ISSN: 1475-7192

A Comparative Study of Justice from the Viewpoint of Shahid Motahhari and Aristotle

<sup>1</sup>Seyed Rahmatollah Mousavimoghadam

Abstract--

**Introduction:** The concept of justice is one of the fundamental principles of development, human evolution and civilization. The purpose of this research is the comparative study of justice from the perspective of Shahid Motahhari and Aristotle.

**Method:** This research method was used in this study Library and data collection was done through taking note from the reference books, articles and valid manuscripts.

Discussion: The results showed that Aristotle, as a great Western philosopher and Shahid Motahhari as an Islamic thinker and philosopher, both regard justice as one of the central virtues; Aristotle defines justice, the final good of humans, as the soul's activity in accordance with virtue and regards it as "average" in opposed to going to extremes. In Aristotle's view, justice is a complete virtue. In Motahhari's view, justice has a spiritual and ideal face and is not subject to the taste or desire of human beings. In this approach, justice is defined and achieved in the strict conformity with the objective "right"; it is expressed as observance of an individual or object's entitlement. In the religious and Islamic view, it cannot be reduced to the satisfaction, desirability, or social contract which constitutes the epistemological basis of liberal justice".

Conclusion: Understanding the concept of justice by Aristotle as the "average" is rather conceptual and, in practice, its application is complicated and sometimes impossible; in Motahhari's view, justice is objective and a criterion that must become practically a norm. His view of justice in terms of objectivity and practicability is more useful.

Keywords--Shahid Motahhari, Aristotle, Justice.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In all human civilizations even primitive tribes, justice has been and still is fundamental and the basis of human action; justice as the center of human development, progress, and excellence, is a major concern of all thinkers, especially philosophers, who claim to design the "utopia" and a superior civilization. This attribute exists in all aspects of the Islamic system. Our leaders have sacrificed their lives for justice. A prominent example of this is Ali (justice), who martyred for "his justice" (Motahhari, 2006: 20) .For Professor Motahhari, justice is "the right that every human being has acquired through his creation and his own work and activity." He sees the opposite of justice as oppression, which means that when two people are on equal footing, they should not withhold one gift from one

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor and Head of Department of Islamic Studies, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, ILAM, Iran. ID Orkid: 0000-0002-0764-8825, prof.m1344@gmail.com

DOI: 10.37200/IJPR/V24I4/PR201608

Received: 20 Jan 2020 | Revised: 22 Feb 2020 | Accepted: 28 Feb 2020

ISSN: 1475-7192

and do not withhold another. In view of the above definition and its introduction, it seems that he also considers justice be derived from the human nature (Motahhari, 1993: 259). Marxists believe that justice is possible, but not by human means, because man is unable to bring about justice. They argue that educating the human desiring sincerely justice is not possible and, on the one hand, reinforcing the intellect and human knowledge to realize that as his good is not practical; justice must be achieved through the economic means. They believe that the economic transformations created by the economic instruments lead societies to capitalism and then to socialism in which justice is inevitably achieved (Motahhari, 1993: 264). The relation between justice and freedom was widely resonated from the past three centuries, following the Great French Revolution. The important proclamation of the French revolutionaries and the spread of liberal and socialist thoughts and the opinions of some scholars of social contract theory like Locke were also at the center of important political and social controversies (Locke, 2005: 384). Aristotle defines "justice in the specific sense of the word as considering persons and objects as equals .... It is important to balance the benefits, losses, duties, and rights of individuals. So in the definition of justice we can say: it is a virtue by which everyone must be given what is determined for him" (Ahmadi, 2013: 8). According to the discussion of virtue, Aristotle in his definition of justice refers to the concept of "average" and considers the foundation of justice to be modest in everything (Allam, 1997, 1: 134). Moreover, in his view, justice is a moral complete integrity, because acting on it is a practice of virtue altogether (Aristotle, 1985: 126). Majidi and Omidi (2018) in a research sought to compare the pattern of justice between the two intellectual movements of Neosadriyah and communitarianism with a focus on Shahid Motahhari and Michael Walzer's views. The result Showed, Shahid Motahhari considers the social rights prior to the individual and disagrees with the maximal relativism and pluralism in the principles of distribution, and Walzer insists on the independence of each domain of "domination" and "monopoly", by specifying eleven areas of justice. Therefore, considering the importance of the subject, the most important issue in the present research is a comparative study of justice from the perspective of Shahid Motahhari and Aristotle.

