A Pragmatic Study Of BBC and CNN Economic News

<sup>1</sup>Hussein Hameed Aubdlatif, <sup>2</sup>Anees Izzat Salman

### Abstract

The present study is an attempt to check and analyze the BBC and CCN economic news from pragmatic perspective. The study aims at achieving the following two principle objectives. The first one is to talk about and make sense of discourse act hypothesis just as its different sorts, while the second one is to test discourse acts idea and apply them to monetary news inside chief activity. It is noted that Discourse Act assumes a significant task in the field of talk examination and are the most significant guideline and standard for a book, which is clear when deciphering a particular talk text (found in one sentence or gathering of sentences). The present analysis is tied in with utilizing various sorts of the discourse demonstration in chose English monetary news. They were picked arbitrarily that from News channels BBC and CNN, The issue that the analyst bargains inside this paper is to distinguish the various kinds of discourse in the monetary content and afterward comprehend why some discourse demonstrations are worked more than others. The examination meant to contemplate discourse acts in the chose English financial news for five writings. The theoretical structure of the investigation was examined monetary news to accomplish consequences of discourse acts in the news that would be referenced later, The current paper falls into two parts and an end. However, the study will adopt notions taken from Grice's cooperative principles (1995), Austin speech act theory (1962) and Searles' speech act theory (1969) as its model since their notions are relative to the aims of present study.

**Keywords:** Speech Act Theory, the Model of Study, the News

# 1. Introduction

Van Dijk (1931) argues that pragmatics is characterized as the investigation of implications as imparted by a speaker/author and autonomous by audience. While, Yule (1996:3) adds that the importance is delivered by a speaker is the principle enthusiasm here on the grounds that what is proposed by the speaker is all around decoded by the audience. He likewise characterizes it as the investigation of the undetectable significance. Likewise, Meyer (2009:48) presents the job of setting in deciding the expected importance so as to decipher it accurately. He attempts to show the significance of the external components which setting plays. Along these lines, pragmatics could be introduced as the investigation of standards which administer language being used (Van Dijk, 1931:23). Any error of the planned significance isn't a direct result of the abuse of down to business

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Diyala Preparatory School for Boys, Ministry of Education

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The General Directorate For Education of Diyala

devices (discourse acts, ensnare, presupposition). To Austin (1962), it is pointing at discourse go about as a term, when he says that we are not simply mouthing words rather we are playing out specific activities. In his book, "How to Do Things with Words", he inspires others to start a new way of thinking and paves the way for the others to see words that are uttered as actions and not only mere words. He shifts others' view to find words as speech acts.

Then pragmatic focuses on how speakers and writers use their knowledge to convey meanings. In short, pragmatic studies how language is used in the interaction of actual utterances. This means that people who study pragmatic are interested in when in when language is used, where its used, how its used by, what its used for, and perhaps most importantly, how it gets interpreted as doing things it is used for by the people who use it when they do so. Yule (1996:47) states that we should not stick to the idea that we are only speaking, rather we are doing actions throughout utterances. Thus, speech acts are the minimal units of comparable discourse. Searle (1969: 16) who assumes that "speech act is the basic or minimal unit of linguistic communication". As a conclusion, we can say that One of the important subdivision of pragmatics is speech acts. Speech acts take a valuable place within pragmatics among other fields because of its great use in our daily life. This study will deal with it in detail.

# 2. Speech Act Theory

Pragmatics contains numerous viewpoints or fields, one of these angles is discourse acts. Discourse acts are a lot of activities did by words. Straightforward words, for example, this line are just the advancement of this subject and we have to have a more critical look. Discourse acts are not another subject and it is concentrated by numerous researchers and language savants. Austin (1962), is pointing at discourse go about as a term, when he says that we are not simply mouthing words rather we are playing out specific activities. In his book, "How to Do Things with Words", he rouses others to begin another perspective and prepares for the others to see words that are articulated as activities and not just insignificant words. He moves others' view to discover words as discourse acts. There are acts that critically include in the creation of language for the most part and discourse act explicitly. It is normal to perceive three kinds of acts, they are as per the following: locutionary act, illocutionary act, and perlocutionary act.

