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#### Abstract

The analytical analysis of flat drills stability variation at opening processing in structural steels is considered. The technique and software for research, of tools stability variation by method of simulation modeling is developed. It is shown that at given modes of processing, average value of stability decreases with ascending of bars hardness variation level. the received expression allows to determine quantity of openings $Z_{x}$ drilling by one tool before its blunting at a variation of hardness of material of raw material by the set distribution law and at the set modes of cutting of $V_{1}$ and $S_{1}$
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays there is a significant progress in the theory and practice in the field of technologist and machining of details by creation of new tool materials. Improvement of geometry of the tool and construction of machines is one of the important issues is the workability of cutting depending on a great number of factors as dispersion of firmness approximately for $50 \%$ is explained by a variation of dimensional parameters of tools even at their compliance to

[^0]requirements of GOST standards. As practice shows, a half of the cases of instability of cutting tools' quality occurs owing to a variation of the sizes, and other half - owing to metallurgical errors $[5,6,11]$.

Usually the operability of the drilling tool under standard conditions of processing is estimated experimentally on number of the processed openings before final fracture of the cutting edges. It is rather expensive and can lead to the wrong outputs.

An essential lack of these methods of a research is also that one combination of experimental values of cutting speed and giving cannot give information on how the firmness of a drill at minor change of these parameters will change. Thus, construction of a drill, a grade of material of the cutting part or a type of heat treatment that under some experimental conditions provide the maximum working capacity under other experimental conditions can correspond to the minimum working capacity. Similar provisions arise when the classical equation of Taylor is reliable, but exponents of this equation differ and respectively the simple tolerance equation is inapplicable for drilling process evaluation. Therefore, results of short-term tolerance tests with marginal conditions of cutting cannot be applicable for assessment of operability of drills under production conditions $[1,3,6,10]$. In reference books and standards for the modes of cutting considerable is saved up and generalized in the form of empirical degree dependences experimental material on processing of different materials drills of different construction. Therefore, development of a technique of using this information is great practical interest to assessment of dispersion of toolfirmness under different conditions of its operation [3-6]. The analysis of the known tolerance dependences shows that it is directly impossible to evaluate parameters of dispersion of firmness of the tool from empirical process models of drilling as this dependence does not reflect internal communications between factors, and considers only average result of joint impact of separate factors on firmness of the tool. Additional information on the nature of process of wear of the tool when processing big an array of openings can be obtained, but to techniques of simulation modeling of implementation of wear in the course of machining [2-3, 5-6].

## II. METHODOLOGY

Drilling process by one tool is possible until the value of the saved-up wear did not reach critical value, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{x}}} \overline{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{HBi}}} \leq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{kp}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $z_{x}$ - quantity of the openings processed by one drill until achievement of wear of $h_{k} ; h_{H B i}$ - the value of average wear of a drill when processing an opening in preparation with HB hardness.

The quantity of openings of $z_{x}$ drilled by one tool before its flooding at a variation of hardness of material of preparations on the set distribution law and at the set modes of cutting of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}$ can be defined from expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{x}}}(\mathrm{HB})^{\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{m}} \leq \mathrm{C} \cdot\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{m}-1} \cdot \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{y}}\right)^{1 / \mathrm{m}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathrm{HB}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (2) parameter represents a random element from the sequence of the numbers imitating the distribution law of firmness of preparation in kit of parts. At the same time selection of an element is carried out without repetitions (the selected element is removed from the sequence of numbers). The value of firmness is defined by expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{osn}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{osn}}$, - the main time of processing of an opening.
The program for personal computer that is carrying out process of simulation of tests of drills when processing preparations from steel 45 at change of firmness of preparations on the set law is developed for implementation of a numerical experiment $[3,4,12,13,15]$.

For carrying out a simulation experiment, it is necessary to prepare the basic data including:

- Parameters of an empirical process model of drilling;
- The recommended range of giving ( 45 tools with BK8 hard alloy became $S_{\text {min }}, S_{\text {max }}$ ) for processing.