## Method

This research method was used in this study Library and data collection was done through taking note from the reference books, articles and valid manuscripts, by rational and logical analysis of issues based on two thinkers' viewpoints.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW AND DISCUSSION

Justice is one of the internal and innate desires of human beings, and, according to his own healthy nature, every human being disgusts inequality and discrimination. Justice has a higher status than some spiritual values, as we read in an Islamic tradition: They asked Imam Ali (AS): which is superior justice or forgiveness? He said: "Justice puts everything in its place, while forgiveness takes it away. Justice is the public management of the people, while forgiveness involves a specific group, so justice is noble and superior" (Nahj al-Balagha, Wisdom 427). Throughout the history of human thought, many scholars have taken note of it and each presented a concept appropriate to their views. In this article, we briefly compare justice from the viewpoint of Motahhari and Aristotle

DOI: 10.37200/IJPR/V24I4/PR201608

Received: 20 Jan 2020 | Revised: 22 Feb 2020 | Accepted: 28 Feb 2020

International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, Vol. 24, Issue 04, 2020

ISSN: 1475-7192

.

Justice from the perspective of Shahid Motahhari

According to Shahid Motahhari, the idea of justice is one of the essential and general human

considerations, because it emphasizes two other principles that are both essential and general, namely "good and

evil" and "natural civilization and employment". He therefore considers the true meaning of human social justice to

be the observance of human rights. In his view, justice relies on two things: one's rights and priorities, that is, human

beings receive a right and priority over one another; the other is that human beings are created so that in their work

they employ necessarily some kind of considerations as an instrument and use it to achieve their natural ends. Those

ideas are a series of "performative" ideas specified by the "musts" (Motahhari, 1994: 81).

Professor Morteza Motahhari offers three meanings for the word justice:

1. Being balanced

If we consider a set or a compound that has different components and has a specific purpose, to achieve

that goal, certain conditions should be met in terms of the amount of components needed and the quality of the

components' relationship to each other, and only in this way, that set or compound can remain, make the desired

effect and play the desired role. If a thing is proportionate, it is justice.

2. Equality and rejection of any discrimination

Usually when we say someone is just, it means that there is no difference between people, so justice is

equality.

3. Observance of right

Observance of entitlements and granting to every rightful person what he / she deserves (Motahhari, 2001,

1:78).

One of the important debates about the concept of justice is the question of the absolute and relative

meaning of justice; in Islamic culture, the meaning of justice is absolute, because it is based on the real and innate

human rights. Therefore, in all times and places it is not one, because human entitlements are always constant,

uniform and absolute (Motahhari, 1993: 312).

Shahid Motahhari believes that justice has a direct impact on people's morality and therefore it is essential

in thought and belief, individual morality and public behavior. In fact, the existence or absence of justice in society

is effective in various aspects including:

1. Influence on thoughts and beliefs: One of the effects is that in the absence of justice in society, people turn to

luck and any belief in it is rooted in the oppressions and injustices of society. Whenever the social justice is weaken

and the entitlements are not respected, the rights of individuals are not respected, it is effective in exchanging jobs,

personal accounts and parties, thereby the idea of chance reinforces in society (Motahhari, 2006: 94). Another effect

of justice on thoughts and beliefs is pessimism, as a complaint about the times and the "part". It actually comes from

DOI: 10.37200/IJPR/V24I4/PR201608

Received: 20 Jan 2020 | Revised: 22 Feb 2020 | Accepted: 28 Feb 2020

5098

International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, Vol. 24, Issue 04, 2020

ISSN: 1475-7192

the fact that the speaker, despite the oppression around him, did not dare to express it and expresses pessimism

(Motahhari, 2006: 95).

2. Influence on individual morality: Moderate morality is formed only in the light of societies in which

organizations, regulations, and social rights are balanced. Because otherwise the individual and personal morality

will not be balanced and in addition to the public, that particular class that benefit more will suffer too. The source

of many moral corruption, mental distress, malice, and so forth begins here. Discrimination in societies also makes

the souls of those injured, annoyed and revengeful, and transforms the souls of others into a traitor, jobless, helpless

and wasteful. (Motahhari, 2006: 96).

