APPLYING AUDIO LINGUAL METHOD IN ENGLISH LESSONS

¹Ibragimova Dildora Shamsiddinovna., ²Zayniyeva Nasiba Bakhtiyarovna

ABSTRACT --The beneficial of technique in teaching assists the students to enjoy the learning process. The effective technique of teaching in the learning process can be seen on the performance or the goal. The goal of learning foreign language is mastered the target language. In a general way, the foreign language like English is studied by the learner in the secondary school. The technique of teaching has been made to reduce the failures of learning foreign language. Speaking and listening are important skills in learning English. Due to the significant of speaking and listening itself, there are some functions of speaking and listening for the users and respondents. The functions of those can be seen clearly in the application of speaking in daily life such as to communication, express the idea orally, give command to the other persons, etc. In addition, the method of learning speaking and listening must be taken in the learning process to achieve the goal of learning foreign language. Based on the previous explanation, it is intriguing to find out the method that it is appropriated with the technique of teaching speaking and listening. However, there are many methods of teaching speaking such as The Direct Method, Audio Lingual Method, The Grammar Translation Method, etc. Therefore, this paper will focus on the application of Audio Lingual Method in English speakingclass.

Keywords--Technique, Speaking, Method, Audio Lingual Method, Repetition drill, Dialogs memorization

I. INTRODUCTION

Teaching is the process of carrying out the activities in getting students to learn. Teaching becomes a process of assisting performance, rather than controlling and testing. Teaching can be defined as showing or helping someone or helping someone to learn how to do something, providing the knowledge, to know or understand. Teaching is the process of transferring the information to the object or the learners, in the learning process at school it is also the activity that the teacher is explained or presented the material to the students and the students are given attention to the explanation of teacher and there are an interaction during the learning process. While, teaching speaking is the process of making students to produce or practice the target and express it orally, actually, the material from the teacher to the students must be appropriated with the syllabus of the certain school, in order that the students are able to absorb it and they will be able to communicate by using the target language (English) orally. Most of the students still get the difficulties in using English for communication. It is caused many factors including the limited students' vocabularies and knowledge of grammar, even the method may used by the teacher in teaching English. The other factors that may influence the students speaking ability are the lack of practicing English in their daily life

Received: 22 Sep 2019 | Revised: 13 Oct 2019 | Accepted: 15 Jan 2020 2999

¹Senior teacher of Samarkand State Architectural and Civil Engineering Institute, Uzbekistan., dildoraibragimova1981@gmail.com.

²Senior teacher of Samarkand State Architectural and Civil Engineering Institute, Uzbekistan., deniskristenst@gmail.com.

ISSN: 1475-7192

because most of their friends speak Bahasa Indonesia and not all person in the home can be a model of learning the target language, so students are not able to speak English spontaneously, fluently, and comprehensively because they are afraid of the fault and they are afraid of taking a risk.

II. METHODOLOGY

One method teacher usually used is Audio Lingual Method. Applying Audio Lingual Method in the speaking and listening class is challenging, especially applying Audio Lingual Method in speaking class. However, due to time and the length opaper, there are several limitations: 1) Audio Lingual Method. And 3) the application of ALM in the teaching Speaking class. The Audio-lingual method, like the direct method, is also an oral approach. However, it is very different in that rather than emphasizing vocabulary acquisition through exposure to its use in situations, the Audio-lingual method drills students in the use of grammatical sentence patterns (Larsen-Freeman, 2000). They added that it was thought that the way to acquire the sentence patterns of the target language was through conditioning helping learners to respond correctly to stimuli through shaping and reinforcement. Thus, the audio lingual method refers to the method that it is compressed on the chain drill to mastery the target language by memorizing and repeat, and the wrongness of speaking is avoided. In audio-lingual activities are presented not only in drilling short patterns, but also in varieties of dialogues, which students have to listen to, repeat and memorize. Dialogues provide for students the structure and idea of how to use some types of patterns in some sort of situations. Usually dialogues illustrate socio-cultural situations of a target language, such as greeting, opinion exchanges, likes or dislikes, standard safe topics (weather, hobbies...etc.) that help students to memorize which utterance is suitable for each situation. By repeating and memorizing whole dialogue or some specific parts of it, learners should emphasize on proper pronunciation, intonation, stress and rhythm usage. Brown (2001, p.23) stated that the audio lingual method was firmly grounded in linguistic and psychological theory. He added it is advocated conditioning and habit-formation models of learning that were perfectly married with the mimicry drills and pattern practices of audio lingual methodology

III. MAIN PART

The audio lingual method is an approach that is emphasized the vocabulary and is trained the grammatical sentence pattern based on the context and without error. It was believed that much practice of the dialogues would develop oral language proficiency or based on the ability or the qualification of speaking.