Conditions restriction for productivity of operations of drilling, according to process requirements for definition by the program of range of cutting speeds $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {min }}, \mathrm{V}_{\max }\right)$, lie in limits:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{osn}} \leq 1,2 \mathrm{minT}_{\mathrm{iSR} \min } \geq \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ost}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{iSRmin}}$ - the minimum firmness of the tool in a point $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ spaces of the modes of cutting.
Sampling tests of drills for each batch of preparations ( 9 drills are tested) when drilling preparations with three different hardness of $\mathrm{HB}_{\text {min }}, \mathrm{HB}_{0}, \mathrm{HB}_{\text {max }}$ for definition of type of dependence of wear from time were carried out.

According to the developed technique, 20 series of experiments with testing in each series of the first batch of tools in number of 25 pieces for the purpose of impact on assessment of random waves of hardness of material of preparation are conducted to kits of parts. However, to the normal law on a variation of firmness of the tool and to change of power parameters of process of cutting of $\mathrm{P}_{0}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{kp}}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{\text {eff }}$.

Experiments were made for operating conditions of pointed drills with plates from BK8 when processing deaf openings with a diameter of 25 mm of steel 45 on depth of 75 mm . The variation of firmness of preparations in lot of products made Var $\mathrm{HB}=0 \% ; 5 \% ; 10 \% ; 15 \% ; 20 \%$ at the same average of values of hardness of $\mathrm{HB} 0=2235$ MPas, corresponding for steel 45 in accordance with GOST 22761-77 and to value $\sigma_{\mathrm{B}}=610 \mathrm{MPa}$.

In figure 1, the diagrams of change of a variation of firmness of pointed drills depending on change of the modes of cutting constructed by results of simulation modeling of implementation of wear in processing of openings are submitted.


Figure 1. Dependence of a variation of firmness of drills on the cutting modes. Results of simulation modeling of processing of structural steel $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{B}}=610 \mathrm{MPa} ; \mathrm{HBo}=2235 \mathrm{MPa} ; \mathrm{VarHB}=20 \%\right)$ pointed drills with plates from BK8

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The analysis of the obtained design data allows noting that at the set VarHB level the variation of firmness of drills increases with increase in the modes of cutting. At the set cutting modes V and S mean value of firmness decrease with increase of level of a variation of firmness of preparations (VarHB). However, relative change of a variation of firmness of tools is small in comparison with similar relative change of a variation of firmness of preparations [19, 20].

The value of average firmness falls along with increase in a variation of firmness, at practical operation of the tool under production conditions it leads to the fact that the unreasonable intensification of the modes of cutting leads to rapprochement of the lower and upper borders of an interval of admissible change of firmness.

In figure 1 the flowchart of a technique of calculations of the modes of cutting when drilling openings is submitted. Seven stages of a new technique follow from this flowchart [4, 16, 17, 18].


Input (reading) of basic data

- processed material D, L, ofe, HBo, VarHB, $K_{r}, A_{1} A_{2}, n, z N \%$
- Parameters of an empirical process model of drilling $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{v}}, \mathrm{q}, y, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Cp}, \mathrm{CM}, \mathrm{KMP}, \mathrm{ym}, \mathrm{yp}, \mathrm{XM}, \mathrm{Xp}$.
- Data on the used tool * TipKonIns\$, Mins\$, hкр, Feд.и, Tpiece, TIpModIn\%, VT, Nin, Nser
- Data on the used equipment Machine model, [POmax], [Mkmax], [Nmax], FeD.st, C1st.min $\mathrm{s}=<\mathrm{sl}, \mathrm{s} 2, \mathrm{~s} 3, \ldots, \mathrm{sl}>, 1=1, \mathrm{~ns}$ $\mathrm{n}=\langle\mathrm{nl}, \mathrm{n} 2, \mathrm{n} 3, \ldots, \mathrm{nj}>, \mathrm{J}=\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{nv}$
- The recommended range of the modes of cutting for practical use under the set conditions (sRmin, sRmax) и (VRmin, VRmax)
- Technical requirements and restrictions to technological process and drilling operation TipPRS - type of production, nSM - number of shifts a day T1SM - duration of the 1st shift in minutes PRDG - Necessary program of release of details <piece>, T PRDG - time, released on execution of program details (day),
- Restrictions for the range of change of replaceable productivity of operation of drilling (Hsmv_min, Hsmv_max)
- Restrictions for the range of change of the working tool batch resources (Rins_min,