'/performing/ a locutionary act ... is roughly equivalent to uttering a certain sentence with a certain sense and reference, which is again equivalent to meaning in the traditional sense. Secondly, we said that we also perform illocutionary acts such as informing, ordering, warning, undertaking, etc., i.e. utterances which have a certain conventional force. Thirdly, we may also perform perlocutionary acts: what we bring about or achieve by saying something, such as convincing, persuading, deterring and even, say, surprising or misleading'. (Austin, 1962: 108)

To Abdulla (1989) Austin acknowledges a particular sense and reference to anything we state. It isn't just saying something, rather it is doing sure things, that Leech (1983) and Meyer (2009), are about arrangement of steps to play out a discourse demonstration through expressing a sentence. The initial step is the point at which the language client expresses a word then it is the (articulating demonstration). From that point onward,

the turn of the propositional demonstration happens through creation reference and forecast. Next, a particular aim is made by a power through discourse which is known as the "illocutionary power". Illocutionary act proceeds after the locutionary demonstration (expression act and propositional act). Additionally, the creation of a specific impact on others is known as the perlocutionary demonstration. While, Yule (1996:47) states that we ought not adhere to the possibility that we are just talking, rather we are doing activities all through expressions. Salgado (2011:21) says that "discourse demonstrations are the negligible units of similar talk". Searle (1969: 16) who accept that "discourse demonstration is the essential or negligible unit of semantic correspondence".

However, Reiter (2000: 31) remarks that the proposal of Austin whether there are people who use their language to do a certain thing or to let others do something for them in return, and that is not just by uttering words rather using some words as a tool to perform certain actions.

Similarly, speech act theory is a sub-branch of pragmatic that deals with how words are used to carry out the action along with presenting the information. Speech act theory puts forward by oxford philosopher J. L. Austin in his famous book "How to do the thing with words". This theory is further developed by the American philosopher J. R. Searle. This theory considers the degree to which utterances are said to perform locutionary act, illocutionary act, and perlocutionary act Then, pragmatics is developed as a subfield of linguistics in the 1970s.In his book "Signs, Language and Behavior,", Morris the terms deals with the origin, uses, and effects of the signs within the total behavior and interpretation of the signs. According to his signs refers to the subtle movement, gestures, tone of voice, and body language that often accompany speech.

### 2.1. Grice's Cooperative Principle

To Grice(1989:13) the idea of agreeable guideline in a discussion among individuals. This idea shows the casing of how the collaboration will be fruitful among the conversationalists by giving various sayings under the name of co-employable standards Finegan(2008:287) contends that the rules that control the comprehension or translating the unction, articulations are mind boggling and changed. They are even not steady inside the way of life of a similar culture, rather, they are not the same as culture. In spite of the fact that misinterpretations happen in correspondence, individuals can get articulations. The explanation is that the questioners believe that their accomplices follow the equivalent interpretive shows. Grice depicts the helpful standard as follows: "Make your conversational, for example, is required, at the phase at which it happens, by the acknowledged reason or heading of the discussion trade in which you are engaged."(cited in Finegan, 2008: 287).

## 2.1.1. The Maxims of Grice's Cooperative Principle

Grice (1989:26-270 refers to the maxims of cooperative principle as follows:

A. The Maxims of Quality

Try to make your contribution that is true, specifically:

- (i) Do not say what you believe to be false
- (ii) Do not say that for which you lack adequate evidence
- B. The Maxims of Quantity

(i) make your contribution as informative than is required

C. The Maxims of Relevance

Make your contribution

D. The Maxims of Manner

Be perspicuous, and specifically

Avoid obscurity

Avoid ambiguity

Be brief

Be orderly

## 2.2. Austin's Speech Act Theory

Austin was a British scholar just as a speaker at Oxford University. He was the principal researcher who presented the possibility of discourse act that show the capacities being performed by articulations as the methods for relational correspondence. He passed on before indicating his own plans to general society. At that point, his understudies gather his talks and distributed them as a book entitled "How to Do Things with Words" (Levinson, 2016: 3). With his book, Austin demonstrated the reason for discourse act hypothesis, later on, he built up his own thought attempting to address a few ideas in his old hypothesis (Yule, 1996:54). Austin asserts, his first thought, that numerous expressions verbally expressed by various individuals are really activities in source. At the point when somebody says that 'I sentence you to death', he is delivering a judgment that we can get things done with words and not just expressing a few words with confined implications (Searle, 1969: 234). Along these lines, in saying something has a specific sense and reference, he isn't just saying something however he is accomplishing something rather. An activity of an expression is tallied in the event that it shows side to agree with explicit socially or institutionally clear conditions (Bach and Harnish (1979). Saeed (2003:234) states that Austin shows three suspicions as follows:

