KNOWLEDGE ON ASSOCIATION OF CLINICALLY PROVEN ALLERGY WITH PETS AT HOME- A SURVEY

SharwiniBaskar, N. P. Muralidharan. Dr. Preetha. S

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Rearing animals and birds at home are in practice in all culturesin the world, many families have a variety of pets. Pets are emotionally connected to family. Many pets have moved from outdoor protectors to indoor family members. When there is a high prevalence of pet keeping, pet allergens are also found relatively in higher concentrations. Humans are exposed to different kinds of allergy at least once in their lifetime. Some studies have shown that the frequency of allergic diseases has increased. Pet keeping was considered to be one of the risk factors for allergy development. Exposure of pet allergens in sensitive people causes diseases. **Materials and method:** An online and offline survey was conducted among 53 pet keeping people. The data was collected, analyzed, and results were tabulated. **Results:** In this study, the results show 67.9% of the population participating have pets at home. 86.8% of the population have mostly dogs at their home. 52.8% of participant's pets are staying outdoors. **Conclusion:** Animal danders are proved to be allergic to many clinical conditions. The study aims to associate allergy and having pets at home.

KEYWORDS

Pets, allergy, allergen, factors, genetics, comorbidity factors.

I. INTRODUCTION

Allergy is a damaging immune response or exaggerated immune response of the body to a substance such as food, pollen, fur, dust, which becomes hypersensitivity reaction. In most cases, the response is inherited or can be called familial. The allergens which cause allergy can be present both indoor and outdoor. The indoor allergens are house dust, mold spores, pollen, fabrics, dust mites, cockroaches, and mainly due to pets, from animal hair, fur, and feathers. Humans are exposed to different kinds of allergies at least once in their lifetime. Animal allergy is defined as a normal immune reaction to the proteins present in pets. Pet keeping is considered to be a major risk factor for allergy development. Many families in the world have different varieties of pets, especially furry (1). Canis lupus familiaris (domestic dog) and Feliscatusdomesticus (domestic cat) are the two commonly adopted domestic pets in India.

The common allergens derived from them are Can f1 and Fel d1 respectively. The other animal allergens are mite- Der f1 and Der p1. Majority allergens belong to the same protein family (2). The proteins are secretoglobin, lipocalins, and kallikreins(3). Cat allergen Fel d1 belongs to the protein secretoglobin and more than 50% of furry animal's allergens belong to the family lipocalins(4). The allergens are present in skin, saliva, urine, sebum, perianal gland secretions, dander, and other secretions of the pets. The frequency of allergic diseases has been increased (5). An allergic reaction occurs after the pet exposure when there is a reaction between the pet allergen and human immune responses (6). This causes a

Department of Microbiology, Saveetha Dental College and hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Saveetha University, Chennai. Email: 151801078.sdc@saveetha.com

Associate professor, Department of Microbiology, Saveetha Dental College, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Saveetha University, Chennai. Email: mugaidar@yahoo.com Phone No: +91- 98405 60487. Senior Lecturer, Department of Physiology, Saveetha Dental College, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Saveetha University, Chennai. Email: preeths.sdc@saveetha.com Phone No: +91- 86085 66435

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Dr. N. P. Muralidharan, Associate professor, Department of Microbiology, Saveetha Dental College and hospital, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Saveetha University, Chennai. Email: mugaidar@yahoo.comPhone No: +91-98405 60487

hypersensitivity reaction. The allergens are aerosolized and suspended in ambient air (7). It also gets transmitted by clothing and with direct contact with pets (8).

They also get transmitted from the bedding when the pets are not restricted to one place. The outdoor pet allergens are found in public places such as schools that are considered to be a second-hand exposure, which develops diseases in sensitive children (9). These types of allergens are seen in an inhabitant of a visibly clean area. Allergens are not just dust, it is mostly a mixture of organic and inorganic substances generated from other living beings. One of the most strongly allergenic components are house dust, often heavily contaminated with the fecal pellets and cast skins, is house dust mites. House dust mites are tiny creatures related to ticks, chiggers, and spiders who live in close association with humans. The primary food of house dust mites is dander (skin scales) shed from human and pet activity. Home dust mites may be the factor for 50 to 80 percent of asthma, and also in countless cases of eczema, hay fever, and other allergic related ailments.