Rins_max)
Restrictions for the range of cost change of processing of an opening for drilling operations! (Clopn_min, Clopn_max)

Stage 1. Definition of the allowed borders of change of the main technological time (PROG, TPROG, nSM ) - ( $\left.\mathrm{t}_{\text {piece_ }} \min , \mathrm{t}_{\text {piece__ }} \max \right)$ - (-tosn_min, -tosn_max $)$

$$
\text { tosn_min }=\frac{\text { T1SM TPRDG nSM }}{\text { PRDG }(1+\text { EPSsmax })} \quad \text { tosn_max }=\frac{\text { T1SM TPRDG nSM }}{\text { PRDG }(1+\text { EPSsmin })}
$$





Figure 2. Sequence of Definition of the Modes of Cutting providing the set probability p trouble-free operation of the axial tool in the presence of the limiting factors.

## Stage 1. Definition of the allowed borders of change of the main technological time

Proceeding from the PROG program of release of details, the set time of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{PROG}}$ of execution of a task and amount of shifts of $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SM}}$ in day, borders of change of the main time are determined by the scheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P R O G, T_{P R O G}, n_{S M}\right) \rightarrow\left(t_{\text {piece_min }}, t_{\text {piece_max }}\right) \rightarrow\left(t_{\text {osn_min }}, t_{\text {osn_max }}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Necessary average replaceable productivity (median number of the details processed for one shift) providing release of the PROG program of details for preset time of $\mathrm{T}_{\text {PROG }}$ can be determined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{H_{S m v}}=\frac{P R O G}{T_{P R O G} \cdot n_{S M}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to dependences $T_{\text {piece }}=t_{o s n} \cdot\left(1+e p s+\frac{t_{s i n}}{T}\right)$ and $H_{S M V}=\frac{492}{T_{p i e c e}}$ it is possible to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{H_{s m v}}=\frac{T_{I S M}}{T_{p i e c e}}=\frac{T_{I S M}}{t_{\text {osn }} \cdot\left(1+e p s+t_{\text {sin }} / T\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Eq.(6) and Eq.(7), accepting $\mathrm{T}_{1 S M}=492 \mathrm{~min}$ and neglecting the value $\mathrm{t}_{\text {sin }} / \mathrm{T}$ in expression Eq.(7) as very small in comparison with eps we will receive

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{o S n} \approx \frac{492 \cdot T_{P R O G} \cdot n_{S M}}{P R O G \cdot(1+e p s)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting borders of change of eps: eps $_{\text {min }}$ and eps $_{\text {max }}$ taking into account specific conditions of production, we will receive expressions for assessment of borders of change of the main technological time for operations of drilling taking into account ensuring the set productivity

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\text {osn_min }}=\frac{492 \cdot T_{P R O G} \cdot n_{S M}}{P R O G \cdot\left(1+e p s_{\max }\right)} ; t_{\text {OSn_max }}=\frac{492 \cdot T_{P R O G} \cdot n_{S M}}{P R O G \cdot\left(1+e p s_{\min }\right)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Stage 2. The choice of level of reliability at operation of drills

Level of reliability of $p$ (probability of trouble-free operation) of operation of the tool can be selected on the basis of design data for economic reasons, or be set proceeding from requirements to operation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{p}=0.95-\text { on automatic transfer lines at almost deserted technology, } \\
& \mathrm{p}=0.92-\text { on CNC machines, the processing centers, } \\
& \mathrm{p}=0.9-\text { at multi machine service, } \\
& \mathrm{p}=0.8-\text { in line mass production on a general purpose equipment, } \\
& \mathrm{p}=0.5-\text { in single production on a general-purpose equipment. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stage 3. Definition of a set admissible giving $\left\{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{adm}}\right\}$

Based on data on the tool, the equipment, normative data and data of reference books we determine the maximum allowed giving for processing of openings in this material by the instrument of the set construction and a standard size. The maximum allowed giving needs to be selected similarly, as well as in a traditional way proceeding from restrictions for giving:
$s_{l d-}$ the maximum allowed giving from a drill durability condition,
$s_{2 \delta^{-}}$the maximum allowed giving from a condition of durability of the mechanism of giving of the machine,
$s_{30}$ - the maximum allowed giving from a condition of durability of the mechanism of the main movement of the machine,