- the fundamental sentence type is the decisive one
- depicting the condition of issue is the most significant utilization of language
- Truth can test and portray the significance of an articulation

To Saeed (ibid) Austin shows felicity conditions that are utilized in discourse act hypothesis to check whether the discourse demonstration accomplishes the objective or not. As it were, that some illocutionary demonstrations are left to be judged on the off chance that it satisfies its points or not. Such conditions show that not anybody has the power to state anything he desires. This is possibly should be possible on the off chance that the expression meets all the conditions, at that point it is called as well suited, however on the off chance that it isn't meeting these conditions, the articulation is called inadvisable. Austin shows three kinds of felicity conditions and these conditions are 'preliminary conditions' the place the individual ought to be the correct one

who should convey the demonstration with a regular technique and impact. The subsequent one is 'conditions for execution' that the methodology must be right and complete. The third one is 'earnestness conditions' the place the individual must have the planned goals and what outcomes are indicated must be taken by different members.

# 2.3. Searle's Speech Acts Theory

Searle is language philosopher and one of the most important developers of speech act theory. He was one of Austin's students. His ideas are not quite fit to what Austin shows in his book "How to Do Things with Word". He develops some of the main ideas and finds some new speech act verbs and he argues some speech acts. Searle holds Austin's thought of the illocutionary act but he argues division between locutionary and illocutionary. Searle appreciates that meaning and force are inseparable, so he concludes that illocutionary and locutionary are possibly separable but he can't separate the illocutionary force from meaning within the utterance because it is part of it. Searle shows that the act could occur with an utterance containing at least three distinct acts: a) the uttering of words (morphemes, sentences), b) referring and predicting, c) stating, commanding, requesting, ordering etc. Searle (1969: 23) clarifies how words carry reference only with the existence of context of the sentence.

In Searle's previous studies, he follows the theory of Austin, he distinguishes the separation between the phonetic act and the phatic act. He also shows that any utterance could have some kind of illocutionary force because it is hard to do any cutting works between propositional act and Austin's rhetoric act of the utterance. Abdulla (1989) states that propositional act is determined by reference and prediction which he calls referential act and prediction act. He shows a parallel idea with Austin about both illocutionary and perlocutionary acts (ibid). To Mey (2001: 119), Searle additionally sets his own order of discourse act scientific classification that he utilizes it to orchestrate and arrange it into its reasonable classification. Searle's classifications of discourse act are five and they are as per the following:

- 1-Assertives: these demonstrations cause the listener to accept what is said by the speaker as on account of (propose, swear, state, guidance ...)
- 2-Directives: these demonstrations endeavor by the speaker to get the listener to accomplish something, similar to (order, request, demand, ...)
- 3-Commissives: these demonstrations compel the speaker to some future courses, similar to (a portion of Austin's Commissives as 'plan', 'favor' ... and so forth.)
- 4-Expressives: these are utilized to communicate the situation as well as emotions that shows the mental state determined in the genuineness conditions, similar to (sympathy, apologize, thank, ... and so forth.)
- 5-Declaratives: these demonstrations are utilized to tie the propositional substance and reality. Fruitful execution is the point at which the demonstration compares to the world, as (declare, resign, approve, pronounce, leave, endorse, report, ... and so forth.)

# 3. Classification of Speech Act

Mey (2001: 120) specifies that on any event, the activity performed by delivering an articulation will comprise of three related acts:

1-Lectionary act : which is the fundamental demonstration of articulation , or delivering a significant semantic articulation.

2-Illocutionary act: which is performed by means of the informative power of an articulation. This sort of discourse acts is additionally commonly known as the illocutionary power of the articulation.