Symptoms are usually respiratory (sneezing, itching, watery eyes, wheezing, etc. There are reports for a red rash around the neck. Other allergic reactions are headaches, fatigue, and depression. Inhalation of dust mites allergens by hypersensitive individuals can result in acute attacks of bronchial asthma, accompanied by wheezing, shortness of breath, and perhaps even death. Diagnostic tests and clinical studies by allergists have shown that house dust mite is the most common allergy in people with asthma and it is an important "root cause" for the development of asthma in young children. These atopic diseases lead to increased burden of diseases and health care costs, particularly in patients with uncontrolled or poorly controlled diseases, and can also cause chronic infections. Asthma and allergic rhinitis can occur in the same person.

Allergy is also defined in the presence of immunoglobulin class Ig E which binds to the specific antigen. Allergic rhinitis is a nasal disorder induced by immunoglobulin E (Ig E)- mediated immune response and characterized by symptoms including rhinorrhoea, sneezing, nasal obstruction, and itching. It is one of the most common atopic diseases all over the world. Asthma is more severe with allergic rhinitis people compared to those without allergic rhinitis. Immune responses are initiated by antigen-presenting cells such as dendritic cells, which present allergen to the T cells. Interleukin-1 β , a potent proinflammatory cytokine, involved in many inflammatory conditions including autoinflammatory and allergic disorders. Detection of cat allergen in dust can be done by demonstrating antibodies and IL by ELISA method using monoclonal antibodies (10).

A recent study has found the precise mechanism for cat allergies which is the binding of the cysteine-rich portion through a mannose receptor (11). This study includes only dogs and cats because allergic responses to other animals are similar to allergic responses produced by dogs and cats allergens. The relationship of the pet has changed over time from outdoor protectors to indoor family members (12). Responding adversely to those agents depends on the genetic makeup of an individual, especially towards the environmental agents. Certain studies have found that new causes of the allergy can be epigenetic changes which means a heritable change in gene expression. Epigenetic change has 3 mechanisms: DNA methylation, histone modification, and non-coding RNA associated gene silencing (13). Epigenetic change is also linked to asthma (14).

Development of sensitization to perennial allergens in early life combined with exposure to high allergen levels was used to predict chronic asthma and reduced lung function in children at the age of 13. When there is an exposure to pet associated microbes especially in the neonatal period it alters the child's immune system, reducing the risk of allergic sensitization disease. The study aims to explore areas of health consequences, the prevalence of allergic diseases, people's interactions with pets, and response to the human immune system to pet allergens. Various research studies have been conducted by our team on medicines (15)(16)(17)(18)(19), in vitro studies (20), in vivo studies (21)(22)(23), surveys (24)(25), review articles (26), genetic studies (27)(28)(29), stemmed for this study on the survey on the association of clinically proven allergy and having pets at home.

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

The study setting conducted was an online and offline survey, which is time-saving and different people can be covered. The number of participants involved in the study was 53 pet keeping owners. The sampling method used was search engines such as Pubmed, google scholar. A set of questionnaires including the parameters of having pets at home and in

neighbor's home, taking care of pets, the prevalence of allergy among the family, was included. The data was collected using google docs. The data manipulation was done in excel. The method of representation of the results is a bar chart. The data were analyzed using SPSS software. The statistical test used is the Chi-square test.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results are categorized under 4 factors such as awareness, precipitating factors, comorbidity factors, and genetic factors. The responses were collected from 53 pet keeping owners.

In the study, 67.9% of the participants have pets at home (figure 1). 86.8% of participants have dogs than cats 13.2% (figure 2). 73.6% of the population is aware that pets can cause allergy (figure 3). When a question was asked regarding the relationship with pets, 47.2% of the participants chose their pets to stay indoor (figure 4).

Precipitating factors means the factor which is responsible for the onset of allergies.

Question on "Do the participants allow their pets to sleep on the bed?", 73.6% of the population don't allow their pets to sleep on bed even though 26.42% allow their pets to sleep on their bed (figure 5). It is found that 50.9% used to sleep on the floor (figure 6). When the participants were asked about experiencing cough and sneeze in the morning, 43.4% of the participants have experienced coughing and sneezing in the morning even though 56.6% have not experienced it (figure 7).