The maximum allowed giving is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{m a x_{-} \partial}=\min \{s 1 \partial, s 2 \partial, s 3 \partial, \ldots, s k \partial\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{R}}{ }_{\text {min }}, \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{R}}{ }_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{s}_{\text {max_ }} \mathrm{d}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{s}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}, \mathrm{~s}_{3} \ldots, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$ - a number sequence of the feed motion provided with machine kinematics set a set of admissible feed motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{s_{a d m}\right\}=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, \ldots ., s_{r}\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{r}$ - the greatest feed motion from a number of feed motion provided with kinematics of the machine and meeting a condition of $s_{r} \leq s_{\text {max_ }}$, , and each element of a number of feed motions to conditions: $s_{\text {min }}^{R} \leq s_{i} \leq s_{\text {max }}^{R}$

## Stage 4. Definition of a set of admissible cutting speeds

Set of admissible cutting speeds $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\text {adm }}\right\}$ find in the following sequence:

- considering the range of the recommended speeds of $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{R}}{ }_{\text {min }}, \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{R}}{ }_{\text {max }}$ and a number sequence of the turns of a spindle of the machine provided with kinematics of the machine determine a number of cutting speeds: $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}=\left\{\pi \cdot D \cdot n_{j} / 1000\right\}$, where $\mathrm{j}=1, \mathrm{n}_{j}$ - meeting conditions: $V^{R}{ }_{\text {min }} \leq V_{j} \leq V_{\text {max }}^{R}$;
- using dependence $t_{o s n}=\pi \cdot D_{o m} \cdot \frac{L_{o p n}+L_{b}}{s} \cdot n$ by method of consecutive search of feed motion from a row $\left\{\mathrm{s}_{\text {adm }}\right\}$ and cutting speeds from $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}$ discard all those cutting speeds from a row $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}$ which do not provide execution of conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\text {osn_min }} \leq t_{\text {osn }} \leq t_{\text {osn_max }} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result of execution of the first four stages we receive ordered sets of admissible feed motion $\left\{s_{\text {adm }}\right\}=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.s_{k}\right\}$ and admissible cutting speeds $\left\{V_{a d m}\right\}=\left\{V_{l}, V_{2}, V_{3}, \ldots, V_{s v}\right\} . k \cdot s v$ of pair combinations defines a set of nodes of space of the modes of cutting $\left\{s_{i x}, V_{j y}\right\}, i x=1, k$ and $j y=1, s v$ satisfying to a ratio Eq.(12).

Stage 5. Determination of parameter of dispersion of firmness of the tool on a set $\left\{s_{i x}, V_{j y}\right\}$ nodes of space of the modes of cutting

By means of the program's module which is carrying out simulation modeling of implementations of wear of the tool determine parameters of the law of dispersion of firmness. And this law for the axial tool ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{SR}}$ and $\operatorname{Var} \mathrm{T}$ ) for each node of
space of the modes of cutting $\left\{s_{i x}, V_{j y}\right\}$ at dispersion of hardness of material of preparations by the normal distribution law with parameters $\left(H B_{o}, \operatorname{Var} H B\right)$. As a result for each node, $\mathrm{Uz}(\mathrm{ix}, \mathrm{jy})=\left\{s_{i x}, V_{j y}\right\}$ spaces of the modes of cutting the module generates a data unit:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N u m U z, V, s, t_{o s v}, T_{S R}, R_{i n s,}, \operatorname{VarT} T, P_{o}, M_{\kappa p}, N_{э \phi \phi}\right)_{i x, j y} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The representing set of input data for the module calculating technical and economic parameters of operation of drilling. Data sets in the form of the sequence of records save in work files of data.

Stage 6. Determination of technical and economic parameters of operation on the set $\left\{s_{i x}, V_{j y}\right\}$ nodes of space of the modes of cutting

Determine parameters of operation of drilling for each node of space of the modes of cutting by the module of the program performing calculations of technical and economic parameters $\left\{\mathrm{s}_{i x}, \mathrm{~V}_{j y}\right\}$ at the set level p of reliability of operation of the tool, or (if this level is not determined yet) at reliability levels: $p_{1}=0.95 ; p_{2}=0.90 ; p_{3}=0.8 ; p_{4}=0.7$; $p_{5}=0.6$ and $p_{6}=0.5$.