3-Perlocutionary act: this sort is just make an expression with a capacity without expecting it to have an impact. It fundamentally implies that the listener will perceive the impact you expected, for instance, to represent a magnificent smell, or to get the listener to drink some espresso as appeared in the accompanying sentence: I v'e just made some coffee.

# 4. Perspective View of Media Discourse

The notion Media discourse is a multidisciplinary field. Notwithstanding broad enthusiasm for media and social examinations, it is the subject of investigation in etymology especially discussion investigation, basic talk investigation, ethnography of correspondence, phonetic human sciences, pragmatics and sociolinguistics and furthermore in social topography, brain research and human science and. This decent variety and spread is both a quality and a shortcoming. The disciplinary assorted variety of media talk as a field is reflected in its procedures , at the same, The idea of talk regularly alludes to a specific consciousness of social effects on the utilization of language. Discourse is what is truly spoken or composed. Discourse is likewise used to gift sign of language that are coordinated by social impacts from society as entirety. (Robertson, 2010:11). Interestingly, media talk is thought about as being very hard to characterize. In any case, it's frequently imperative to distinguish what it's. Media talk isn't eye to eye correspondence. it's a progressing procedure coordinated into our correspondences. Additionally it's characterized on the grounds that the boundaries inside which a specific issue is openly examined or encircled by the media. it's associated with the connections, Bach and Harnish (1979) for instance, guaranteed that, by 'harshly' surrounding abstract relational highlights in hard news reports, columnists can, through 'objective' language, imply to be unbiased, basically where formal language gives the facade of lack of bias. White proposes that the utilization of such an indifferent register is however 'an expository trick to help the Of note, Bach and Harnish (ibid) also distinguish the language of papers as one of the four significant registers in the English language, alongside spoken discussion, scholarly composition and fiction. Much consideration is given to 'type examination's in the etymological investigation of papers. That is the place the language utilized in print media is portrayed as far as what makes it unique in relation to other 'kinds' of language, and in so depicting it etymologists expect to show up at a superior comprehension of individual sort attributes. muddling of a correspondent's subjectivity'. Be that as it may, quantitative estimating of journalistic prejudice has generally been left to different orders, for example, content examination. While, O'Keeffe (2011) characterized media talk as the collaboration that happens in the communicate stage whether . This communication incorporates the spoken and composed connection strategies. Talk is being gone ahead to non-present, readers or listeners. Speech or discourse is coordinated for these beneficiaries, who regularly can't make prompt reactions to the

producer(s) of the talk, however this is changing with the coming of new media innovation. O'Keeffe (ibid) defines distinctive features of media discourse that include:

- -Group correlation (the author shares the views of his group )
- -Publicity (focusing on mass addressee)
- -Diss orientation (creating conflict with its following discussion)
- -Staging and mass orientation (impact on several groups simultaneously)

It should be added that media discourse mirrors the state of society, reflects both positive and negative changes in a certain period of its development. Choosing a linguistic unit, grammatical form, or structure addressers show not only their individual language preferences and habits, but also signify about language skills of individual classes and social groups, i.e. the society of a certain historical period.

## **5. News Channels**

News is one of the most important area of study for those who really went to reveal the linguistic aspects of using language in media discourse. There are many ways of defining news standpoints. Hameed (2017) views news, by the editor during 19th century, Charles Dane, as news is anything which interests large part of the community and which has never been brought to their attention. This interpretation ascertains that news has to attract people's attention and if it is common for the readers, so it will not interest them.

News is considered as a key role in bringing people together for better communication in community. It also gives information for people to act their opinions about the event of the world that happen around them. Generally, 'News' is the communication of selected information on current events which is presented by print, broadcast, Internet, or word of mouth to a third-party or mass audience. Or also may be means Works consisting of an announcement or statement of recent or current events of new data and matters of interest in the field of medicine, science or economic.

