ISSN: 1475-7192

# Medical-Psychological Correction of Anxiety-Depressive Disorders in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus

Maxamatjanova N.M<sup>1</sup>. Ibadullaev Z.R.

### I. INTRODUCTION

According to a study's date, psycho-emotional disorders in rheumatic diseases are caused by anxiety-depressive disorders, which are 7-15 times higher than in the general population and account for 89%. [1,6,7.]

According to recent data, the prevalence of depression in patients diagnosed with systemic lupus erythematosus is on average 47% [3].

According to other scientists, depressive episodes accounted for 27%, and dysthymia was identified as a manifestation of these depressive disorders. Mild depressive mood that lasted for more than 2 years was observed in 6% of patients, adaptation disorders were detected in 16%, and generalized anxiety disorders in 7% of patients. Overall, anxiety-depressive disorders were detected in more than half (56%) of the patients [4,5].

Therefore, the diagnosis of anxiety-depressive disorders in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus requires the use of psychopharmacotherapy. However, the use of psychotropic drugs alone, along with basic treatment, is not effective enough. Facilitating the course of the disease requires patients to use psychotherapy in order to improve their quality of life.

#### The purpose of the study:

to study and estimate medical-psychological correction of anxiety-depressive disorders in systemic lupus erythematosus.

#### Materials and methods.

For the study, 80 patients with subacute systemic lupus erythematosus and some target organ damage due to the disease were selected. The mean age of the subjects was  $28 \pm 9.2$  and all were female.

These patients were studied into 2 groups: 1) the main group - 40 (50%) patients who received psychopharmacotherapy (PFT) - (antidepressant and tranquilizer) and Gestalt therapy in combination with basal therapy; 2) the control group - 40 (50%) patients who received psychopharmacotherapy in combination with basal therapy. The HADS (Hospital Anxiety Scale Scale) was used to assess the psychoemotional status of patients and to identify psychological conversations, anxiety, and depression. The patient's psychological status, social status, stress medical factors recorded the psychological questionnaire. For psychopharmacotherapy, morpholinoethylthioethoxy-benzimidazole 10 mg (afabazole) 2 tablets of 1 tablet and fluvoxamine maleate 100 mg (fevarin) were prescribed after a medical psychological examination according to the scheme. Gestalt therapy was used for psychotherapy. The goal of Gestalt therapy is to change the client's outlook on lifestyle, rather than solving specific problems. In this case, the client is not helped to solve a particular problem, but to use his inner potential, to teach him to "stand on his own two feet", to establish the right forms of relationships with others [2].

The algorithm for the correction of anxiety-depressive disorders in systemic lupus erythematosus consisted of several stages.

Step 1. Medical psychological analysis.

At this stage, the subjective symptoms of anxiety and depression in patients, the level of anxiety and depression on the

Key words: systemic lupus erythematosus, depression, anxiety, HADS, psychopharmacotherapy, Gestalt therapy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Affiliation: Tashkent Medical Academy affiliation: Tashkent Medical Academy

ISSN: 1475-7192

HADS scale were studied, and the first two techniques of Gestalt therapy were applied.

Technique 1. Expanding self-awareness.

Technique 2. Increase attention to emotions.

Step 2. Correct understanding of psycho-emotional problems.

This stage helps patients to draw the right conclusions about their feelings through various techniques of Gestalt therapy.

Technique 1. Integration of contradictions.

Step 3. "Getting out of the mold"

At this stage, the patient learns to perform the usual movements in a unique way through certain techniques of Gestalt therapy.

Technique 1. Working with dreams (fantasy).

Technique 2. Overcoming obstacles.

Step 4. Strengthen the psychoemotional stagnation achieved.

This is the final stage, and the psychoemotional stagnation achieved in patients up to this point is reinforced by explaining certain mechanisms.

**Results and analysis.** Psychoemotional status was assessed on a HADS scale on the day patients arrived at the hospital.

