

# Lived Experiences of Women Department Heads in Higher Education: Challenges, Opportunities and Constraints

<sup>1</sup>Jalaine Joyce V. Malabanan

**ABSTRACT** --The notion of a woman as a leader has been a polarizing topic for many years. Our society, a masculine society, have customarily perceived males to lead and we have been acculturated with this notion that it has been firmly entrenched in our norms. This study highlights the importance of the of Women Deans and Department Heads' lived experiences and the value of listening to their perspectives, so as to offer insights into what has most helped and hindered their successes in their field. In order to gain a better understanding of these experiences, a qualitative phenomenological study, using Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis, was conducted to explore women leaders' lived experiences and identify what they went through in their formal preparations prior to the ascending to the position, their role and responsibilities as middle managers and the experienced gender stereotypes and prejudices in carrying out their positions. The in depth analysis of the data showed that the participants' leadership styles are unaffected by their gender, collaborative, and demonstrative of care and nurturing of students. They regard gender never as an issue and that women, like men, are qualified and have the capacity to excel in the area of leadership. Furthermore, the study revealed that these women leaders have dispelled the myth of the 'Macho culture' and broke the glass ceiling in the middle management of academic institutions as they believe that in terms of academic leadership, what gives rise to elevation is how one performed and not their genders.

**Keywords**-- leadership; gender bias; gender roles; gender prejudice; women empowerment "We act as if that being of a man or that being of a woman is actually an internal reality or something that is simply true about us, a fact about us, but actually it's a phenomenon that is being produced all the time and reproduced all the time, so to say gender is performative is to say that nobody really is a gender from the start." - Judith Butler

## I. INTRODUCTION

Despite enormous progress on some gender equality issues like discrimination and harassment, there is no denying that the state of gender parity in the modern workplace is still alarming and women rights remains a critical issue where career development show little improvement and/or progress.

In 2015, the Philippines ranked 4th among 80 countries with the highest proportion of women managers in the world and has the highest proportion of women managers at 47.6% in Asia, according to a report by the International Labor Organization (ILO). The number of women in senior and middle management positions has increased over the last 20 years, however, according to the report, the glass walls (ceiling) still exist with the concentration of women in certain types of management functions like human resource (HR), communications and

---

<sup>1</sup> Polytechnic University of the Philippines, Sta. Mesa Manila, jalaine1006@gmail.com

administration. Although, the Philippines is ranked as the ninth most gender-equal nation in the world giving a practical advantage to have and prop up women in top corporate positions, in a recent survey conducted by KPMG R.G. Manabat & Co., among the Top 1000 corporations, very few were led by women (Philippine Tatler, 2016). The report also stated that there is clearly a need for more and thus asserting the necessity to pursue advocacies for the advancement of women.

While perceptions toward women are significantly changing and more women are assuming leadership roles today than before, the notion of a woman as a leader is still foreign to many individuals, male and female alike, causing stereotypical curbs occurring still. Changes in perception are difficult to achieve because the traditional norms of leadership are firmly entrenched. In our society, leaders have customarily been males and females on the other hand, are confronted with a systematic denial of rights where legal discrimination leaves them inferior to their male counterparts. For instance, men and women behave in the same way but their actions are perceived in different ways. Several gender stereotypes, like the opinion where women are perceived less intellectually competent and rational, interfere with women being accepted as leaders because leadership ability is seen as more stereotypical for men than women. From this phenomenon, the generalization was made that leadership implies maleness and that, since women were not men, they lacked the qualities that are necessary to be leaders. These gender stereotypes, based on historical roles, often lead to a substantial bias against women and present a major problem for those trying to function as leaders in organizations (Moran, 1992). Because women do not fit the stereotypical leader mold, those who want to be leaders usually need to be extremely well qualified, have proven records of accomplishments, and be over prepared for their positions. Once these positions are attained, women are often expected to “behave just like their male counterparts rather than enhancing their roles with the new and varied talents and fresh perspectives they might bring” (Shavlik & Touchton, 1988).

The persisting occupational segregation stereotype that perpetuates an evident vicious cycle of marginalization and disempowerment of women reveals the necessity to resolve these gender typecast. To begin working out these problems, there is a need to interpret the challenges women face and align them to processes that would ensure efficiency and effectiveness of women in leadership. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to start appealing in the sphere of the academic setting where women have involvements in occupying leadership echelons, and at the very least empower them through and starting with identifying scopes for better elucidating their situations and how the general public and formal institutions may aid their present setbacks and stumbling blocks.