# 5.1. Kinds Of News

News has several kinds or types as to the event variety that occur. Generally, According to Asst. Lect. Hameed H. in his essay mention that There are two main types according to Rowe (2005:18) segregates between these two types as follows:

- A- Hard news is the news that treats events and development that occur on a daily basis or still be under way. This news is serious and news for people and can't be delayed for another day. The types of such news are politics, economics, laws, sciences, crime and so on.
- B- Soft news refers to news that adds things or events to remember .Such news are not urgent or being necessary to be distributed at the same day. This news is a social one. These news can be told tomorrow or any other day such news includes people, places, communities, problems and so on. (Hameed, 2017:79)

# 6. Methodology

The aim of the current examination is to find the manner in which discourse acts are utilized inside the structure of the monetary news messages and to discover their motivations. Then again, it is fundamental to choose which discourse act is utilized more than the others since it speaks to the etymological attributes of the monetary news and the way that discourse demonstration decide the ideal significance. Thusly, the examination of the discourse demonstrations in the chose writings will be introduced by the scientist as indicated by the model of discourse acts presented via Searle (1969). Through breaking down action words inside sentences of the five articles, the analyst can decide the sort of discourse acts generally utilized in the chose tests. The way toward dissecting the information will choose which of the discourse demonstrations has more event in the financial report detailing. Those discourse demonstrations will be brought up physically by the specialist. The discourse demonstrations utilized are Emphatic, Mandates, Commissures, Expressive and Declaratives. By dissecting them in the request they were introduced, it tends to be closed why some discourse demonstrations are more repetitive than others.

### **6.1.** Data

The paper is about researching discourse acts type and systems utilized in economic news, the discourse demonstration of recommendation gave by methods for discourse act methodology of certain exhibition is the most regularly utilize monetary news. with examination of information of this work the point of this examination is explored picked through five writings we will investigation the of certain monetary paper articles from the English telecom organization known as BBC and from American telecom office CNN. The articles manage diverse monetary themes and were distributed on various dates. Therefore, they will speak to the five examples which are the information of investigation. As referenced before in the examination, there are two kinds of news: hard news and delicate news. The first alludes to news that are dire and arrangement while the subsequent one alludes to news that present fascinating and bizarre events that doesn't required quick inclusion. The five articles are in the class of hard news since that they are arrangement and present data about consistent schedule happenings.

### 6.2. Analysis and Discussion

Via the verbs, we can know the sort of discourse acts are utilized in news, as needs be to Searle's hypothesis which we embrace for examination articulations are doled out to one of five potential discourse act, They will be broke down thusly to comprehend why some discourse demonstrations are worked more than others investigating the writings it shows that as follows:

## 6.2.1 Assertive utterances

It is the discourse demonstration wherein the speaker use language to declare certain convictions or information. Assertive fundamental designs are to introduce realities and to advise. The following model means one of the emphatic discourse act utilized in the articles.

(BBC) "The Bank's Fiscal Approach Advisory group (MPC) casted a ballot 7-2 for keeping the official rate on hold."

The action word "vote" reports what the speakers did in a confident way. All through the five articles, 38 emphatic discourse acts are found.

### 6.2.2. Directive utterances

It is the situation where the speaker endeavor to make the recipient lead a demonstration or quit doing it. Mandate expressions can be isolated into three kinds: solicitations, orders and proposals.

(BBC) "However numerous examiners advised that development could cool in coming months"

In the above model, the action word "advised" utilized by the speaker to cause the recipient to accomplish something with respect to the "development". Order expressions are utilized once.

## 6.2.3. Declaratives utterances

Discourse acts that cause the technique they portray, for example, favors, captures, sanctifications firings, wedding and offers. Decisive discourse acts are authentic for the situation they were spoken by someone who has the option to make them in circumstances which are perceived as applicable.

(CNN) "One basic measure surveys the volume of news inclusion wherein the words "economy" or "financial" show up nearby "dubious" or "vulnerability" to gauge how much the media is concentrating on worries about monetary soundness."

The five articles have one definitive sentence.

#### 6.2.4. Commissive Utterances

It intends to resolve to activities due to occur later on. The speaker can do the demonstration and plan to perform it.

(BBC) "Media subtitle Trump declares 'stunning' development - and vows to go higher"

The action word "guarantees" implies a commissive discourse act in light of the fact that the speaker invests in "go higher". Four Commissive discourse acts are found.

# 6.2.5. Expressive utterances

It happens when the speaker passes on sentiments about a specific circumstance previously. It comes in numerous structures, for example, saying 'sorry', admitting, denying and conceding something.

(BBC) "The Bank admitted one of its suppliers had misused the feed to give hedge funds early access to information"

In the above example, the purpose of the verb "admitted" is to express emotions. Four Expressive speech acts are found.