The module creates for each node of a grid $\left\{S_{i x}, V_{j y}\right\}$ a complex of data $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Dix}, \mathrm{jy}}$ including the following data elements:
\{ (NumUz,V, $s, t_{\text {osn }}, T_{S R}, R_{\text {inss }}, \operatorname{VarT}, P_{o}, M_{\kappa p}, N_{\text {эф }}$ )
$\left(t_{\text {piece }}, T_{p}, R_{\text {inp }}, H_{s m v}, S P_{\text {fin }} z C_{I N}, z C_{\text {OB }}, z C_{\text {ЭН }}, z C_{\text {зap } n \neg} z C_{\text {sum }}, C 1_{\text {opn }}\right)_{p 1}$
$\left(t_{\text {piece }}, T_{p}, R_{\text {inp }}, H_{\text {smv }}, S P_{\text {fin }} z C_{I N}, z C_{\text {OB }}, z C_{\text {ЭН }}, z C_{\text {зap_nı }} z C_{\text {sum }}, C 1_{\text {opn }}\right)_{p 2}$
$\left(t_{\text {piece }}, T_{p}, R_{\text {inp }}, H_{\text {smv }}, S P_{\text {fin }} z C_{\text {IN }}, z C_{\text {OB }}, z C_{\text {ЭH, }}, z C_{\text {sap_nv }} z C_{\text {sum }}, C 1_{\text {opn }}\right)_{p 3}$
$\left(t_{\text {piece }}, T_{p}, R_{\text {inp }}, H_{s m v}, S P_{\text {fin }} z C_{I N}, z C_{\text {OB }}, z C_{\text {ЭH }}, z C_{\text {sap }} \text { nı }, z C_{\text {sum }}, C 1_{\text {opn }}\right)_{p 4}$
$\left(t_{\text {piece }}, T_{p}, R_{\text {inp }}, H_{\text {smv }}, S P_{\text {fin }} z C_{I N}, z C_{\text {OB }}, z C_{\text {ЭH, }}, z C_{\text {sap_nv }} z C_{\text {sum }}, C 1_{\text {opn }}\right)_{p 5}$
$\left(t_{\text {piece }}, T_{p}, R_{\text {inp }}, H_{\text {smı }}, S P_{\text {fin }} z C_{I N}, z C_{\text {OB }}, z C_{\text {ЭН }}, z C_{\text {зap_nı }} z C_{\text {sum }}, C 1_{\text {opn }}\right)_{p 6} \quad \jmath_{i x, j y}$

Where $\mathrm{k}=1-6$ - the index corresponding to $\mathrm{BD}_{\mathrm{pk}}$ data unit in Eq.(13) concluded in parentheses and containing the list of the data calculated by the module at value of probability of trouble-free operation of the $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}_{k}$ tool.
ix, jy - the indexes corresponding to a complex of data $\mathrm{KD}_{\mathrm{ix}, \mathrm{jy}}$ in Eq.(13) concluded in curly brackets and containing the list of data units $\mathrm{BD}_{\mathrm{pk}}$ at change of k from 1 to 6 .

The set of complexes of data of $\mathrm{KD}_{\mathrm{ix}}$, jy for each node of a grid $\left\{S_{i x}, V_{j y}\right\}$ saves the module in the form of the sequence of entries in the work file with the set name, representing the repository for technical expertise dynamic database with records of variable structure (the number of the considered levels of reliability can change).

The received DB then is used for definition of the rational modes of cutting depending on the set optimality criterion and the set system of technical and economic restrictions.

## Stage 7. Definition of the rational modes of cutting on operation of drilling at the set level of reliability of operation of the tool

By means of the module of the program which is carrying out the choice of the modes of cutting carry out search of combinations of the modes ( $s_{i x}$ and $V_{j y}$ ), answering to the set of conditions (restrictions) at the set level p of reliability of
operation of the tool, or (if this level is not determined) at 6 fixed reliability levels: $p_{1}=0.95 ; p_{2}=0.90 ; p_{3}=0.8 ; p_{4}=0.7$; $p_{5}=0.6$ and $p_{6}=0.5$.

