Development and Validation of Wisdom Scale for a Sample of University Students in Gaza

Dr. Hussein H. Tahoon, Dr. Etaf M. Abu Ghali, Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Haiba* and Raeda Suliman Mohammed Sheikh Aleed

Abstract--- The present study attempts to examine the structure and examine psychometric properties of wisdom scale for a Sample of University Students in Gaza . The study questions addressed are: What is the structure of the measure of wisdom for university students in the Gaza? Is the wisdom scale have has appropriate psychometric properties? A total of 170 students From Al-Quds Open University and Al-Aqsa University in Gaza completed the scale of wisdom, including males (N=100) and females (N=70). The students ranged in age from 18 to 22 with an average age 20.7 and S.D. 2.1. Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) is used. The results indicate that The structure of wisdom is multidimensional which includes: Emotional Stability, psychological resilience, persuasion skills, and the fourth is problem solving skills. And the scale has appropriate psychometric properties.

Keywords--- Wisdom Scale, Reliability, Validity, Factor Analysis.

I. Introduction

The present century is the age of positive psychology, and wisdom considered an important concept in this area, to many positive affects at adjustment and mental health to individuals, positive psychology emphasizes at the positive human forces, in which interest has grown in the recent times (Shorey, Littl, Snyder, Kluck & Robitschek, 2007).

Wisdom is one of the concepts inherent in different civilizations throughout the ages, and researchers have dealt with it in psychology, and this attributes to the importance of wisdom, on the individual and group level. Scientists agree that wisdom is one of the most important psychological variables, and access to it makes a person in a state of psychological highness, and lead to a state of balance between the spiritual and mental aspects of the human being, and the acquisition of wisdom is one of the supreme human goals of the human being (Solansky, 2013).

Wisdom is an independent and inevitable process, which requires levels of perception and in particular human moral and ethical programs, and is considered a human condition in Offspring require the presence of the soul, because it lies in the heart as much as in the mind (Cooper, 2017).

Wisdom has been associated from ancient times with scientists and people with high mental abilities, and scientists have considered it an ideal feature that distinguishes humans, and the concept of wisdom has been used through two main branches: implicit theories that reflect the point of view of individuals, and theories that reflect the

Dr. Hussein H. Tahoon, Professor of Educational Psychology, Faculty of Education, Ain-Shams University. E-mail: hhtahoon@hotmail.com Dr. Etaf M. Abu Ghali, Professor of Educational Psychology, Faculty of Education Al Aqsa University.

E-mail: eabughali2007@hotmail.com

Dr. Mohamed Ahmed Haiba*, Assistant Professor of Educational Psychology, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University. E-mail: Mohamed.haiba@gmail.com

Raeda Suliman Mohammed Sheikh Aleed, PhD researcher, Faculty of Education, Ain-Shams University. E-mail: Radaa-700@hotmail.com

ISSN: 1475-7192

view of scientists to the concept of wisdom, and is considered a program Sternberg is one of the most popular

programs that seeks to provide students with educational contexts that help them understand wise thinking skills to

make them able to make important decisions in their lives (Sternberg, 2009).

Experimental research has found a relationship between wisdom and age, where wisdom begins to grow within

the individual in childhood with the existence of some attributes related to wisdom such as assistance, cooperation

and empathy, and these are characteristics of the wise person, and the behaviour develops with the development of

the age group as features appear in adolescence such as knowledge based on experience, Abstract and deductive

thinking, self-reflective thinking, and openness to experience (Webster, Weststrate, Ferrari, Munroe & Pierce,

2018).

Therefore it is believed that it usually results from years of accumulated experience in life, and in this context,

therefore the concept of wisdom often evokes a stereotype of the "old wise man." (Weststrate, Ferrari, & Ardelt,

2016). Although older people bring previous experience, knowledge, and values to students in the classroom,

students' participation in sustainable adult experiences offers them new opportunities to learn and cultivate

interpersonal relationships and skills, such as leadership, ability to mentor, in addition to academic and personal

development for them through these new experiences and information, and this is an opportunity to improve, correct

and update knowledge about the pragmatism of life, at the same time, the acquisition and modelling of these social

skills such as cooperation, responsibility, compassion and control, may benefit the younger generation as well, and

potentially put them on the path of growth towards wisdom. (Parisi, Rebok, Carlson, Fried, Seeman, Tan, Tanner &

Piferi, 2009).