3. Influence on public behavior: It will be much worse if injustice accompanies a sense of embarrassment and

regret for the luxuries of others. As one suffers from seeing the benefits of others, so he/she is thus driven to theft,

embezzlement, betrayal of public property and other sins in the public behavior scene (Motahhari, 2006: 97).

**Justice from Aristotle's View** 

Aristotle, on the one hand, subjugates justice to the moral virtues and considers ethical virtues as acquired,

in the sense that the moral virtues and justice are essentially acquired ones and are not resulted from our nature. On

the other hand, the law he proposes, the "nomos", is not in its original sense, but the nomos means the laid down

rules (Aristotle, 2014). Aristotle offers four reasons for the superiority of theoretical (contemplative) life: 1.

Theoretical life in accordance with moral virtue results in the happiness of the lower stages, and this is the end of

life as philosophy; 2. Reflection does not need for the external goods, and both (rational and moral) virtues equally

require the essential benefits of life; 3. Theoretical activity is the only activity that is conceivable for the divinity; 4.

Theoretical and reflective activity distinguishes human from animal (Aristotle, 1989, 2: 276-272). Aristotle is one of

the thinkers who have a different division of justice.

1. Justice as the complete virtue

Aristotle defines happiness, the ultimate good of human actions (Aristotle, 2004, 1: 175), as "the activity of

the soul in conformity with virtue" (Aristotle, 2004, 1: 189) and considers justice being at the top of the virtues that

realize human happiness. For Aristotle, justice is a complete virtue, for justice requires the application of all virtues.

Justice is also a complete virtue in which one can realize it not only in himself but also in relation to others

(Aristotle, 2004, 2: 62).

2. Interpretation of justice

Aristotle interprets justice as the average between the extremes (Aristotle, 2004, 2: 55).

3. Social justice

Aristotle defines social justice in two general and specific terms: in general sense the justice means all

respect for the rules and equality of citizens (Aristotle, 2004, 2: 62). In specific sense, two distributive and corrective

Received: 20 Jan 2020 | Revised: 22 Feb 2020 | Accepted: 28 Feb 2020

5099

International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, Vol. 24, Issue 04, 2020

ISSN: 1475-7192

types constitute justice; in the distributive justice, the human dignity, money and other influential elements of

society are shared among citizens. In the corrective justice, the transactions and relationships between individuals in

society are corrected (Aristotle, 2004, 2: 77).

4. Justice as proportion

According to Aristotle, justice is not equality but proportion, and argues that everyone's position in society

in terms of right must be as good as his or her competence (Enayat, 1972: 111).

In Nicomachean Ethics, Aristotle raises an independent discussion of virtue. In the beginning of his second

book, he divides virtue into two categories: "Intellectual virtues and moral virtues. Intellectual virtues emerge and

grow through 'education' (meaning they require experience and time), while moral virtues are the result of 'habit'

(Aristotle, 2014).

From Aristotle's point of view, as every virtue is the average of the two vices, in all instances of justice, we

are confronted with the phenomenon of average, and the just person has in fact meticulously observed the average of

two vices. Aristotle considered part of social justice to be natural and the other part to be legal. Natural justice

(natural rights) has equal power everywhere and is not dependent on the agreement and disagreement of people; but

in the case of legal justice the rules may change. On the relationship between our understanding of social justice and

the purpose of the development of political society, Aristotle states that the purpose of the political society is not

only to live but to live well (Vaezi, 2009).

III. CONCLUSION

The concept of justice is one of the most familiar words. It is also one of the most complex and debatable

concepts; because of this complexity, it causes numerous analyses. In Aristotle's view, virtue has intrinsic value, and

its goodness is not dependent on the likes and desires of individuals. Happiness means acting in accordance with

virtues or the best of virtues. Aristotle seeks to re-establish the moral force of political laws. He wants to show that a

deep faith in obedience to the laws that becomes his/her character as a result of the proper training of the citizen,

makes him a good and faithful man. Shahid Motahhari points to aspects of the effects of justice in a number of

cases, including influence on thoughts and opinions, influence on personal morality, and influence on public

behavior. In his view, if the mass of the nation are loyal to the rights of the state and the state observes the people's

rights, then the signs of justice manifest themselves. Justice is also based on the practice of law with the support of

Islamic spirituality, which also avoids discrimination in society by creating a race for survival. In this system, people

should be willing to give their rights to others willingly; of course, the government is also required to bring about

justice in society. Thus, any system that fails in establishing properly the justice in society certainly provides the

conditions for a revolution and another system.

