

ENDODONTIC SEALERS WITH ANTIBIOFILM PROPERTIES - A REVIEW

¹M.Kavya Shree, ²Jayalakshmi, ³Balaji Ganesh S*, Leslie Rani.S⁴

Type of manuscript : Review article

Running title:Endodontic sealer with antibiofilm properties

M.Kavya shree, Jayalakshmi,Balaji Ganesh S, MDS, Leslie Rani. S,

ABSTRACT

Oral bacteria have evolved to form biofilms on hard tooth surfaces and dental materials. Dental materials used in root canal treatment have undergone substantial improvements over the past decade. However, in one place that still has the ability of passage fillings to effectively entomb, kill bacteria, and stop the formation of a biofilm, all of which will prevent reinfection of the root canal system. The most important work of the sealer is to seal the root canal system by removing the remaining bacteria and filling of inaccessible areas of prepared canals. Sealer selection may influence the outcome of endodontic treatment endodontics, root canal sealers is from observations of bases and liners containing the material and their antibacterial and tissue repair abilities. There are three types of endodontic sealers Group 1: Zinc oxide eugenol based sealers, for example, DSI Zoer, Tubli-Seal .Group2 :Calcium Hydroxide based sealers, for example, Sealpex, Group 3: Resin-based sealers, for example, AH26, AH Plus, Diaket, in order to enhance the antimicrobial properties of sealer ,nanoparticle has been incorporated into the sealers. It can reduce the microorganisms growth in the canal. The aim of this review article is to convey which endodontic sealer has good antibacterial effect and which has least antibacterial effect ,so several articles have been collected and analysed and concluded.

KEYWORD--- Root canal sealer;antimicrobial;biofilm;root canal;microorganisms

I. INTRODUCTION

Microorganisms and their products are the main etiologic factors responsible for pulpal diseases and periapical lesions[1][2]. Microorganisms found in root canals are commonly organized biofilms, in which they are more resistant to antimicrobials than bacteria in the planktonic state[3]. The objectives of root canal treatment are elimination of infection from the root canal and prevention of its reinfection by filling and sealing the root canal space [4][5]. Endodontic sealers play an important role in controlling infection by removing residual bacteria and protecting leakage of nutrients and reinfection of the root canal[6][7].

Saveetha dental college, Saveetha Institute of Medical And Technical Sciences, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India. Email: 151801080.sdc@saveetha.com
Phone number: 6361439545, Department of Dental material, Saveetha dental college, Saveetha Institute of Medical And Technical Sciences, Chennai, Tamil nadu, India. Email:jayalakshmisomasundaram@saveetha.com Phone number:9600586858, Scientist, White Lab-Material Research Centre Saveetha Institute of Medical And Technical Sciences, Chennai, Tamil nadu, India. Email:balajiganeshs.sdc@saveetha.com
Phone number:8220713554, Lecturer, Department of General Pathology, Saveetha dental college, Saveetha Institute of Medical And Technical Sciences, Chennai,
India. Email: leslieranis.sdc@saveetha.com Phone number: 9360293308**Corresponding author**
Dr.Balaji Ganesh S, MDS Scientist, White Lab-Material Research Centre, Saveetha Institute of Medical And Technical Sciences, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India. Email:balajiganeshs.sdc@saveetha.com Phone number:8220713554