Along these lines, we can find that the results presents the way that economic news articles are built up to make readers think about business news and the monetary condition broadly and globally. It described by being immediate and educational without abstract meddle from the essayist. However, the exploration demonstrates that self-assured discourse acts are overwhelmingly utilized in the articles' writings since they

report realities and data. Accordingly, it capacities as the most utilized discourse act in the economic news articles.

## 7. Conclusions

The present study can sum up with the following conclusions:

The written patterns of economic news articles in most cases are alike. Through the even minded examination, the method of utilizing news isn't irregular, it depends on semantic decisions that pass on the elements of acquainting news with the readers as an initial step. In like manner, they are not erratic on the grounds that they adhere to exacting even minded guidelines. Along these lines, the etymological qualities of the previously mentioned articles are dictated by news techniques that are intended to give readers a superior enlightening encounter. Utilizing discourse acts in monetary news articles carries out to give punishments a specific significance relying upon the sort of discourse acts utilized in a sentence.

The fundamental motivation behind monetary news articles is to advise readers about budgetary and financial strategies and their connection to legislative issues. Consequently, confident discourse acts are essentially utilized on the grounds that they are worried about realities and used to assert information or convictions. Then again, the staying four sorts were not utilized the same number of times as the confident in light of the fact that their work fills different needs than revealing and determining what occurred, this examination is would have liked to be an incentive to the individuals who are keen on manner of speaking ,down to business and applied etymology, it adds to a more profound appreciation of way of talking even minded investigation, as a fundamental invasion of the utilization of language to draw in and convince others in regular day to day existence circumstances.

So the present investigation shows that news has a sober minded impact through the action words utilized in the content chosen, the motivation behind being brief and utilizing one discourse act more than other to work the feeling of illuminating.

# References

- 1. Abdulla, H. I. (1989). A pragmatic Analysis of Two Excerpts from Robert's Bolt's A Man All Seasons. University of Lancaster.
- 2. Al-Sulaimaan, M. M. (2010). Semntics and Pragmatics. Mosul: Mosul University Press.
- 3. Austin, J. L. (1962). How To Do Things With Words. London: Oxford University Press.
- 4. Bach, K. & Harnish, R. (1979). Linguistics Communication and Speech Acts. Cambridge: The MIT Press.
- 5. Grice, M. L. (1995). Speech Acts and Conversational Interaction. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 6. (1975). "Logic and Conversation", In Cole, P. and Morgan, J. (eds.), Syntax and Semantics Vol. III: Speech Acts. New York: Academic Press.

- 7. Hameed, H.(2017). "Using Speech Acts And Maxims In Selected Summary Leads In News Web Version Of American Agencies: Pragmatics Study "University of Kufa.
- 8. Jeff . Rowe. (2005). Broadcast New Writing for Professional . Oak Park :Marion Street Press. Inc.
- 9. Leech, G. (1983). Principles of Pragmatics. NY: Longman.
- 10. Meyer, C. F. (2009). Introducing English Linguistics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 11. Mey, J. L. (2001). Pragmatics (Second ed.). MA: Blackwell Publishing.
- 12. O'Keeffe, A. (2011) 'Media and Discourse Analysis', in Gee, J. & Handford M. (eds), The rout ledge Handbook of Discourse Analysis. London: Rout ledge, p 441-454.
- 13. Richard Nordquist, 2019, Pragmatics Gives Context to Language: Body language and tone of voice augment actual words (https://www.thoughtco.com/pragmatics-language-1691654) (accessed March9, 2020).
- 14. Robertson, S. P. (2010). A Proposed Culture by ICT-Development Matrix for Digital Government. Proceedings from IWCI-2010, Copenhagen.
- 15. Reiter, R. M. (2000). Linguistic Politeness in Britain and Uruguay: A Contrastive Study of Requests and Apologies. Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- 16. Searle, J. (1969). Speech Act. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 17. Saeed, J. I. (2003). Semantics. Madlen: Blackwell Publishing.
- 18. Van Dijk, T. A. (1931). Studies in the Pragmatics of Discourse. The Hague: Montion Publishers.
- 19. Yule, G. (1996). Pragmatics. Oxford: Oxford University Press.