## **II. RESULT**

The following research questions guided data collection in the study:

1. What are the challenges, opportunities and constraints experienced by women department heads prior and during the assumption of their position?
2. What are the experienced gender prejudices of women department heads in the assumption of their duty?

To gain an understanding of the lived experiences of woman heads, the researcher applied the methodology of Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) (Smith, Flowers, & Larkin, 2009), as this approach enables both participants and the researcher to arrive at a co-constructed understanding of woman leaders' experiences through open dialogue that allows for the sharing and interpretation of multiple perspectives. In this analysis, a two-stage

interpretation process, or double hermeneutic, is involved. As the participant is trying to make sense of her world, the researcher, is also making sense of her world. In one sense, the researcher is like the participant, drawing on mental faculties they share. At the same time, the researcher is different to the participant, always engaging in second order sense-making of someone else's experience.

The study was limited to a small number of participants, as recommended by Smith, Flowers, and Larkin (2009) and is specifically related to the qualitative method of IPA. Limitations of the study are related to sampling, as participation was voluntary, and snowball sampling was used to gather additional participants for the study. This choice of sampling technique limited the number of institutions involved in the study, however, a relatively homogenous sample was included, as is recommended by Smith (2004) for studies of this type. Furthermore, Triangulation method was not used in the data collection for the research methodology is not set out to test hypotheses but gives greater emphasis in the attempt to capture a 'discovering experience' of the richness of the participants' experiential world.

**Table 1: Participants' Profile Participant**

	Current Position	Previous leadership position	Number of years in current held	Number of leadership positions position
A	Chairperson	*No Available Data	3	1
B	Dean	Head (Research Dept.) Dean (CAS)	2.5	3
C	Dean	Coordinator Associate Dean(CIT) Dean (CIT) VP (Finance) Chief (Facilities and Grounds Janitorial Services)	5	6
D	Dean	Dean (Graduate School) Dean (CBAE)	1	3

E	Chairperson	*No	8	1
		Available		
		Data		
F	Dean	*No	2	1
		Available		
		Data		
G	Dean	Chair	2	2
		(DEFL)		
H	Chairperson	Research		1
		Coordinator		2
		(CIT)		
I	Chairperson	Director	1	2
		(Research Institute)		

---

Utilizing the IPA approach as detailed by Smith, Flowers, and Larkin (2009), the data analysis was conducted as an iterative process that included multiple readings of transcripts, and three specific levels of coding to identify superordinate themes and sub-themes across cases. Each transcript was analyzed individually, and the researcher worked to bracket findings and initial thoughts from the interviews with the participants. In this methodology, bracketing was done in an attempt to consider each case on an individual basis, and in an attempt to refrain from using the themes identified in earlier cases to shade the analysis of a new case (Smith & Osborn, 2008). Although the researcher recognized the necessity for completely removing one's knowledge and insights about the data from previous cases (Smith, Flowers, & Larkin, 2009), being cognizant of the process helped the researcher consider each case on an individual basis during the first steps of the analysis, and prevented the researcher from inappropriately ascribing ideas or emergent themes to new cases.

From the data gathered, six main themes were identified from participants' testimonies: a sense of leadership maturity, acquisition of bigger roles and responsibility, personal sacrifices, gender stereotype, dispelled gender prejudice and competency, not gender.

### III. PRESENTATION OF KEY THEMES

#### *Theme 1: A Sense of Leadership Maturity.*

When the participants were asked to describe the formal preparations they had for their current role, they rectified that not all of them have had formal trainings given by their institutions. The participants narrated that the formal trainings they had from their institutions were given after assuming the position and were not prior to the assumption of their posts. In other words, one challenge that woman heads experience prior to the assumption of their posts is the acquisition of formal preparations and/or trainings necessary for their forthcoming leadership roles and responsibilities.

Surprisingly, even when the participants are vocal about their lack of formal preparations from their institutions, they believe that they were able to obtain trainings and mentoring necessary for the execution of their duties and responsibilities as deans and chairpersons. The repertoire of experiences they had opened a door for the participants to realize a sense of leadership maturity where they see relevant experiences contributing to molding their character in their leadership successes. They described these opportunities, which served as their avenue in realizing their sense of leadership maturity, as the carrying out of their function as faculty and/ or other administrative positions, attending related seminars, mentoring, seniority, their educational background and personal mantras.

