr. VNII VODGEO, M, VODGEO, 1987, 60-68.
- [4] Kantarzhi IG, Dreyzis Yu.I., Chebotkevich V.O. Prediction of wind waves in large canals and flowing reservoirs. *Hydrotechnical and land improvement*, 1987, 2, 24-27.
- [5] Krylov, Yu.M., Strekalov, S.S., Tsyplukhin, V.F. Wind waves and their impact on facilities. 1976, *Leningrad*, *Gidrometeoizdat*, 256 pp.
- [6] Mass E.I., Kantarzhi I.G. and others. The method of calculating wind waves in large channels. *Water Resources*, 1988, 1, 60-67.
- [7] Recommendations for the calculation of wind waves and sediment transport in large canals. Authors: E.I. Mass, I.G. Kantarzhi et al., M., TsNIIS, 1986, 63 pp.
- [8] Recommendations for the hydraulic calculation of large channels. Authors: N.A. Rzhanitsyn, V.S. Altunin, T.G. Voynich-Syanozhentsky, E.I. Mass, V.K. Debolsky, I.G.Kantarzhi and others. State Committee on Science and Technology of the USSR, Soyuzgiprovodkhoz, Moscow, 1986, 153 p.Bretherton F.P., Garret C.J.R. Wave trains in inhomogeneous moving media. *Proc. Roy. Soc.*, 1969, A, 302, 529.
- [9] Kato H., Tsuruya H., Doi T., Mijarari Y. Experimental study of wind waves generated on water currents. 2<sup>nd</sup> report, Rep. Port Harbor Res. Inst. 1976, 15(4), 3-46.
- [10] Kato H., Tsuruya H., Terakawa H. Experimental study of wind waves generated on water currents. 3<sup>rd</sup> report. Wave forecasting methods and its experimental confirmation. *Rep. Port Harbor Res. Inst.* 1981, 20(3), 94-129.

- [11] Kato H., Tsuruya H., Experimental study of wind waves generated on currents. *Proc. 16<sup>th</sup> Coastal Eng. Conf.*, 1978, ASCE, v.1, 742-755.
- [12] Mitsuyasu H., Rikiishi. On the growth of duration-limited wave spectra. Rep. Resh. Inst. Appl. Mech., Kuishi Univer., 1975, 23, 72, 31-60.
- [13] Shore protection manual. 1984, v.2, 4th ed., US Army, Coast. Eng. Resh. Center, Washington, D.C.
- [14] Wood A.M.M. Coastal Hydraulics. 1969, Pitman Press, Both. 179 p.
- [15] Willis D.H. Sediment Load under Waves and Current. // Proc. 16<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf. on Coastal Engrg. 1978. ASCE. V.2. P. 1626-1637.