Comorbidity factor means the co-occurrence of events for example after exposure to the pets some feel difficulty in breathing, continuous exposure might lead to asthma. In this study,52.8% of the participant's mother is more allergic than father 47.2% (figure 8). In some studies, there is a correlation between allergy and insulin resistance in this study, 32.08% of allergic people are diabetic though 67.92% are non-diabetic (figure 9). The study population with inherited traits for allergy, 18.87% have a family history of asthma (figure 10). Figure 11 represents the comparison between having pets at home and experiencing difficulty in breathing using the Pearson Chi-square. Figure 12 depicts the comparison between having pets at home and experiencing cough and sneezes in the morning using the Pearson chi-square test. Figure 13 depicts the comparison between having pets at home and episodes of respiratory disturbances using the Pearson Chi-square test. Figure 15 depicts the comparison between pets at home to the usage of drugs to control wheezing using the Pearson Chi-square test.

In this study, the hygiene of the pet owners was tested. The precipitating factors included are the relationship of the participants with pets, whether the participants allow their pets to sleep on their bed, whether the participants used to sleep on the floor. Pet allergens are found to be present on the floor or clothes which can lead to allergy. Sleeping on the floor results in contact with the dander and saliva of pets. A previous study shows the diagnostic approaches to pet allergies by checking the hygiene of the pet owners (30). Another study has reported that pet allergens can also be present on the surface of clothes and get transmitted (31). The comorbidity factors included are whether the participants experienced coughing and sneezing, experienced difficulty in breathing, experienced respiratory disturbance. Previous studies report that breathing difficulty after exposure to pets can lead to asthma (32).

Another study reported that immunomodulatory protein alters the immune response after exposure to pets which leads to allergy (33). The current study shows that mothers are more allergic. Several studies have reported that genetic changes can also be one of the causes of allergies by three mechanisms of epigenetic change (34). In some studies, there is a correlation betweenallergy and insulin resistance, in this study, there is little evidence of diabetes. Another study has shown that asthma is linked to epigenetic changes (35).



Figure 1: Pie chart depicting responses to the question regarding having pets at home. Blue represents yes and green represents no. 67.9% (blue) of the study participants have pets at home.



Figure 2: The pie chart shows responses to the question regarding the type of pet at home either dogs or cats, where blue color denotes 'dog', green denotes 'cat', 86.8% of the population have dogs (blue) than cats 13.2% (green).



Figure 3: The pie chart showing responses to the question if having pets at home can cause allergy, where blue color denotes 'yes', and green denotes 'no', 73.6% of the pet owners are aware that pets can cause allergies (Blue).



Figure 4:Pie chart depicts the response to the question on the relationship of the participants with pets, where blue color denotes 'indoor', green denotes 'outdoor', 52.8% of the pet owners chose their pets to stay outdoors (green)



Figure 5:Pie chart represents the response to the question on whether the participants allow their pets to sleep on the bed, where blue color denotes 'yes', green denotes 'no', 73.58% of the pet owners don't allow their pets to sleep on the bed (green) though 26.42% allow their pets to sleep on the bed (blue).



Figure 6: Pie chart showing responses to the question regarding whether the participants sleep on the floor, where blue color denotes 'yes', green denotes 'no', 50.94% of the participants used to sleep on the floor (blue).



Figure 7: The pie chart depicts the response to the question on study participants experiencing cough and sneezes in the morning, where blue color denotes 'yes', green denotes 'no', 43.4% of the participants have experienced coughing and sneezing in the morning (green)



Figure 8: Pie chart showing the responses to the question regarding "among parents who are allergic?", where blue color denotes 'father', green denotes 'mother', 52.83% (green) of the participants responded that mothers are allergic than father



Figure 9: Pie chart represents the response to the question of whether the allergic person is diabetic or not, where blue color denotes 'diabetic', green denotes 'non-diabetic', 67.92% of the people allergic is non-diabetic (green).



Figure 10: The pie chart depicts the response to the question of family history of asthma, where blue color denotes 'yes', green denotes 'no', 18.87% participants have a family history of asthma (green) even though 81.13% have no records of family history of asthma (blue).