Table №1

Subjective symptoms in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (primary and control group)

Nº	Subjective	Main group	Control group
	Symptoms	(n=40)	(n=40)
ı	Constant anxiety	38 (95%)	37 (92.5%)
2	Sleep Disorders	37 (92.5%)	39 (97.5%)
3	Emotional lability	40 (100%)	40 (100%)
1	Mood variability	39 (97.5%)	38 (95%)
5	Fear	32 (80%)	30 (77.5%)
5	Fixation of "bad" thoughts	30 (75%)	29 (72.5%)

 $\rho \ge 0.03$ 

Patients diagnosed with systemic lupus erythematosus are characterized by subjective symptoms such as constant anxiety, emotional instability, mood swings, sleep disturbances, feelings of fear, and fixation of "bad" thoughts.

Table №2

Assessment of psycho-emotional status of patients in the primary and control light using the HADS scale

No	Indicator	Main group	Control group
		(n = 40)	(n = 40)
1.	Clinically expressed anxiety-depressive disorder	14 (35%)	13 (32.5%)
		5±3,5 points	15±3,5 points
2.	Clinically expressed anxiety	9 (47.5%)	19 (47.5%)
		15±4,1 points	15±4,2 points
3.	Clinically expressed depression	7 (17.5%)	3 (20%)
		14±3,1 points	14±3,2 points

 $\rho \ge 0.03$ 

ISSN: 1475-7192

Dynamic indicators of psychopharmacotherapeutic and psychotherapeutic correction.

Psychoemotional disorders in patients diagnosed with systemic lupus erythematosus were evaluated in dynamics using psychopharmacotherapy and psychotherapeutic correction algorithms. (Tables  $N_{23}$ ,  $N_{24}$ )

Table №3

Assessment of patients' psycho-emotional state after the use of psychopharmacotherapy and psychotherapy on the HADS scale

	The main group		p
l day	Indicator	10 days	
14 (35%)	Subclinical anxiety-depressive disorder	12 (30%)	≥0,03
± 3.5 points	No Anxiety Disorders		
19 (47.5%) ± 4.1 points	Subclinically expressed anxiety	19 (47.5%)	≥0,04
	No symptoms of anxiety	2 (5%)	≥0,03
7 (17.5%) ± 3.1 points	Subclinical depression 7 (17.5%) ≥0.05	7 (17.5%)	≥0,05
	Control group		p
l day	ndicator	10 days	
-	Clinically expressed anxiety-depressive	12 (40%)	≥0,03
± 3.5 points	sorder		
19 (47.5%)	Subclinically expressed anxiety	2 (5%)	≥0,05
± 4.2 points			
	Clinically expressed anxiety	17 (42.5%)	≥0,03
8 (20%) ± 3.2 points	Clinically expressed depression	7 (15%)	≥0,05
	14 (35%) ± 3.5 points 19 (47.5%) ± 4.1 points 7 (17.5%) ± 3.1 points 13 (32.5%) ± 3.5 points 19 (47.5%) ± 4.2 points	day	day

ISSN: 1475-7192

According to this table, in the dynamics of the main group of patients in the psycho-emotional sphere, clinically expressed anxiety-depressive disorders passed to the subclinical level, clinically expressed anxiety and depressive symptoms to the subclinical level. In the control group, the rates of psycho-emotional disorders remained almost unchanged.

Table №4

Assessment of the psycho-emotional sphere of patients in the dynamics of the state after psychopharmacotherapy and psychotherapy using the HADS scale