It is noteworthy that all of the participants' answers point to a realization that they are not unfamiliar to the roles and responsibilities of the position and that their trainings, both formal and informal, are seen sufficient for the needed execution of their function. The participants may have acquired different trainings from different people and institutions, but these trainings, both conscious and unconscious, are of equal importance and proved to be very helpful as they have contributed to their leadership maturity and decision-making as Deans and Chairpersons.

### ***Theme 2: Acquisition of Bigger Roles and Responsibilities.***

Notwithstanding the participants' trust with regard to their ability to manage the position with their trainings and experiences, they unanimously agree that in comparison to their function as a faculty, the leadership position they received has in fact given them additional roles and responsibilities. The acquisition of bigger roles and responsibilities became one of the greatest challenge that sitting woman heads go through for they are also compelled to do work outside their service. They narrated how they are not only looking after their classes when they are teaching as a faculty but also looking after the department as a whole—i. e. a larger picture.

The participants perceived that the nature of their function ranges from supervising the students, teachers, curriculum, syllabus, organization, budget, extension, and many others. Unanimously, the participants agreed that there were real and actual added work assigned to them when they assumed their position. Whilst they re-count figures, they begin to see how a class of 40-50 students is relatively small compared to the number of people a department contains. In addition, one of the real concerns of a dean and/or a chairperson, according to the participants, is responding to the students' welfare and needs. Some participants are almost actually speechless and overwhelmed to the great extent of the amount of work they needed to ensure. As the participants recapitulated these roles and responsibilities, they fathomed how great their obligations were in terms of providing bridges for the students, faculty members and employees of the department.

In a nut shell, the participants supposed that their roles are no longer just simply revolved in doing their teaching responsibilities and that their active involvement transcends the department's deliverables. All agree to see the position requiring sacrifices and doing loads of work even outside their duties and responsibilities.

### ***Theme 3: Personal Sacrifices.***

As the participants harmonize to responding to their function as leaders, they begin to understand the need of sacrificing many things for the department's sake. The realization led them to grasp the cost of being called to lead. As these woman leaders welcome changes, unanimously, they agree that the profession requires not only greater amount of work, but also requires a greater allocation of time. Thus, the participants' constraints as they begin to accomplish and execute their work duties and responsibilities are their lack of time for oneself, family and friends.

The participants vocally affirmed that the loads of work piled up in their office consume time formerly allocated for oneself. The 'me' time in which the participants personally do what they want for leisure and/or therapeutic functions are then inevitably sacrificed when concerns and plans needed for the department are not delivered and carried out yet. One of the respondents even articulated the effect of the stress she encountered as more and more work are given and are needed for her post. She narrated how the changes in her work load kept her from getting enough rest she needed where it even took a toll on her health. Moreover, not only the participants' "me" times were sacrificed, but also their time with their families and friends given that having a position creates more time to consume in the office. The participants described how they unavoidably began saying no friends and family gatherings they usually say yes to and/or they do not usually miss. The downsides of having the leadership position may be a great sacrifice, but none of the services the participants have untaken for their department made them yield to giving up their posts. In fact, as they get acquainted and familiarized with their new roles and responsibilities, the participants learned to adjust to their new environment as well as their function.

#### ***Theme 4: Gender Stereotype.***

The participants' perceived disadvantages of being a woman leader are viewed in terms of stereotypical gender roles women have been suffering from since time immemorial. These stereotypical concepts agree with how social norms are defined by Coloma et al. (2012). The theory supposed that people are accustomed to the roles males and females assume in society where they are seen as typical and inseparable from their biological uniqueness and thus defining the expectations of how they are assumed to behave. In addition, the participants' notion of a macho culture and perceived biological and physical disadvantages are similar to Sam, Amartei, Osei-Owusu and Antobre's (2013) study establishing the belief where "women are not expected to hold leadership positions" and that "an effective leader is a male."

Whilst the participants have good reasons to believe on these stereotypical disadvantages and view these perceptions relatively as the reasons for substantial bias against women (Moran, 1992) supposing their lesser participation in the leadership echelons and are result of unconscious prejudices and stereotypes that are ingrained that many do not realize (Nilsen,

2012), the perceived disadvantages they have are fallouts of mere "perceptions only" of the general impression they were acculturated with vis-à-vis women in leadership.

#### ***Theme 5: Dispelled Gender Prejudice.***

On the contrary, even when the participants acknowledged the deeply embedded perceived disadvantages of being a female leader, all maintained that none of them have experienced any form of gender prejudice. As they made sense of their lived experiences as sitting deans and department chairs, they begin to oppose seeing themselves as the "objects" and/or the receiving end of those gender stereotypes in their workplaces.