The module carries out search and the module carries out data sampling from repository for technical expertise by method of full search of all nodes of space of the admissible modes of cutting $\mathrm{Uz}(i x, j y)$ the set conditions (restrictions) written in the database and checks of each node on compliance of set. In figure 2 the enlarged flowchart of the module of the program which is carrying out search and data sampling from a DB (database) is submitted.

In the course of search of a solution, the module creates the list of nodes of the set restrictions satisfying sets. At the same time, there can be three cases:
a) The list of nodes (number of solutions) consists of one element. In this case the set restrictions satisfies only one combination ( $s, V$ ), being the only solution.
b) The quantity of nodes in the list exceeds unit. In this case the set of restrictions satisfies a set of combinations $\left(s_{i}, V_{j}\right)$, each of which can be accepted as a solution.
c) The list of nodes (number of solutions) does not contain any element, i.e. Nil is. In this case the set of restrictions does not satisfy any node of space of the admissible modes of cutting $U_{z}(i x, j y)$, i.e. the objective has no solution at the set of restrictions.

## Examples of calculations of the modes of cutting by a new technique

By the developed technique databases for definition of the modes of cutting on operation of drilling of a deaf opening of $\varnothing 25 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\mathrm{L}=75 \mathrm{~mm}$ in depth in steel 12X18H10T. Instruments of three different constructions generated them: spiral drills from P6M5, pointed drills with plates from BK8 and drills with MNS structure equipped with trihedral throwaway plates from T15K6.

Basic data, results of simulation modeling of wear of drills and the generated repository for technical expertise for each construction of the tool are presented in table 1 application.

In table 4 the sequence of forming of a repository for technical expertise according to the technique described above is shown, examples of definition of the rational modes of cutting corresponding to different sets of technical and economic restrictions to drilling operation are presented in tables 1-2 As an example 8 different systems of technical and economic restrictions for definition of the modes of cutting for levels of reliability of operation 0.95 are considered; 0.90 and 0.80 for each type of the considered tool:


Figure 3.
Table 1. Result of work of programming module of the parameter of dispersion of firmness of the axial tool implementing a simulation experiment by definition