	The main	group		
ndicator	l day	Indicator	30 days	•
Clinically expressed anxiety- pressive disorder	` ′	Subclinically expressed anxiety- pressive disorder	2 (5%)	≥0,03
		No anxiety-depressive disorders	7 (17,5%)	≥0,03
Clinically expressed anxiety	19 (47.5%)	Subclinical anxiety	2 (5%)	≥0,04
	± 4.1 points	No symptoms of anxiety	10 (25%)	≥0,03
Clinically expressed depression	7 (17.5%)	Subclinical depression	3 (7,5%)	≥0,05
	± 3.1 points	No symptoms of depression	5 (12,5%)	≥0,04
		Steady state	11 (27,5%)	≥0,03
	Con		11 (27,570)	20,03
ndicator	Con	trol group  Indicator	30 days	20,03
	l day	trol group  Indicator		
Clinically expressed anxiety-	l day	trol group  Indicator	30 days	p
	day 13 (32.5%) ± 3.5 points	Indicator Subclinically expressed anxiety-	30 days	p
Clinically expressed anxiety- pressive disorder	day 13 (32.5%) ± 3.5 points	Indicator Subclinically expressed anxiety- pressive disorders	30 days	p ≥0,03
Clinically expressed anxiety- pressive disorder Clinically expressed	13 (32.5%) ± 3.5 points 19 (47.5%)	Indicator Subclinically expressed anxiety- pressive disorders Clinically expressed anxiety	30 days 10 (25%)	P ≥0,03
Clinically expressed anxiety- pressive disorder Clinically expressed	13 (32.5%) ± 3.5 points 19 (47.5%)	Indicator Subclinically expressed anxiety- pressive disorders Clinically expressed anxiety Subclinically expressed anxiety	30 days 10 (25%) 12 (30%) 3 (20%)	P ≥0,03
Clinically expressed anxiety- pressive disorder Clinically expressed anxiety	13 (32.5%) ± 3.5 points 19 (47.5%) ± 4.2 points	Indicator Subclinically expressed anxiety- pressive disorders Clinically expressed anxiety Subclinically expressed anxiety No symptoms of anxiety	30 days 10 (25%) 12 (30%) 3 (20%) 1 (2,5%)	≥0,03 ≥0,05 ≥0,03 ≥0,03

From the above indicators, it was found that in the main group where psychopharmacotherapy and psychotherapy were used, clinically expressed anxiety-depressive disorders were observed at the subclinical level and the transition from the subclinical level to the normal level was observed. In the control group, subjective symptoms improved slightly due to basal therapy and psychopharmacotherapy, but when examined on the HADS scale, the parameters did not change significantly.

ISSN: 1475-7192

## CONCLUSIONS.

Based to this study, it is necessary to determine the psycho-emotional state of patients diagnosed with systemic lupus erythematosus from the date of their admission to the hospital. According to the Gestalt psychocorrection algorithm, information about the first signs of psycho-emotional disorders in patients is divided, and the necessary psychopharmacotherapy and psychotherapy methods are gradually applied to them. This ensures that patients do not progress to severe levels of anxiety or depression, and that patient's quality of life does not decline through these psycho-emotional disorders.

#### References

- 1. "I.G. Malkin-Pykh Techniques of gestalt and cognitive therapy": Eksmo; Moscow; 2004 ISBN 5-699-07115-6
- 2. Harchenko S.A. 1 Sins R.A. 1 Suleymanova G.P. 1 Myakishev M.V. 2 Zborovskaya I.A. Psychological features of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus; Modern problems of science and education. 2015. No. 5
- 3. Brey R. L., Holliday S. L., Saklad A. R. et al. Neuropsychiatric syndromes in lupus. Neurology, 2002, 58, 1214-1220
- 4. Denton F., Sharpe L., Schrieber L. Cognitive bias in systemic lupus erythematosus. Eur. J. Pain, 2005, 9, 1, 5-14; Hermosillo-Romo D., Brey R. L. Diagnosis and management of patients with neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus (NPSLE). Best Pract. & Res. Clin. Rheumatol., 2002, 16 (2), P.229-244.).
- 5. Kozora E., Ellison M.C., West S. Depression, fatigue, and pain in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE): relationship to the American College of Rheumatology SLE neuropsychological battery. Arthr. and Rheum. 2006; 55: 628—35
- 6. Margaretten M., Julian L., Katz P., Yelin E. Depression in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: description, causes and mechanisms. Int. J. Clin. Rheumtol. 2011; 6 (6): 617—23.;