Wisdom is a complex concept and difficult to define except that it was considered the highest level of human

development, wisdom is defined as an ideal integration, and a high level of harmony between mind and personality,

, and wise men are supposed to have many positive qualities, such as mature and integrated personality, high

judgment skills in difficult life issues, ability to deal with life's ups and downs, creativity and altruism. (Bergsma &

Ardelt, 2012).

Staudinger & Gluck (2011) defined wisdom as knowledge of people's conditions and life and how to behave in

the context of their ambiguities and complexities. While (Takahashi & Overton, 2002) defined it as a skill that

appears to the student to enable him to face the problems of life and the ability to solve them, and the ability to

evaluate solutions and make judgments, while Brown (2000) defined it as the ability of a student with a level of

wisdom to see the essence of the problem And providing solutions to solve them, while (Webster, 2007) defined

them as the ability to make decisions and solve problems faced by them and apply life experiences to self-

development. It was a level of integration between the emotional, cognitive and contemplative dimensions that

confirm the maturity of personality, which is like personality. The vehicle is associated with mature maturity

(Ardelt, 2003).

As for (Sternberg, 2009) it is the individual's use of his cognitive, mental, and creative abilities to achieve the

desired goal, and to achieve a balance between his goals and personal benefit, and the goals and benefits that accrue

to society, (Rowley, 2006) defined it that it is the individual's ability to distinguish the information obtained, and

DOI: 10.37200/IJPR/V24I5/PR201939

Received: 22 Feb 2020 | Revised: 16 Mar 2020 | Accepted: 28 Mar 2020

ISSN: 1475-7192

choose including what contributes to his happiness, knowledge of the conditions of people and life, how to behave in the context of its ambiguity and complexities, the individual's experience to arrive at the meaning of life, his

ability to make decisions and solve problems, and his determination to apply life experiences to self-development.

Researchers defined wisdom in this research: it is the extent of the student's knowledge of himself and his ability to control his emotions in acute situations and his ability to solve problems facing him and make decisions, and the

ability to adapt to the requirements of life.

Definitions of wisdom were agreed in five main aspects: Wisdom refers to knowledge or experience, and indication of age, it is related to performance in middle age and beyond, it indicates the ability to make decisions, and right judgment, It indicates a balance between personal and non-personal interests, It indicates the rise and

maturity of the character.

Studies show that people's ability to think wisely varies greatly across experimental contexts, with wise thinking differing from one situation to another according to balanced self-behavioral responses in areas of life, especially in difficult problems and situations (Grossmann, 2017). Philosophers and psychologists have unanimously agreed that wisdom involves certain aspects of thinking, allowing the individual more knowledge of life's challenges, and people's ability to think wisely may vary greatly across experimental contexts with wise thinking differing from one situation to another (Grossmann, 2017). Wisdom is also associated with many adaptive psychological and social features, including positive values, mature personality traits, such as decision-making, successful personal

relationships (Webster, et al, 2018).

Wisdom is considered a fruitful and important topic for the psychological side for two reasons: First: to continue and increase the cultural development of the human condition. • Second: It embodies a cooperation between the cognitive and the emotional side. So researchers took an interest in it and described it as a feature of the mind and spirit, and it is a kind of knowledge that affects the thinking of a wise individual, and wisdom is inseparable from decisions that are taken in reality, and it is not just a matter of what goes on in one's mind, but wisdom how can Use it to make the right decisions and judgments, and this is linked to the individual's perception. Interest in the field of wisdom in educational psychology has increased in the past two decades, and researchers have also been interested in measuring wisdom, as there have been numerous studies that have dealt with the psychometric properties of wisdom, (Moraitou & Efklides, 2012) (Glück, König, Naschenweng, Redzanowski, Dorner, Straßer & Wiedermann,

2013) (Thomas, Bangen, Ardelt & Jeste 2015).