The participants may have encountered negative attitudes from other people, however, these negative feelings and/or attitudes are emphasized on the perceptions of other people vis-à-vis their individual expectations and beliefs and are, as the participants would affirm, not in any form of a gender prejudice.

Thus, the perceptions of the participants rectified Moran's (1992) assumption in seeing the necessity for everyone to realize that people, with their widely divergent abilities and advantages, should be looked at first and

foremost as individuals rather than as simply members of one gender or the other and that mentalities on gender stereotypes must be rid of.

#### ***Theme 6: Competence, not Gender.***

The participants identified that in the academe, everyone is given equal opportunity to lead and that in terms of academic leadership, what gives rise to elevation is how one performed. They dispelled the notion that only men should occupy the leadership positions as the participants substantiated that women are in the same way called to hold leadership positions.

As the participants see that leadership requires different styles, they begin to learn and understand better the terrain they're operating in and realized that what is needed is their knowledge, skills and accountability in order to navigate leadership posts successfully and not their gender as these are in reality trivial in the academic institution middle management.

Nevertheless, the participants recognized what Moran (1992) recognized years back. They realized that both genders have an important role to play and that the identification of diversity in leadership styles will allow potential leaders to lead in ways that will draw upon their individual strengths. As how Moran (1992) and the participants viewed, the challenge to organizations of the future is to accept variety of leadership styles for when institutions are able to involve both men and women equally on the basis of individual merit, it will be a better place for everyone.

## **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

The obtained results show that prior ascending to the position, the first challenge that women department heads experienced is the acquisition of formal trainings from their institutions for trainings are given only after assuming their position. Majority of the participants agreed that not all of them were given formal trainings before receiving their leadership posts.

On the other hand, upon assuming their positions, the greatest challenge the participants observed is the acquisition of greater amount of work. They see that the workloads are far greater than prior to the leadership position they are now holding. Because they are given more roles and responsibilities, they realized the weight of the title that they have. The participants agreed that aside from the tasks assigned and are elaborated in one's function, they experienced doing work outside their duties knowing that the students, faculty members and others have greater expectations not only to them, but the position per se.

As numerous workloads transpired, the constraints that women department heads went through in their personal adjustment is sacrificing their time for oneself, family and friends. Since the position has greater responsibilities, it will inevitably require greater time to be sacrificed as well. The participants supposed that unless work's done, time for oneself, family and friends may be put aside.

Fortunately, even when the participants have not received formal preparations, majority of the participants agreed to have had repertoire of experiences that served as opportunities for them to have relevant knowledge of the know-how for their current role. These trainings and/or learnings, as the participants described, are their personal and institutional trainings and seminars, the formal education they have acquired and mentoring.

Remarkably, as the participants made sense of their prior experiences, all of them would agree that through these they have acquired essential familiarity, skills and knowledge, both consciously and unconsciously, that qualified them for assuming and accomplishing what is needed for their posts.

Another opportunity the woman leaders identified is the support they get from their friends and family. Some participants, during the interviews, affirmed that even when they have spent much of their time at school and in their work, they are blessed with friends and family members that are very supportive and understanding. The participants, through the assumption of their posts, realized the felt democracy from the institution that they belonged to. They witnessed how their institutions are welcoming and affable enough to women occupying middle management posts.

Most importantly, whereas majority of the participants perceived gender disadvantages of woman leaders, all of them firmly believe that they have not experienced any gender prejudices in the assumption of their leadership posts and is contrary to the deepseated gender prejudices (Eagly and Karau, 2002), gender stratification (Coloma et al., 2012) and studies conducted by Maseko (2013), Nilsen (2012), Sam et al. (2013), Burn and McCarthy (2013) and Drexler (2013).