Aristotle and Shahid Motahhari both regard justice as one of the primordial virtues and believe in its

preference for the social system; however, there are subtle and important differences in their attitudes. Aristotle, like

Shahid Motahhari, who considers the solidity of moral foundations as an important factor in the growth and

prosperity of the individual and society, calls justice a moral virtue; for him in the shadow of the rational virtues, the

man can bring about happiness for himself and society. In the moral system of Aristotle and Shahid Motahhari,

DOI: 10.37200/IJPR/V24I4/PR201608

Received: 20 Jan 2020 | Revised: 22 Feb 2020 | Accepted: 28 Feb 2020

5100

ISSN: 1475-7192

social justice is possible only if the society members have spiritual habits. Shahid Motahhari and Aristotle first introduce justice as an individual virtue through which one attains social justice. Finally, the administration of justice in different areas requires individual justice and human adherence to the moral values and acceptance of the general principles set by religion and reason. In Aristotle's view, justice in the realm of action and fulfillment is incomplete and in some cases impossible to access because it is not plausible to determine average for the ideal matters such as science, chastity, etc. However, for Motahhari, since justice is objective and real, is more observable, more measurable, more pathological, more futuristic; generally speaking, because the entitlement of the individual is objective and factual, the realization of justice is easier, more useful in practice, more effective in the social system.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Ahmadi, Reza. 2013. Justice, Law, Government, Tehran: Publication of Motaleat.
- 2. Aristotle. 1989. Nicomachean Ethics, Translated by Seyyed Abolghasem Pourhosseini, Vol. 2, Tehran: Tehran University Press.
- 3. Aristotle. 1985. Nicomachean Ethics, Collection and Translation by Reza Mashayekhi, Tehran: Dehkhoda Bookstore.
- 4. Alam, Abdul Rahman. 1997. The History of Western Political Philosophy, vol. 1 and 2, Tehran: Bureau of Political and International Studies.
- 5. Enayat, Hamid. 1972. The Foundation for Political Philosophy in the West (from Heraclitus to Hobbes), with an introduction by Hamid Mossadegh, Tehran: University of Tehran Press.
- 6. Majidi, Hasan & Omidi, Mehdi. A Comparative Study of the Model of Justice from the Perspective of Shahid Morteza Motahhari and Michael Walzer, Quarterly of Political Science, Volume 14, Number 2, pp. 1-34.
- 7. Motahhari, Morteza. 2006. Twenty Speeches, Tehran: Sadra Publications.
- 8. Motahhari, Morteza. 2001 Collection of works, V. 3, Tehran: Sadra Publications.
- 9. Motahhari, Morteza. 1994 Islam and the Requirements of Time, Tehran: Sadra Publications.
- 10. Motahhari, Morteza. A look at Imams' Lives, Tehran: Sadra Publications.
- 11. Vaezi. Ahmad. 2009. Review of Theories of Justice, Qom: Imam Khomeini Research Institute Publications.
- 12. Aristotle. 2014. The Complete Works of Aristotle, Jonathan Barnes, Princeton University Press.
- 13. Aristotle. 2004. Aristotle, 1 & 2, French University Press.
- 14. Lock, J. 2005. Two Treatises of Government, Edited by Peter Laslett, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 15. Parsana, T.S., Patel, M.T. A Case Study: A Process FMEA Tool to Enhance Quality and Efficiency of Manufacturing Industry (2014) Bonfring International Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management Science, 4 (3), pp. 145-152.
- 16. Altunkaynak, B.Z., Önger, M.E., Altunkaynak, M.E., Ayranci, E., Canan, S.A brief introduction to stereology and sampling strategies: Basic concepts of stereology (2012) NeuroQuantology, 10 (1), pp. 31-43.
- 17. K.Rohini, G.Suseendran, "Aggregated K Means Clustering and Decision Tree Algorithm for Spirometry Data", Indian Journal of Science and Technology, Volume 9, Issue 44, November 2016 pp.1-6 DOI: 10.17485/ijst/2016/v9i44/103107
- 18. K. Hussain, S.J. Hussain, NZ. Jhanjhi and M. Humayun, "SYN Flood Attack Detection based on Bayes Estimator (SFADBE) For MANET", International Conference on Computer and Information Sciences (ICCIS), 1-4, 2019