Previous articles were referred their views about sealer are listed, Endodontic therapy is a way to eradicate the root canal microorganisms to achieve the fluid tight apical coronal sealers prevent and persist the microbial in root canal elimination in future reinfection[8]. Some of the histological evaluation has failed due to the root canal failure of endodontic therapy that persists the microorganisms within the root canal system after treatment [9]. Endodontic treatment failures due to the dominated anaerobic facultative microorganisms like gram-positive cocci and rods [10]. Last decades shows that intracanal bacteria were organized as biofilm which enables the higher resistance in both antimicrobial agents as well in host defense mechanisms [11]. Most endodontic sealers have antibacterial activity that initiates diffusion and tries to inhibit the reinfection. Mixed sealers have some components which are in fluid form like eugenol zinc oxide which contain thymol-para formaldehyde preventing the inflammation of dentin [12]. Methacrylate resin based root canal sealers which sometimes can cause inflammation[13] and can easily adhere to the root dentin which create an impervious seal that prevent microbial progressions in saliva[14]. There are so many endodontic sealers that among that zinc oxide eugenol has large microbial activity next to it calcium hydroxide shows large microbial activity. Antibacterial action of calcium hydroxide can release hydroxyl ions which have higher pH[15] can inhibit the growth of the microorganisms. It can be incorporated with chlorhexidine and cetrimide which add more degree of antimicrobial property to the sealer [16]. Chemicals like chlorhexidine have effective properties when it is incorporated with neem[17][18], benzalkonium chloride can be added to the sealers which increase the antibacterial property. When benzalkonium chloride chemical is incorporated with all the sealer the mechanism of this chemical it can alternate the cell membrane charge distribution and can cause bacterial death [19][20]. Sometimes the natural synthesized material can be used as root canal sealer[21][22].

The main difference between the previous article and this article emphasis the antimicrobial properties of endodontic sealers, where there are some articles which concentrate only on particular sealers whereas it is difficult to find out the good effective antimicrobial endodontic sealer.

Aim of the article is to find out the good effective antimicrobial that inhibits the growth of microorganisms in the root canal, by knowing better properties of sealer we can select the appropriate sealer during treatment properties. It acts as a sealer coat that helps from tooth reinfection.

II. MATERIALS:

Several review were collected from pubmed, Google scholar as it is based on the PMC database which midline embrace and cochrane. Only the antibacterial activity of endodontic sealers were considered whereas other properties of endodontic sealer were not considered

III. DISCUSSION

Root canal reinfection

The microbial etiology of an organism has ability to survive even in the harsh environmental conditions that are in the tooth which can easily adhere to the root canal, the access opening of the root canal is done by a rotary instrument [23][24][25]. The biofilms of the sealers are more resistant to disinfection and some natural extracted fruits can be used to prevent from the enamel erosion[26][27]. This disinfection when act in the root canal system can easily accomplish the microbial control phase. The purpose of root canal therapy is to clear up the intra-canal infection and thoroughly sterilize the root canal, and then fill up the root canal system dimensionally, so as to prevent reinfection. From proper disinfection and debridement of canals, there is one more factor which most important is the quality of obturation. For the successful RCT quality of the the sealer plays vital role and a veneer is a porcelain restoration covering the front of a tooth. When a veneered tooth is in need of root canal therapy, the endodontist will make an access point on the back side of the tooth then seal with a filling[28][29,30]. A well access opening, cleaning and shaping[31], sealing coronal restoration is essential after the completion of obturation as it would prevent the ingress of any microorganisms from the environment[32]. Intracanal medicaments have been used to disinfect root canals between appointments and reduce interappointment pain[33].

Pure form of sealers

There are some of the sealers which can be obtained naturally silicate based root canal sealed particles which incementation phase is composed on natural form materials like zirconium, hafnium and oxygen[34] and other sealer like MTA Fillapex revealed that its outer layer is rich in carbon, calcium, oxygen, silicon and titanium[35]. Which especially can bind, one more sealer AH plus resin based sealer which consist of oxygen, calcium, zirconium and tungsten these are the some of sealer which consist of pure of material[36]. Some of the sealer composition is represented as flowchart




Figure-1 Composition of sealers

IV. Sealers with antimicrobial properties

The most successful sealer is zinc oxide eugenol which has antimicrobial properties and is widely used among the clinicians especially used with thermoplasticized obturation technique[37]. Zinc oxide eugenol sealer with paraformaldehyde is both cytotoxic and mutagenic, inflammation with zinc oxide eugenol sealers has been seen, both in soft tissue and in the bone[38]. Calcium hydroxide sealers exhibit antimicrobial activity and have osteogenic-cementogenic potential. Addition of calcium hydroxide to the sealers, it is used as bases or liner antibacterial and tissue regenerating ability, the release of calcium hydroxyl ions through leaching to the surrounding tissue[39]. Sealapex is a kind of sealer that is made of calcium hydroxide, that undergoes several cytotoxic activities that probably resulted from components/additives such as polymethylene methyl[40][41]. Resin based sealers like AH26 is a slow setting epoxy resin that was found to release formaldehyde when setting. The modification formulation of AH Plus is AH 26 if formaldehyde is removed.