The measurement of wisdom were classified into two different parts, the first the form of self-report measure (Ardelt, 2003, Webester, 2003, Levenson, 2005), and the other the form of a performance list (Berlin, 2000) where

verbal responses wree collected on situations that require wisdom . (Glück, et al, 2013).

In spite of the multiplicity of measures in the field of wisdom, they differed in terms of dimensions, some of them relied on three dimensions (such as the cognitive dimension, the emotional dimension, the reflective dimension), and it was addressed the personality of the wise person (practical wisdom, dialectical thinking), and from whom he addressed eight dimensions to wisdom (persuasion, problem solving, emotional equilibrium, good judgment, tendency to virtue, openness to experiences, self-reflection, while (Sternberg, 1990) identified wisdom in

DOI: 10.37200/IJPR/V24I5/PR201939

Received: 22 Feb 2020 | Revised: 16 Mar 2020 | Accepted: 28 Mar 2020

ISSN: 1475-7192

six dimensions: ability, intelligence, learning, integrity of opinion, and capacity to use information and unity of

mind.

Two measures of wisdom Ardelt's 3 dimensions of Wisdom Scale, and the Webster Scale is also designed to

measure the non-cognitive components of wisdom to assess five components: life hazards, experiences, memories of

the past, life reflection, openness to experiences, emotional organization (Ardelt, 2011). There is still a debate about

the basic components of wisdom, and how to reach it.

Akbari, Hashemi & Kenari (2016) studied the psychometric properties of the Schmit, Muldoon and Pounders

scale of wisdom, the Persian version, and the study sample was (278) student from Mazandaran University.

Experiences, interaction with others, moral awareness, possession of experience is applicable to the sample

population and has good psychometric properties.

Thomas et al., (2015) examined the psychometric properties of the triple wisdom scale, where he examined

wisdom through (the cognitive component, the emotional component, and the reflective component), a sample of

1546 adults, the study results showed the scale have a good validity and reliability.

Glück et al., (2013) studied The psychometric properties of wisdom have been through four different measures.

Staudinger (2000) Ardelt (2003), Webester (2003), Levenson (2005), The sample consisted of (47) university

students from Austria, and types of wisdom were identified (personal wisdom, general wisdom, and other types of

wisdom), and the results also demonstrated that the Webster scale consists of five dimensions of openness,

emotional organization, humor, critical life experience, and remembering.

Moraitou & Efklides (2012) examined the psychometric properties of the wisdom scale, and analyzed the

relationships between wisdom and demographic factors and the study sample was 446 adults, a wise thinking

questionnaire was used, the results showed good psychometric properties of the wisdom scale.

Through previous studies, there had been multiple measures of wisdom and dealt with multiple age groups and

multiple cultures, but the researchers differed in determining the dimensions, researchers attempts to preparation an

appropriate measure of wisdom among university students on the Palestinian environment, characterized by a good

psychometric properties. The present study attempts to examine the structure of wisdom among university students

on the Palestinian environment, and the study problem can be formulated in the following questions:

What is the structure of the measure of wisdom for university students in the Gaza?

Is the wisdom scale have has appropriate psychometric properties?

II. METHODOLOGY

To answer the study questions for this study, it was necessary to Preparate an appropriate scale of wisdom,

participants were identified, and completed the instrument during 2019-2020. Finally, the data collected were

combined and analyzed.

Participants

A total of 170 students From Al-Quds Open University and Al-Aqsa University in Gaza completed the scale of

DOI: 10.37200/IJPR/V24I5/PR201939

Received: 22 Feb 2020 | Revised: 16 Mar 2020 | Accepted: 28 Mar 2020

ISSN: 1475-7192

wisdom, including males (N= 100) and females (N= 70). The students ranged in age from 18 to 22 with an average

age 20.7 and S.D. 2.1.