Figure 11:The bar graph depicts the comparison between having pets at home and experiencing difficulty in breathing using the Pearson Chi-square where blue color denotes 'yes' and green denotes 'no'. The x-axis represents pets at participants' homes and the y-axis represents the number of participants who had difficulty breathing. The graph shows that 54.72% of participants who had pets at home did not experience difficulty in breathing. The Pearson chi-square value is (p)= 0.87 which is statistically not significant (p>0.05). Susceptibility to pet allergy varies and genetically predisposed.



Figure 12: The bar graph depicts the comparison between having pets at home and experiencing cough and sneezes in the morning using the Pearson chi-square test, where blue color denotes 'yes' and green denotes 'no'. The x-axis represents pets at participants' homes and the y-axis represents the number of participants who had coughs and sneezes in the morning. The graph shows that 30.19% of the participants who had pets at home experienced cough and sneeze in the morning. The Pearson chi-square value is p=0.82 which is statistically not significant (p>0.05).



Figure 13:The bar graph depicts the comparison between having pets at home and episodes of respiratory disturbances using the Pearson Chi-square test, where blue color denotes 'weekly', green color denotes 'monthly' and red denotes 'perennial'. The x-axis represents pets at participants' homes and the y-axis denotes the number of participants who had episodes of respiratory disturbances. The graph shows 24.53% of the participants experiencing breathing difficulty weekly have pets at their homes. The Pearson chi-square value is (p)=0.06 which is statistically not significant (p>0.05).



Figure 14:The bar graph depicts the comparison between pets at home and allergic responses in children using the Pearson Chi-square test, where blue color denotes '1 i.e boys' and green denotes '2 i.e girls'. The x-axis represents pets at home and the y-axis represents the number of allergic children. The graph shows that 60.38% of boys with pets at home are more allergic. The Pearson chi-square value is (p)=0.94 which is statistically not significant (p>0.05).



Figure 15:The bar graph depicts the comparison between pets at home to the usage of drugs to control wheezing using the Pearson Chi-square test, where blue color denotes 'yes' and green denotes 'no'. The x-axis represents pets at participant's homes and the y-axis represents drugs to control wheezing. The graph shows that 26.42% of the population who are undergoing drugs to control wheezing have pets at their homes. The Pearson chi-square value is (p)=0.04 which is statistically significant (p<0.05).

IV. CONCLUSION

It is a general belief and opinion that cough and sneeze is the influence of the environment. The environment is everything around us, composed of gaseous and particulate matter generated from the materials around us. Animal danders are proven to be allergic to many clinically proven allergic patients. The main aim of the study is to associate allergy and having pets at home. From the survey, it is obvious that the changing of the relationship of the pets from outdoor to indoor is the onset of the cause of allergy. Prevention can be done by avoiding exposure to pets allergen by keeping them clean when at home whether indoor or outdoor.

REFERENCES

- [1] Podberscek AL, Paul ES, Serpell JA. Companion Animals and Us: Exploring the Relationships Between People and Pets [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2005. 335 p. Available from: https://books.google.com/books/about/Companion_Animals_and_Us.html?hl=&id=tSs2yV_F4nOC
- [2] Lodge CJ, Allen KJ, Lowe AJ, Hill DJ, Hosking CS, Abramson MJ, et al. Perinatal Cat and Dog Exposure and the Risk of Asthma and Allergy in the Urban Environment: A Systematic Review of Longitudinal Studies [Internet]. Vol. 2012, Clinical and Developmental Immunology. 2012. p. 1–10. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/176484
- [3] Nilsson OB, van Hage M, Grönlund H. Mammalian-derived respiratory allergens Implications for diagnosis and therapy of individuals allergic to furry animals [Internet]. Vol. 66, Methods. 2014. p. 86–95. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2013.09.002
- [4] Díaz-Perales A, González-de-Olano D, Pérez-Gordo M, Pastor-Vargas C. Allergy to uncommon pets: new allergies but the same allergens. Front Immunol [Internet]. 2013 Dec 30;4:492. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2013.00492
- [5] Platts-Mills TAE. The allergy epidemics: 1870-2010. J Allergy Clin Immunol [Internet]. 2015 Jul;136(1):3–13. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2015.03.048
- [6] Dharmage SC, Lodge CL, Matheson MC, Campbell B, Lowe AJ. Exposure to cats: update on risks for sensitization and allergic diseases. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep [Internet]. 2012 Oct;12(5):413–23. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11882-012-0288-x
- [7] Arbes SJ, Cohn RD, Yin M, Muilenberg ML, Friedman W, Zeldin DC. Dog allergen (Can f 1) and cat allergen (Fel d 1) in US homes: Results from the National Survey of Lead and Allergens in Housing [Internet]. Vol. 114, Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2004. p. 111–7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2004.04.036
- [8] Wood RA, Johnson EF, Van Natta ML, Chen PH, Eggleston PA. A Placebo-controlled Trial of a HEPA Air