V. Antimicrobial effectiveness

In some article they have performed the antimicrobial activity within zinc oxide eugenol, calcium hydroxide, resin based, AH 26 and Apexit. This activity results that zinc oxide eugenol has largest zone of inhibitory whereas epoxy resin based sealer have less zone of inhibitory than zno E followed by calcium hydroxide[42] whereas AH 29 exhibited the zone of bacterial growth inhibition[43] whereas the Apexit sealers do not show any antimicrobial activity[44]. Antimicrobial growth of the sealer emphasizes the good ability of antimicrobial effect. Thus for the optimum growth of the microorganisms require several parameters like temperature, PH, time etc[45]. Antimicrobial activity of some of the sealer results are given in the flowchart form




Figure 2 Antimicrobial activity performed by sealer

The limitation of this study is that only few sealers were analysed for the antimicrobial property, the further scope of this studies naturally healing sealers can be found in order cause less reinfection in the root canal.

VI. CONCLUSION

Zinc oxide Eugenol has large microbial activity whereas epoxy resin based sealer has least antimicrobial activity than calcium hydroxide based root canal sealer shows most least antimicrobial activity. With the help of review article we can able to conclude that zinc oxide eugenol has large microbial activity so that can be used as endodontic sealer. This zinc oxide eugenol can be recommend for obturating material which has less toxic effect, that causes no reinfection in the canal.

REFERENCE

- [1] Beyth N, Shvero DK, Zaltsman N, Hour-Haddad Y, Abramovitz I, Davidi MP, et al. Rapid Kill—Novel Endodontic Sealer and Enterococcus faecalis. PLoS ONE 2013;8:e78586. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0078586>.
- [2] Janani K, Palanivelu A, Sandhya R. Diagnostic accuracy of dental pulse oximeter with customized sensor holder, thermal test and electric pulp test for the evaluation of pulp vitality - An in vivo study. Brazilian Dental Science 2020;23. <https://doi.org/10.14295/bds.2020.v23i1.1805>.
- [3] Upadya MH, Kishen A. Influence of bacterial growth modes on the susceptibility to light-activated disinfection. International Endodontic Journal 2010;43:978–87. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.2010.01717.x>.
- [4] Gagliani MM, Gorni FGM, Strohmenger L. Periapical resurgery versus periapical surgery: a 5-year longitudinal comparison. International Endodontic Journal 2005;38:320–7. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.2005.00950.x>.
- [5] Hancock HH, Sigurdsson A, Trope M, Moiseiwitsch J. Bacteria isolated after unsuccessful endodontic treatment in a North American population. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology 2001;91:579–86. <https://doi.org/10.1067/moe.2001.113587>.
- [6] Kapralos V, Koutroulis A, Ørstavik D, Sunde PT, Rukke HV. Antibacterial Activity of Endodontic Sealers against Planktonic Bacteria and Bacteria in Biofilms. J Endod 2018;44:149–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2017.08.023>.
- [7] Ramamoorthi S, Nivedhitha MS, Divyanand MJ. Comparative evaluation of postoperative pain after using endodontic needle and EndoActivator during root canal irrigation: A randomised controlled trial. Aust Endod J 2015;41:78–87. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aej.12076>.
- [8] Paz LEC de, de Paz LEC, Marsh PD. Ecology and Physiology of Root Canal Microbial Biofilm Communities. Springer Series on Biofilms 2015:3–22. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-47415-0_1.
- [9] Ricucci D, Siqueira JF, Bate AL, Pitt Ford TR. Histologic Investigation of Root Canal-treated Teeth with Apical Periodontitis: A Retrospective Study from Twenty-four Patients. Journal of Endodontics 2009;35:493–

502. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2008.12.014>.
- [10] Grönholm L, Lemberg KK, Tjäderhane L, Lauhio A, Lindqvist C, Rautemaa-Richardson R. The role of unfinished root canal treatment in odontogenic maxillofacial infections requiring hospital care. *Clinical Oral Investigations* 2013;17:113–21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-012-0710-8>.
- [11] Gillen BM, Looney SW, Gu L-S, Loushine BA, Weller RN, Loushine RJ, et al. Impact of the quality of coronal restoration versus the quality of root canal fillings on success of root canal treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Endod* 2011;37:895–902. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2011.04.002>.
- [12] Kayaoglu G, Erten H, Alaçam T, Ørstavik D. Short-term antibacterial activity of root canal sealers towards *Enterococcus faecalis*. *International Endodontic Journal* 2005;38:483–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.2005.00981.x>.
- [13] Ramesh S, Teja K, Priya V. Regulation of matrix metalloproteinase-3 gene expression in inflammation: A molecular study. *Journal of Conservative Dentistry* 2018;21:592. https://doi.org/10.4103/jcd.jcd_154_18.
- [14] Kim YK, Grandini S, Ames JM, Gu L-S, Kim SK, Pashley DH, et al. Critical Review on Methacrylate Resin-based Root Canal Sealers. *Journal of Endodontics* 2010;36:383–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2009.10.023>.
- [15] Bodrumlu E, Semiz M. Antibacterial activity of a new endodontic sealer against *Enterococcus faecalis*. *J Can Dent Assoc* 2006;72:637.
- [16] Siqueirajr J, Favieri A, Gahyva S, Moraes S, Lima K, Lopes H. Antimicrobial Activity and Flow Rate of Newer and Established Root Canal Sealers. *Journal of Endodontics* 2000;26:274–7. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004770-200005000-00005>.
- [17] Siddique R, Sureshababu NM, Somasundaram J, Jacob B, Selvam D. Qualitative and quantitative analysis of precipitate formation following interaction of chlorhexidine with sodium hypochlorite, neem, and tulsi. *J Conserv Dent* 2019;22:40–7. https://doi.org/10.4103/JCD.JCD_284_18.
- [18] Noor SSSE, S Syed Shihaab, Pradeep. Chlorhexidine: Its properties and effects. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology* 2016;9:1755. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-360x.2016.00353.x>.
- [19] Neff T, Layman D, Jeansonne BG. In vitro cytotoxicity evaluation of endodontic sealers exposed to heat before assay. *J Endod* 2002;28:811–4. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004770-200212000-00005>.
- [20] Duarte MAH, Ordinola-Zapata R, Bernardes RA, Bramante CM, Bernardineli N, Garcia RB, et al. Influence of calcium hydroxide association on the physical properties of AH Plus. *J Endod* 2010;36:1048–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2010.02.007>.
- [21] R R, Rajakeerthi R, Ms N. Natural Product as the Storage medium for an avulsed tooth – A Systematic Review. *Cumhuriyet Dental Journal* 2019;22:249–56. <https://doi.org/10.7126/cumudj.525182>.
- [22] Rajendran R, Kunjusankaran RN, Sandhya R, Anilkumar A, Santhosh R, Patil SR. Comparative Evaluation of Remineralizing Potential of a Paste Containing Bioactive Glass and a Topical Cream Containing Casein Phosphopeptide-Amorphous Calcium Phosphate: An in Vitro Study. *Pesquisa Brasileira Em Odontopediatria E Clínica Integrada* 2019;19:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.4034/pboci.2019.191.61>.
- [23] Zhang C, Du J, Peng Z. Correlation between *Enterococcus faecalis* and Persistent Intraradicular Infection Compared with Primary Intraradicular Infection: A Systematic Review. *J Endod* 2015;41:1207–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2015.04.008>.
- [24] Ramanathan S, Solete P. Cone-beam Computed Tomography Evaluation of Root Canal Preparation using Various Rotary Instruments: An in vitro Study. *The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice* 2015;16:869–72. <https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10024-1773>.
- [25] Jose J, P. A, Subbaiyan H. Different Treatment Modalities followed by Dental Practitioners for Ellis Class 2 Fracture – A Questionnaire-based Survey. *The Open Dentistry Journal* 2020;14:59–65. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1874210602014010059>.
- [26] Neelakantan P, Romero M, Vera J, Daoud U, Khan A, Yan A, et al. Biofilms in Endodontics—Current Status and Future Directions. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 2017;18:1748. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18081748>.
- [27] Nasim I, Nandakumar M. Comparative evaluation of grape seed and cranberry extracts in preventing enamel erosion: An optical emission spectrometric analysis. *Journal of Conservative Dentistry* 2018;21:516. https://doi.org/10.4103/jcd.jcd_110_18.
- [28] Tronstad L, Asbjørnsen K, Døving L, Pedersen I, Eriksen HM. Influence of coronal restorations on the periapical health of endodontically treated teeth. *Endod Dent Traumatol* 2000;16:218–21. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-9657.2000.016005218.x>.
- [29] Ravinthar K, Jayalakshmi. Recent Advancements in Laminates and Veneers in Dentistry. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology* 2018;11:785. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-360x.2018.00148.8>.
- [30] Kumar D, Delphine Priscilla Antony S. Calcified Canal and Negotiation-A Review. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology* 2018;11:3727. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-360x.2018.00683.2>.
- [31] Teja KV, Ramesh S. Shape optimal and clean more. *Saudi Endodontic Journal* 2019;9:235.