Instrument

The scale consists of 41 items and had four dimensions, (Emotional Stability): the student's ability to control his

emotions, deal flexibly and narrate with different emotional situations, and make the individual more happy, calm,

self-confident, and stable for the mood. (Psychological Resilience) the student's ability to face the pressures he is

exposed to, control his emotions, his ability to solve problems, adapt to customs and traditions in society, and

openness to others. (Persuasion skills) is the student's ability to influence the opinions of his colleagues, and the

ability to change their thoughts and attitudes, the process of influence and persuasion depends on the experience and

personality of the persuasive individual. (Problem solving skills) the student's ability to deal with the problems and

situations of daily life to reach his goal, where the student sets a set of solutions and chooses the best ones according

to scientific and logical steps. The scale was perrpared depending on measures in wisdom, such that wisdom scale

(San, Diego, 2012), wisdom evolution scale (Brown & Greene, 2006), the triple wisdom scale (2003 Ardelt),

wisdom scale (Webster, 2003), psychometric flexibility scale (Connor-Davidson, 2003), wisdom Scale (Silgman,

Peterson, 2002), Scale of Balance in Wisdom Sternberg (1990). The scale is considered to be a self-report type for

which are answered in the each item 5 items using 5 point Likert response scale, ranging from 5- strongly agree to 1-

strongly disagree..

III.RESULTS

Exploratory Factor Analysis of Wisdom Scale

Dimensionality of factors of wisdom construct was assessed by using exploratory factor analysis,. the purpose of

factor analysis (FA) is closely aligned with the development of new scale since factor analysis helps researchers to

understand the latent factors or constructs that account for the shared variance among items" (Worthington

&Whittaker, 2006). Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) is a technique for data exploration that determines the

structure of factors to be analyzed. EFA of the wisdom scale was carried out the sample, to establish a parsimonious

set of factors.

Using SPSS software, Exploratory Factor Analysis reduced the wisdom construct into a clearer factor structure

(Hair, Anderson, Tatham & Black, 1998) and identified items with common variance (Rossiter, 2002). Prior to

conducting EFA, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy and Bartlett test of sphericity were performed.

For the factor analysis of wisdom related items, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure value fell in the acceptable range

(above 0.50) with a value of 0.7366. The observed significance level of Bartlett's test result was 0.000.

Following Bearden, Hardesty & Rose (2001) suggestion, the statistical criteria that were taken into consideration

for item retention in this stage were corrected item-to-total correlations above 0.35 and factor loadings above 05 (0.4

was acceptable in this study, De Vellis 2003). Based on the above criteria, all of the 41 items were retained for EFA

together using the principle component analysis followed by Varimax rotation method. Table 1 shows the result of

item to total statistics.

DOI: 10.37200/IJPR/V24I5/PR201939

Received: 22 Feb 2020 | Revised: 16 Mar 2020 | Accepted: 28 Mar 2020

International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, Vol. 24, Issue 05, 2020 ISSN: 1475-7192

Table 1: Corrected Item-Total Statistics

Item	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted	
item1	150.41	231.272	.246	.835	
item2	149.42	235.440	.314	.833	
item3	150.18	228.300	.392	.831	
item4	150.20	226.007	.452	.829	
item5	150.14	228.851	.394	.831	
item6	150.45	227.314	.367	.831	
item7	150.11	228.296	.458	.829	
item8	150.16	227.405	.408	.830	
item9	150.08	226.964	.446	.829	
item10	150.21	227.466	.437	.829	
item11	149.89	232.214	.296	.833	
item12	149.88	235.872	.226	.835	
item13	149.76	232.950	.349	.832	
item14	149.95	225.506	.501	.828	
item15	150.23	229.148	.365	.831	
item16	150.11	229.555	.362	.831	
item17	149.91	226.128	.529	.828	
item18	150.23	227.243	.414	.830	
item19	150.21	234.366	.188	.836	
item20	150.03	226.372	.447	.829	
item21	150.09	241.217	.446	.828	
item22	150.68	232.481	.193	.836	
item23	151.03	236.952	.080	.840	
item24	151.29	231.591	.248	.835	
item25	151.14	231.542	.225	.836	
item26	151.05	234.069	.190	.835	
item27	149.85	230.469	.375	.831	
item28	151.45	234.640	.192	.836	
item29	149.91	230.341	.401	.831	
item30	151.33	229.240	.344	.832	
item31	150.15	233.759	.240	.834	
item32	150.15	233.326	.218	.835	
item33	150.15	229.937	.319	.832	
item34	150.11	231.137	.353	.832	
item35	151.96	250.034	.353	.832	
item36	150.05	232.826	.255	.834	
item37	150.15	230.919	.353	.832	
item38	149.85	230.947	.382	.831	
item39	149.85	229.570	.440	.830	
item40	150.02	229.301	.354	.832	
item41	149.85	225.262	.517	.827	