## REFERENCES

1. Baldoni, J. (2013). Forbes. Taking the Sting out of 'Queen Bees' Who May Be Out to Get You. Published March 4, 2013; 9:55 AM (accessed March 4, 2013; 9:55 AM)
2. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/johnbaldoni/2013/03/04/taking-the-sting-out-of-queenbeeswho-may-be-out-to-get-you/#18749d4f34e2>, June 10, 2017)
3. Barreto, M., Ryan, M. and Schmitt, M. (2008). Introduction: Is the Glass Ceiling still relevant in the 21st Century. Simon Fraser University Publication.
4. Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3 (2).
5. Burn, P. and McCarthy, K. (2013). The Double Glass Ceiling: An In-Depth Investigation and Analysis Into The Challenges Faced by Women When Seeking Promotion To The Boardroom and Beyond To The Executive Suite. BPP Business School: BPP Publications
6. Coloma, T., Llenas, M., Meer, T. Villamil, A. (2012). Essentials of Sociology and Anthropology An Interactive Study. C & E Publishing, Inc.
7. Creswell, J. (2012). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
8. Drexler, P. (2013). The Tyranny of the Queen Bee. *The Wall Street Journal*
9. Eagly, A. and Karau, S. (2002). Role Congruity Theory of Prejudice Toward Female Leaders. *American Psychological Association, Inc. Psychological Review* Vol. 109, No. 3, 573–598
10. Evetts, J. (1994). Becoming a secondary head teacher. London: Cassell.
11. Freedman, J. (2001). Concepts in the Social Sciences: Feminism. Philadelphia, USA: Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data.
12. Kumar, R. (2011). Research Methodology: a step-by-step guide for beginners. 3rd Edition. London, Sage Publications Ltd.

12. Lahti, E. (2013). Women and leadership: Factors that influence women's career success. Lahti University of Applied Sciences. Lane-Fox, M. (2015). The Guardian. 'Queen bee syndrome' among women at work is a myth, study finds'. Published June 7, 2015; 11.59(accessed
13. [https://www.theguardian.com/world/2015/jun/07/queen-bee-syndrome-women work-mythresearch-columbia-business-school](https://www.theguardian.com/world/2015/jun/07/queen-bee-syndrome-women-work-mythresearch-columbia-business-school), June 8, 2017)
14. Lincoln, Y., Lynam, S., & Guba, E. (2011). Paradigmatic controversies, contradictions, and emerging confluences, revisited. In Denizen, N & Lincoln (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
15. Maseko, T. (2013), A Comparative Study of Challenges faced by women in leadership: A Case of Foskor and the Department of Labour in Mhlathuze Municipality. University of Zululand. Merriam, S. B. (1988). *Case study research in education*. San Francisco, CA:
16. Jossey-Bass Publishers. Moran, B. (1992). Gender Differences in Leadership. *Library Trends*, Vol. 40, No.
17. University of North Carolina
18. Moustakas, C. (1994) *Phenomenological research methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
19. Nilsen, A. (2012). A qualitative study exploring how social norms and empowerment influence female leaders related to represent organizations externally. University of Stavanger, Norwegian School of Hotel Management.
20. Philippine Tatler. The Filipina CEO Circle. Published September 09, 2016 (accessed <http://ph.asiatatler.com/life/the-filipina-ceo-circle>, May 4, 2017)
21. Pietkiewicz, I. & Smith, J.A. (2012) Praktyczny przewodnik interpretacyjnej analizy fenomenologicznej w badaniach jakościowych w psychologii. *Czasopismo Psychologiczne*, 18(2), 361-369.
22. Pringle, J., Drummond J., McLafferty, E., Hendry, C. (2011). Interpretative phenomenological analysis: A discussion and critique. *Nurse Researcher*. 18(3), 20 Rappler. Ph ranks 4th with highest number of women managers. Published January 14, 2015; 8:17 PM (accessed <http://www.rappler.com/business/jobs/80806-ph-rankingwomenmanagers-ilo>, May 4, 2017)
23. Sam, F., Amartei A., Osei-Owusu, B. and Antobre, O. (2013). Female leadership stereotypes: The perception of the leadership of female heads of senior high schools in
24. Ashanti region. *Educ. Res.* 4(10):702-709 Shavlik, D. L., & Touchton, J. G. (1988). Women as leaders. In M. F. Green (Ed.),
25. *Leaders for a new era* (pp. 98-117). New York: American Council on Education and Macmillan Publishing Co. Shetter, R. (2015). A Study on Issues and Challenges of Women Empowerment in India. ISSN: 2319-7668. Volume 17, Issue 4. Ver. I. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)*.
26. Smith, J. A. (2004). Reflecting on the development of interpretative phenomenological analysis and its contribution to qualitative research in psychology.
27. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 1.
28. Smith, J. & Eatough, V. (2007). *Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis*. London, Sage Publications Ltd.
29. Smith, J. A., Flowers, P., & Larkin, M. (2009). *Interpretive Phenomenological Analysis: Theory, method and research*. London, UK: Sage Publications.

30. Strauss, A. & Corbin, J. (1990). Basics of qualitative research: Grounded theory procedures and techniques. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.