Cleaner in the Treatment of Cat Allergy [Internet]. Vol. 158, American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. 1998. p. 115–20. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.158.1.9712110

- [9] Almqvist C, Larsson PH, Egmar A-C, Hedrén M, Malmberg P, Wickman M. School as a risk environment for children allergic to cats and a site for transfer of cat allergen to homes [Internet]. Vol. 103, Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 1999. p. 1012–7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0091-6749(99)70172-7
- [10] Briner TJ, Kuo MC, Keating KM, Rogers BL, Greenstein JL. Peripheral T-cell tolerance induced in naive and primed mice by subcutaneous injection of peptides from the major cat allergen Fel d I [Internet]. Vol. 90, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1993. p. 7608–12. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.90.16.7608
- [11] Emara M, Royer P-J, Abbas Z, Sewell HF, Mohamed GG, Singh S, et al. Recognition of the Major Cat Allergen Fel d 1 through the Cysteine-rich Domain of the Mannose Receptor Determines Its Allergenicity [Internet]. Vol. 286, Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2011. p. 13033–40. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m111.220657
- [12] Kennedy PF, McGarvey MG. Animal-companion depictions in women's magazine advertising [Internet]. Vol. 61, Journal of Business Research. 2008. p. 424–30. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2007.07.013
- [13] Lim PS, Li J, Holloway AF, Rao S. Epigenetic regulation of inducible gene expression in the immune system. Immunology [Internet]. 2013 Jul;139(3):285–93. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/imm.12100
- [14] Breton CV, Byun H-M, Wang X, Salam MT, Siegmund K, Gilliland FD. DNA Methylation in the Arginase– Nitric Oxide Synthase Pathway Is Associated with Exhaled Nitric Oxide in Children with Asthma [Internet]. Vol. 184, American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. 2011. p. 191–7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201012-2029oc
- [15] Marickar RF, Geetha RV, Neelakantan P. Efficacy of contemporary and novel Intracanal medicaments against Enterococcus faecalis. J Clin Pediatr Dent [Internet]. 2014 Autumn;39(1):47–50. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.17796/jcpd.39.1.wmw9768314h56666
- [16] Paramasivam A, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J, Raghunandhakumar S. N6-adenosine methylation (m6A): a promising new molecular target in hypertension and cardiovascular diseases. Hypertens Res [Internet]. 2020 Feb;43(2):153–4. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41440-019-0338-z
- [17] Vaishali M, Geetha RV. Antibacterial activity of Orange peels oil on Streptococcus mutans and Enterococcus-An In-vitro study [Internet]. Vol. 11, Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology. 2018. p. 513. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/0974-360x.2018.00094.x
- [18] Girija As S, Priyadharsini J V. CLSI based antibiogram profile and the detection of MDR and XDR strains isolated from urine samples. Med J Islam Repub Iran [Internet]. 2019 Feb 8;33:3. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.34171/mjiri.33.3
- [19] Shahzan MS, Sohaib Shahzan M, Smiline Girija AS, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J. A computational study targeting the mutated L321F of ERG11 gene in C. Albicans, associated with fluconazole resistance with bioactive compounds from Acacia nilotica [Internet]. Vol. 29, Journal de Mycologie Médicale. 2019. p. 303–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mycmed.2019.100899
- [20] Ashwin KS, Muralidharan NP. Vancomycin-resistant enterococcus (VRE) vs Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA). Indian J Med Microbiol [Internet]. 2015 Feb;33 Suppl:166–7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0255-0857.150976
- [21] Girija SA, Jayaseelan VP, Arumugam P. Prevalence of VIM- and GIM-producing Acinetobacter baumannii from patients with severe urinary tract infection. Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung [Internet]. 2018 Dec 1;65(4):539–50. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1556/030.65.2018.038
- [22] Girija ASS, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J, Paramasivam A. Plasmid-encoded resistance to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole mediated by dfrA1, dfnA5, sul1 and sul2 among Acinetobacter baumannii isolated from urine samples of patients with severe urinary tract infection. J Glob Antimicrob Resist [Internet]. 2019 Jun;17:145–6. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2019.04.001
- [23] Priyadharsini JV, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J, Smiline Girija AS, Paramasivam A. An insight into the emergence of Acinetobacter baumannii as an oro-dental pathogen and its drug resistance gene profile – An in silico approach [Internet]. Vol. 4, Heliyon. 2018. p. e01051. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e01051
- [24] Pratha AA, Ashwatha Pratha A, Geetha RV. Awareness of Hepatitis-B vaccination among dental students-A Questionnaire Survey [Internet]. Vol. 10, Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology. 2017. p. 1360. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/0974-360x.2017.00240.2
- [25] Shahana RY, Muralidharan NP. Efficacy of mouth rinse in maintaining the oral health of patients attending orthodontic clinics [Internet]. Vol. 9, Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology. 2016. p. 1991. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/0974-360x.2016.00406.6
- [26] Selvakumar R, Np M. COMPARISON IN BENEFITS OF HERBAL MOUTHWASHES WITH