| i | i |  | V |  | S |  | tos. in | ${\underset{\mathbf{s r}}{ }}^{\text {ren }}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | o | $\underset{\text { eff }}{ }$ | N | vThb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 00 |  | 8.01 |  | 0. |  | 2. | 4 | 93 | 193 | . $87 \quad 0$ |  | 0.126 |
|  |  |  | 350 |  | 100 |  | 46 | 8.4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1. | 2 | 12.8 |  | 0. |  | 3. | ${ }^{1} 6.5$ | 48 | 725 | 3 | 0 | 0.136 |
|  | 60 |  |  | 150 |  | 060 | 58 |  |  |  | . 69 |  |  |
|  | 1. |  | 12.8 |  | 0. |  | 2. | 1 | ${ }^{27}$ | 427 | 4 | 0 | 0.143 |
| 7 | 60 | 2 |  | 200 |  | 300 | 18 | 3.6 |  |  | . 87 |  |  |
|  | 1. |  | 12.8 |  | 0. |  | 1 | 9 | 17 | 061 | 5 | 1 | 0.151 |
| 8 | 60 | 2 |  | 250 |  | . 840 | 5 | 5.1 | 3 |  | . 05 |  |  |
|  | 1. |  | 12.8 |  | 0. |  | 1 | 7. | 12 | 646 | 5 | 1 | 0.167 |
| 9 | 60 | 2 |  | 300 |  | . 530 | 9 | 1.6 | 3 |  | . 22 |  |  |
|  | 2 |  | 12.8 |  | 0. |  | 1 | 6 | 89 | 193 | 6 | 1 | 0.78 |
| 0 | 60 | 2 |  | 350 |  | . 310 | 8 | . 4 | 3 |  | . 39 |  |  |
|  | 2 |  | 16.0 |  | 0. |  | 2. | 6 | ${ }^{15}$ | 725 | 3 | 0 | 0.180 |
| 1 | 00 | 2 |  | 150 |  | 450 | 5 | 9.7 |  |  | . 86 |  |  |
|  | 2 |  | 16.0 |  | 0. |  | 1. | 4 | 89 | 427 | 4 | 1 | 0.185 |
| 2 | 00 | 2 |  | 200 |  | 840 | 8 | . 8 | 2 |  | . 09 |  |  |
|  | 2. |  | 16.0 |  | 0. |  | 1. | 3 | 57 | 061 | 5 | 1 | 0.192 |
| 3 |  | 2 |  | 250 |  | 470 | 9 | . 5 |  |  | . 31 |  |  |
|  | 2 |  | 16.0 |  | 0. |  | 1. | 3 | ${ }^{39}$ | 646 | 5 | 1 | 0.199 |
| 4 | 00 | 2 |  | 300 |  | 230 | 2 | . 9 |  |  | . 53 |  |  |
|  | 00 |  | 16.0 |  | 0. |  | 1. | 2 | 29 | 193 | ${ }^{6} .73$ | 1 | 0.204 |
| 5 | 00 | 2 |  | 350 |  | 050 | 7 | . 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  | 20.0 |  | 0. |  | 1. | 2 | 52 | 725 | 3 | 1 | 0.211 |
| 6 | 50 | 3 |  | 150 |  | 960 | 6 | . 3 |  |  | . 07 |  |  |
|  | 2 |  | 20.0 |  | 0. |  | 1. | 1 | 29 | 427 | 4 | 1 | 0.216 |
| 7 | 50 | 3 |  | 200 |  | 470 | 9 | . 4 | 2 |  | . 36 |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{50}$ |  | 20.0 |  | 0. |  | 1. | 1 | 18 | 061 | 5 | 1 | 0.221 |
| 8 |  | 3 |  | 250 |  | 180 | 5 | ,8 |  |  | . 64 |  |  |
|  | 2 |  | 20.0 |  | 0 |  | 0. | 1 | 13 | 646 | 5 | 1 | 0.227 |
| 9 | 50 | 3 |  | 300 |  | 980 | 3 | . 1 |  |  | . 91 |  |  |
|  | 3 |  | 20.0 |  | 0. |  | 0. | 1 | 9. |  | 6 | 2 | 0.232 |
| 0 | 50 | 3 |  | 350 |  | 840 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 193 | . 17 |  |  |
|  | 3 |  | 24.0 |  | 0. | . 630 | 1. | . 0 | 21 |  | 3 | 1 | 0.212 |
| 1 | 00 | 3 |  | 150 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 725 | . 29 |  |  |
|  | 3 |  | 24.0 |  | 0. |  | 1. |  | 11 |  | 4 | 1 | 0.217 |
| 2 | 00 | 3 |  | 200 |  | 230 |  | ${ }^{9} .8$ | 2 | 427 | . 63 |  | 0.217 |
|  | 3 |  | 24.0 |  | 0. |  | 0. |  | 7. |  | 5 | 1 | 0.226 |
| 3 | 00 | 3 |  | 250 |  | 980 |  | ${ }_{6}$ | 3 | 061 | . 97 |  | 0.226 |
|  | 3 |  | 24.0 |  | 0. |  | 0. | 6 | 5. |  | 5 | 2 | 0.232 |
| 4 | 00 | 3 |  | 300 |  | 820 |  | 3 | 3 | 646 | . 29 |  |  |
|  | 3 |  | 28.0 |  | 0. |  | 1. |  | 9. |  | 3 | 1 |  |
| 6 | 50 | 4 |  | 150 |  | 400 |  | 67 | 1 | 725 | . 50 |  | 0.215 |

Table 2. Repository for technical expertise- result of operation of the module of determination of technical and economic parameters of operation of drilling