The idea of rotation is to redefine the factor loadings to obtain simple structure, where each factor should have indicators with strong loadings and each indicator should load strongly on only one factor. Orthogonal rotation is used when factors are assumed to be or unknown to be uncorrelated (Gorsuch, 1983; Thompson, 2004). For the wisdom scale, the underlying factors are expected to be uncorrelated, and hence, orthogonal rotation is preferred.

The first factor captures the items of Emotional Stability. The second factor captures Psychological Resilience, and the third is Persuasion skills, and the fourth is Problem solving skills. Factor loadings less 0.40 were dropped. Thus, 6 items from the original 41 scale items were dropped resulting in a 45-items scale.

Table 2: Rotated Component Matrix

Item	Item Loadings					
	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4	Crorbach's Alpha of factors	% Variance Explained by factors
I control myself and my desires in all situations.					0.81	10.47
I tolerate discussion and argument with others without being nervous.						
I feel nervous when I wait for the results of my exams.						
I regain balance after experiencing a traumatic situation by my colleagues.	.586					
I can control my emotions when others hurt my feelings.	.564					
I feel inner calm and reassuring.	.561					
I am characterized by emotional stability in dealing with my university colleagues.	.554					
I feel happy when I face challenging situations.	.530					
I get excited when my colleagues criticize me.						
I have the ability to control my emotions when exposed to difficult situations.	.459					
When I solve any problem, I see it in all aspects.						
I cite facts when convincing my colleagues of an idea.						
I have the ability to easily turn ideas and solutions into action.						
I tend to have humor with my colleagues even in the most difficult of circumstances.		.642			0.78	9.71
I am having difficulty adjusting my behavior to others.		.548				
I adapt to life problems.		.534				
I have the flexibility to deal with the difficulties I face.		.532				
Make a good impression on others.		.519				
Dealing with difficult life situations makes me feel strong.		.500				
I have the ability to make new friends easily.		.471				
I rebel against the customs and traditions prevailing in society.		.467				
Accept the opinions of others without fanaticism.		.465				
I excuse my colleagues if they make a mistake in my right.		.436				
I have the ability to persuade others of positive dialogue.						
I use the attack to control the minds of my colleagues.			.671		0.79	8.26
My ability to persuade is weak in front of others.			.669			
I have the ability to negotiate positions to find a good solution.			.646			
I imagine that I have an influential position in society.			.640			
I feel that others trust my opinions.			.631			
I choose the right time to persuade others of an idea.			.612			
Make sure to choose words that are appropriate for the feelings of others.			.494			
I consider myself a convincing person.						
I analyze the causes underlying any problem I encounter.				.611	0.77	7.79
I consult with others to collect different solutions to my problem.				.596		
I have the ability to face difficult situations according to an advance plan.				.591		
I choose the best solution to my problem without hesitation.				.568		
I help my colleagues find solutions to their problems.				.515		
I think about the results of solutions in the long run.				.512		
I am thinking logically to find a solution to my problem.				.481		
I make sure to postpone the solution to any problem I face.				.432		
I get excited when I encounter a problem that hinders me from thinking.						

ISSN: 1475-7192

As the wisdom scale is multidimensional, Cronbach's Alpha was assessed for each dimension (Hair, et al.,

1998). The corresponding Cronbach's Alpha of these factors are all at an acceptable level of ranging between 0.75

and 0.81, whereas Cronbach's Alpha of the wisdom scale is 0.84 indicating high reliability. Table 2 shows the

detailed grouping of the items, corresponding loadings on wisdom scale, Cronbach's Alpha of each factor,

percentage variance explained by each factors.

Factor1: Emotional Stability

Ten items load on this factor. They correlate strongly, and they have a Cronbach's Alpha of 081 This 9-item

factor explains 10.47% of the variance, and is the strongest of the four factors in terms of contribution to the

formation of the of wisdom scale. These items have a common conceptual thread among them, which relates the

student's ability to control his emotions, deal flexibly and narrate with different emotional situations, and make the

individual more happy, calm, self-confident, and stable for the mood.