Larsen-Freeman(2000, p.47-50) provides expanded descriptions of some common or typical techniques closely associated with the Audio lingual Method. (1) *Dialogs memorization*: Students memorize an opening dialog using mimicry and applied role playing. (2) *Backward Build-up (Expansion Drill):* Teacher breaks a line into several parts; students repeat each part starting at the end of the sentence and "expanding" backwards through the sentence, adding each part in sequence. (3) *Repetition drill:* Students repeat teacher's model as quickly and accurately as possible. (4) *Chain drill:* Students ask and answer each other one by one in a circular chain around the classroom. (5) *Single-slot Substitution drill:* Teacher states a line from the dialogue, and then uses a word or phrase as a "cue" that students, when repeating the line, must substitute into the sentence in the correct place. (6) *Multiple-slot*

DOI: 10.37200/IJPR/V24I4/PR201412

Received: 22 Sep 2019 | Revised: 13 Oct 2019 | Accepted: 15 Jan 2020

International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, Vol. 24, Issue 04, 2020

ISSN: 1475-7192

Substitution drill: Same as the single slot drill, except that there are multiple cues to be substituted into the line. (7)

Transformation drill: Teacher provides a sentence that must be turned into something else, for example a question

to be turned into a statement, an active sentence to be turned into negative statement, etc. (8) Question and Answer

drill: Students should answer or ask questions very quickly. (9) Use Minimal Pairs Analysis: teacher selects a pair of

words that sound identical except for a single sound that typically poses difficulty for the learners-students are to

pronounce and differentiate the two words. (10) *Complete the dialog:* Selected words are erased from a line in the

dialog-students must find andinsert. (11) Grammar games: Various games designed to practice a grammar point in

context, using lots of repetition. In the Audio Lingual method, the classroom atmosphere is the main actor.

IV. DISCUSSIONS

Creating a relaxed classroom, nonthreatening learning environment is essential for achieve the successful of

learning process. The goal is that students will assimilate the content of the lessons without feeling any type of

stress or might bored. Classrooms are equipped with comfortable seating arrangements and make into a comfortable

to learn.

Typically, the procedure in an audio-lingual course would be: a). Students hear a model dialogue b). Students

repeat each line of the dialogue c). Certain key words or phrases may be changed in the dialogue d). Key structures

from the dialogue serve as the basis for pattern drills of different kinds. e). The students practice substitutions in the

pattern drills.

The typical techniques of Audio Lingual Method are emphasized the memorization, and mimicry. Larsen-

Freeman (2000, p.47-50) provides expanded descriptions of some common or typical techniques closely associated

with the Audio lingual Method: Dialog memorization, Backward Build-up (Expansion Drill), Repetition drill,

Chain drill, Single-slot Substitution drill, Multiple-slot Substitution drill, Transformation drill, Question and

Answer drill, Use Minimal Pairs Analysis, Complete the dialogue, and Grammar games. But not all the techniques

can be applied in the speaking class. The problems are located in the students need, the capacity of students, and the

learning style of students. The most technique is used by the teacher are Dialog memorization, Repetition drill and

Complete the dialog.

V. CONCLUSION

Based on the explanation above, it is obvious that the techniques of teaching speaking using Audio Lingual

Method are good. There are three most common of technique that is used by the teacher to teach speaking through

Audio Lingual Method, such as dialog memorization, repetition drill and complete the dialog. The effectiveness of

the technique are improved the speaking ability of the students, the performance index can be tested, the

authenticity of the dialog or the text is important. However, the attainment is demanded on the both aspect; teacher

and students.

DOI: 10.37200/IJPR/V24I4/PR201412

Received: 22 Sep 2019 | Revised: 13 Oct 2019 | Accepted: 15 Jan 2020

3001

REFERENCES

- Brown, H.D. (2001). Teaching by Principles: An interactive Approach to Language pedagogy; 2nd Ed. New York: Pearson Education Company.
- 2. Larsen, Dianne. (2000). Technique and Principles in Language Teaching. Oxford: Oxford Publisher.
- 3. Sobirov, B. (2018). Innovative development of tourism in Uzbekistan. American Journal of Economics and Business Management, 1(1), 60-74.
- 4. Muhs, D. et al. (2003). Roloff/Matek mechanical parts, 16th ed. Wiesbaden: Vieweg Verlag,. 791 p. (In German). ISBN 3-528-07028-5.
- 5. Sobirov, B. (2018). The concept of the tourist economic zone. Case of Uzbekistan. World Scientific News, 98, 34-45.
- 6. Air by Active Sampling on TENAX TA Sorbent, Thermal Desorption and Gas Chromatography using MSD/FID.
- 7. Geneva, International Organization for Standardization.
- 8. Bobur, S., & Mashkhura, A. (2019). Economic essence and organizational features of free tourist zones. The case of Uzbekistan. *International Journal on Economics, Finance and Sustainable Development, 1*(1), 1-6.
- 9. Goon, B. (2005). Effects of excessive drinking on sport participation. Retrieved on 7. 8. 2006,
- 10. Ramzi abdulrashed abdulkhaleq gazem, sharada angatahally chandrashekariah (2016) pharmacological properties of salvia hispanica (chia) seeds: a review. Journal of Critical Reviews, 3 (3), 63-67.
- 11. Narayanan, K. (2018). Analysis of Energy Efficient Routing Scheme with EE-OLSR Energy Protocol in Mobile Ad hoc Network. *Journal of Computational Information Systems*, 14(6), 164 168.
- 12. Bimbil Jabbar, & Kanagaraj, G. (2015). Stability Estimation of 8T-SRAM Cell Using Non-Linear Region. *International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Emerging Technology*, 7(6), 386-392.
- 13. Anitha, S., Chandran, C.P. Review on Analysis of Gene Expression Data Using Biclustering Approaches (2016) Bonfring International Journal of Data Mining, 6 (2), pp. 16-23.
- 14. Prathibha, P.H., Chandran, C.P. Classification Mining SNPs from Leukaemia Cancer Dataset Using Linear Classifier with ACO (2016) Bonfring International Journal of Data Mining, 6 (2), pp. 10-15.