CHLORHEXIDINE MOUTHWASH: A REVIEW [Internet]. Vol. 10, Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research. 2017. p. 3. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ajpcr.2017.v10i2.13304

- [27] Smiline A, Vijayashree JP, Paramasivam A. Molecular characterization of plasmid-encoded blaTEM, blaSHV and blaCTX-M among extended-spectrum β-lactamases [ESBLs] producing Acinetobacter baumannii. Br J Biomed Sci [Internet]. 2018 Oct;75(4):200–2. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09674845.2018.1492207
- [28] M MA, Geetha RV, Thangavelu L. Evaluation evaluation of anti-inflammatory action of Laurus nobilis-an in vitro study of anti-inflammatory action of Laurus nobilis-an in vitro study [Internet]. Vol. 10, International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2019. p. 1209–13. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v10i2.408
- [29] Priyadharsini JV, Vijayashree Priyadharsini J, Smiline Girija AS, Paramasivam A. In silico analysis of virulence genes in an emerging dental pathogen A. baumannii and related species [Internet]. Vol. 94, Archives of Oral Biology. 2018. p. 93–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.2018.07.001
- [30] Konradsen JR, Fujisawa T, van Hage M, Hedlin G, Hilger C, Kleine-Tebbe J, et al. Allergy to furry animals: New insights, diagnostic approaches, and challenges [Internet]. Vol. 135, Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2015. p. 616–25. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2014.08.026
- [31] Enberg RN, Shamie SM, McCullough J, Ownby DR. Ubiquitous presence of cat allergen in cat-free buildings: probable dispersal from human clothing. Ann Allergy [Internet]. 1993 Jun;70(6):471–4. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8507041
- [32] Fernández C, Cárdenas R, Martín D, Garcimartín M, Romero S, de la Cámara AG, et al. Analysis of skin testing and serum-specific immunoglobulin E to predict airway reactivity to cat allergens [Internet]. Vol. 37, Clinical & Experimental Allergy. 2007. p. 391–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2007.02659.x
- [33] Herre J, Grönlund H, Brooks H, Hopkins L, Waggoner L, Murton B, et al. Allergens as Immunomodulatory Proteins: The Cat Dander Protein Fel d 1 Enhances TLR Activation by Lipid Ligands [Internet]. Vol. 191, The Journal of Immunology. 2013. p. 1529–35. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1300284
- [34] Hollingsworth JW, Maruoka S, Boon K, Garantziotis S, Li Z, Tomfohr J, et al. In utero supplementation with methyl donors enhances allergic airway disease in mice [Internet]. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 2008. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/jci34378
- [35] Selgrade MK, Blain RB, Fedak KM, Cawley MA. The potential risk of asthma associated with in utero exposure to xenobiotics [Internet]. Vol. 99, Birth Defects Research Part C: Embryo Today: Reviews. 2013. p. 1–13. Available from:http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bdrc.21028