Factor2: Psychological Resilience

Ten items are included in this factor. They correlate strongly, and they have a Cronbach's Alpha of 0.78. This 7-

item factor explains 9.71% of the variance.. These items have a common conceptual thread among them, which

relates to the student's ability to face the pressures he is exposed to, control his emotions, his ability to solve

problems, adapt to customs and traditions in society, and openness to others.

Factor3: Persuasion Skills

Eight items are included in this factor. They correlate strongly, and they have a Cronbach's Alpha of 0.77. This

8-item factor explains 7.79% of the variance. These items have a common conceptual thread among them, which

relates to) the student's ability to deal with the problems and situations of daily life to reach his goal, where the

student sets a set of solutions and chooses the best ones according to scientific and logical steps.

Factor4: Problem Solving Skills

Seven items are included in this factor. They correlate strongly, and they have a Cronbach's Alpha of 0.79. This

7-item factor explains 8.26% of the variance. These items have a common conceptual thread among them, which

relates to the student's ability to influence the opinions of his colleagues, and the ability to change their thoughts and

attitudes, the process of influence and persuasion depends on the experience and personality of the persuasive

individual

Reliability and Validity Tests

As Hinkin (1995) noted, reliability is a pre-condition for validity. For reliability, the internal consistency of these

constructs was established through its Cronbach's alpha. Cronbach's alpha measures the degree in which the

responses are consistent across items within a single measure. A guideline of what is acceptable is: reliability

coefficients around .90 are "excellent", values around .80 are "very good", and values around .70 are "adequate"

(Kline, 2005). Reliability of each dimension was estimated by calculating Cronbach's alpha value. The Cronbach's

alpha values for all dimensions ranged from 0.77 to 0.81. Cronbach's alpha of the wisdom scale is shown to be 084,

which shows high reliability.

DOI: 10.37200/IJPR/V24I5/PR201939

Received: 22 Feb 2020 | Revised: 16 Mar 2020 | Accepted: 28 Mar 2020

IV. CONCLUSION

The aim of this study was to prepare and examine the psychometric characteristics of the wisdom scale for university students in Gaza, and the results of the study concluded that the scale has validity and high reliability for the study sample