- https://doi.org/10.4103/sej.sej_72_19.
- [32] Kerekes K, Tronstad L. Long-term results of endodontic treatment performed with a standardized technique. *J Endod* 1979;5:83–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399\(79\)80154-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(79)80154-5).
- [33] Manohar M, Sharma S. A survey of the knowledge, attitude, and awareness about the principal choice of intracanal medicaments among the general dental practitioners and nonendodontic specialists. *Indian Journal of Dental Research* 2018;29:716. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijdr.ijdr_716_16.
- [34] Camilleri J. Tricalcium Silicate Cements with Resins and Alternative Radiopacifiers. *Journal of Endodontics* 2014;40:2030–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2014.08.016>.
- [35] Camilleri J. Characterization and hydration kinetics of tricalcium silicate cement for use as a dental biomaterial. *Dental Materials* 2011;27:836–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2011.04.010>.
- [36] Viapiana R, Guerreiro-Tanomaru JM, Hungaro-Duarte MA, Tanomaru-Filho M, Camilleri J. Chemical characterization and bioactivity of epoxy resin and Portland cement-based sealers with niobium and zirconium oxide radiopacifiers. *Dental Materials* 2014;30:1005–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2014.05.007>.
- [37] Gutmann JL, Rakusin H. Perspectives on root canal obturation with thermoplasticized injectable gutta-percha. *Int Endod J* 1987;20:261–70. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.1987.tb00625.x>.
- [38] Zander HA. Reaction of the Pulp to Calcium Hydroxide. *Journal of Dental Research* 1939;18:373–9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345390180040601>.
- [39] Miletić I, Anić I, Karlović Z, Marsan T, Pezelj-Ribarić S, Osmak M. Cytotoxic effect of four root filling materials. *Endod Dent Traumatol* 2000;16:287–90. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-9657.2000.016006287.x>.
- [40] Nasim I, Hussainy S, Thomas T, Ranjan M. Clinical performance of resin-modified glass ionomer cement, flowable composite, and polyacid-modified resin composite in noncarious cervical lesions: One-year follow-up. *Journal of Conservative Dentistry* 2018;21:510. https://doi.org/10.4103/jcd.jcd_51_18.
- [41] Bouillaguet S, Wataha JC, Tay FR, Brackett MG, Lockwood PE. Initial in vitro biological response to contemporary endodontic sealers. *J Endod* 2006;32:989–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2006.05.006>.
- [42] Orstavik D. Antibacterial properties of root canal sealers, cements and pastes. *Int Endod J* 1981;14:125–33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.1981.tb01073.x>.
- [43] Grossman L. Antimicrobial effect of root canal cements. *J Endod* 1980;6:594–7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399\(80\)80019-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(80)80019-7).
- [44] Spångberg LS, Barbosa SV, Lavigne GD. AH 26 releases formaldehyde. *J Endod* 1993;19:596–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399\(06\)80272-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(06)80272-4).
- [45] Meyer BK, Ni A, Hu B, Shi L. Antimicrobial preservative use in parenteral products: Past and present. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 2007;96:3155–67. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.20976>.