REFERENCES

- [1] Akbari, A., Hashemi, S. & Kenari, M.(2016). The Psychometric Characteristics of Wisdom Scale (WS). *Positive Psychology Research*, 2(6), 19-33.
- [2] Ardelt, M. (2003). Empirical Assessment of A three-Dimensional Wisdom scale (Electronic version). *Research on Aging*, 25 (3), 275-324.
- [3] Ardelt, M. (2011). The Measurement of Wisdom: A Commentary on Taylor, Bates, and Webster's Comparison of the SAWS and 3D WS, Experimental Aging. Research: *An International Journal Devoted to the Scientific Study of the Aging Process*, 37(2), 241 255.
- [4] Bearden W., Hardesty D. & Rose R. (2001). Consumer Self-Confidence: Refinements in Conceptualization and Measurement. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 28, (1), 121-134.
- [5] Bergsma, A., & Ardelt, M. (2012). Self-reported wisdom and happiness: An empirical investigation. Journal of Happiness Studies: An Interdisciplinary Forum on Subjective Well-Being, 13(3), 481–499.
- [6] Brown, W. (Ed.). (2000). *Understanding Wisdom: Sources, science, and society*. Philadelphia: Templeton Foundation Press.
- [7] Brown, S. & Green, J. (2009): The wisdom development scale, Further validity investing. *J. Aging and Human development*, 68(4), 289-320.
- [8] Connor, K.M., & Davidson, J.R.T. (2003). Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC). *Depression and Anxiety*, 18(2), 76–82.
- [9] Cooper (2017). Data, information, knowledge and wisdom. *Anaesthesia & Intensive Care Medicine*, 18(1), 55-56.
- [10] De Vellis, R F. (2003). Scale development: Theory and applications (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- [11] Glück J., König S., Naschenweng K., Redzanowsk U., Dorner L., Straßer I. & Wiedermann W.(2013). How to measure wisdom: content, reliability, and validity of five measures. *Front Psychology.*, 4.
- [12] Gorsuch, R. (1983). Factor analysis (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- [13] Grossmann I. (2017). Wisdom in Context. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 12(2), 233–257.
- [14] Hair, J., Anderson, R., Tatham, R. and Black, W. (1998). *Multivariate data analysis*. 5th. Edition, Prentice Hall. New Jersey.
- [15] Hinkin, T. (1995). A review of scale development practices in the study of organizations. *Journal of Management*, 21(5), 967-988.
- [16] Kline, R.B. (2005). *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling*. Guilford Press, New York, NY, second edition.
- [17] Moraitou, D., & Efklides, A. (2012). The Wise Thinking and Acting Questionnaire: The Cognitive Facet of Wisdom and its Relation with Memory, Affect, and Hope. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, *13*(5), 849–873.
- [18] Parisi, J.M., Rebok, G.W., Carlson, M.C., Fried, L.P., Seeman, T.E., Tan, E.J., Tanner, E.K., & Piferi, R.L. (2009). Can the wisdom of aging be activated and make a difference societally? *Educational Gerontology*, 35, 867-879.
- [19] Peterson, C., Ruch, W., Beermann, U., Park, N., & Seligman, M.E.P. (2007). Strengths of character, orientations to happiness, and life satisfaction. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 2(3), 149–156.
- [20] Rossiter, J. R. (2002). The COARSE procedure for scale development in marketing. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 19 (4), 305-335.
- [21] Shorey, H.S., Little, T.D., Snyder, C.R., Kluck, B., & Robitschek, C. (2007). Hope and personal growth initiative: A comparison of positive, future-oriented constructs. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 43, 1917-1926.
- [22] Solansky S., T. (2013). To Fear Foolishness for the Sake of Wisdom: A Message to Leaders. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 122(1), 39–51.
- [23] Staudinger & Gluck (2012). Psychological Wisdom Research: Commonalities and Differences in a Growing Field. *The Annual Review of Psychology. Annual Review of Psychology*, 62, 215-241.

- [24] Sternberg, R (2009). Wisdom, Intelligence, and Creativity Synthesized: A New Model for Liberal Education. *ERIC Liberal Education*, 95 (4), 10-15.
- [25] Sternberg, R.J. (1990). Wisdom and its relations to intelligence and creativity. In R.J. Sternberg (Ed.), *Wisdom: Its nature, origins, and development* (pp. 142–159). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- [26] Takahashi, M. & Overton, W. (2002). Wisdom: A Culturally Inclusive Developmental Perspective. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 26(3), 269-277.
- [27] Thomas M., Bangen K., Ardelt M. & Jeste D. (2015). Development of a 12-Item Abbreviated Three-Dimensional Wisdom Scale (3D-WS-12): Item Selection and Psychometric Properties. *Assessment*, 24(1), 71-82.
- [28] Thomas, M., Bangen, K., Palmer, B., Martin, A., Avanzino, J., Depp, C., Jeste, D. & Glorioso, D. (2017). A new scale for assessing wisdom based on common domains and a neurobiological model: The San Diego Wisdom Scale (SD-WISE). *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 108, 40-47.
- [29] Thompson, B. (2004). Exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis: Understanding concepts and applications. American Psychological Association.
- [30] Webster J., Weststrat N., Ferrari M., Munroe M. & Pierce T. (2018). Wisdom and Meaning in Emerging Adulthood. *Emerging Adulthood*, 6(2), 118-136.
- [31] Webster, J. (2007). Measuring the character strength of wisdom. *The International Journal of Aging and Human Development*. 65(2) 163-183.
- [32] Weststrate, N., Ferrari M. & Ardelt M. (2016). The Many Faces of Wisdom: An Investigation of Cultural-Historical Wisdom Exemplars Reveals Practical, Philosophical, and Benevolent Prototypes. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 42(5), 662-676.
- [33] Worthington, R.L. and Whittaker, T.A. (2006). Scale Development Research A Content Analysis and Recommendations for Best Practices. *The Counseling Psychologist*, *34*(6), 806-838.