| i |  | 1 | V | S | tosn | in | sr | k |  | $\mathrm{Po}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | Nef T | Var |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 8.01 | 0.35 | 2. 100 |  |  | 938.4 |  | 61 | 0.8 | 0 |
| 5 | 00 |  | 0 |  |  | 46 |  | 3 | 93 | 7 | . 304 |  |
|  |  |  | 12,82 | 0.15 | 3.060 |  |  | 486.5 |  | 37 | 0.6 | 0.30 |
| 6 | 60 |  | 0 |  |  | 58 |  | 1 | 25 | 9 | 9 |  |
|  |  |  | 12.82 | 0.20 | 2.300 |  |  | 273.6 |  | 44 | 0.8 | 0 |
| 7 | 60 |  | 0 |  |  | 18 |  | 2 | 27 | 7 | . 312 |  |
|  |  |  | 12.82 | 0.25 | 1.840 |  |  | 175.1 |  | 50 | 1.0 | 0 |
| 8 | 60 |  | 0 |  |  | 5 |  | 3 | 61 | 5 | . 316 |  |
|  |  |  | 12.82 | 0.30 | 1.530 |  |  | 121.6 |  | 56 | 1.2 | 0 |
| 9 | 60 |  | 0 |  |  | 9 |  | 3 | 46 | 2 | . 325 |  |
|  |  |  | 12.82 | 0.35 | 1.310 |  |  | 89.4 |  | 61 | 1.3 | 0 |
| 0 | 60 |  | 0 |  |  | 8 |  | 3 | 93 | 9 | . 331 |  |
|  |  | , | 16.02 | 0.15 | 2.450 |  |  | 159.7 |  | 37 | 0.8 | 0 |
| 1 | 00 |  | 0 |  |  | 5 |  | 1 | 25 | 6 | . 332 |  |
|  |  | , | 16.02 | 0.20 | 1.840 |  |  | 89.8 |  | 44 | 1.0 | 0 |
| 2 | 00 |  | 0 |  |  | 8 |  | 2 | 27 | 9 | . 335 |  |
|  |  | : | 16.02 | 0.25 | 1.470 |  |  | 57.5 |  | 50 | 1.3 | 0 |
| 3 | 00 |  | 0 |  |  | 9 |  | 3 | 61 | 1 | . 340 |  |
|  |  | : | 16.02 | 0.30 | 1.230 |  |  | 39.9 |  | 56 | 1.5 | 0 |
| 4 | 00 |  | 0 |  |  | 2 |  | 3 | 46 | 3 | . 344 |  |
|  |  | : | 16.02 | 0.35 | 1.050 |  |  | 29.3 |  | 61 | 1.7 | 0 |
| 5 | 00 |  | 0 |  |  | 7 |  | 3 | 93 | 3 | . 347 |  |
|  |  | : | 20 | 0.15 | 1.960 |  |  | 52.3 |  | 37 | 1.0 | 0 |
| 6 | 50 | . 03 | 0 |  |  | 6 |  | 1 | 25 | 7 | . 351 |  |
|  |  | : | 20 | 0.20 | 1.470 |  |  | 29.4 |  | 44 | 1.3 | 0 |
| 7 | 50 | ,03 | 0 |  |  | 9 |  | 2 | 27 | 6 | . 355 |  |
|  |  | : | 20 | 0,25 | 1. 180 |  |  | 18.8 |  | 50 | 1.6 | 0 |
| 8 | 50 | . 03 | 0 |  |  | 5 |  | 3 | 61 | 4 | . 358 |  |
|  |  | : | 20 | 0.30 | 0.980 |  |  | 13.1 |  | 56 | 1.9 | 0 |
| 9 | 50 | . 03 | 0 |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 46 | 1 | . 362 |  |
|  |  | : | 20.03 | 0.35 | 0.840 |  |  | 9.6 |  | 61 | 2.1 | 0 |
| 0 | 50 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 93 | 7 | . 365 |  |
|  |  | . | 24.03 | 0.15 | 1.630 |  |  | 21.0 |  | 37 | 1.2 | 0 |
| 1 | 00 |  | 0 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 25 | 9 | . 352 |  |
|  |  | . | 24.03 | 0.20 | 1.230 |  |  | 11.8 |  | 44 | 1.6 | 0 |
| 2 | 00 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 27 | 3 | . 355 |  |
|  |  | : | 24.03 | 0.25 | 0.980 |  |  | 7.6 |  | 50 | 1.9 | 0 |
| 3 | 00 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 61 | 7 | . 361 |  |
|  |  | . | 24.03 | 0.30 | 0.820 |  |  | 5.3 |  | 56 | 2.2 | 0 |
| 4 | 00 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 46 | 9 | . 365 |  |
|  |  | . | 28.04 | 0.15 | 1.400 |  |  | 9.7 |  | 37 | 1.5 | 0 |
| 6 | 50 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 25 | 0 | . 354 |  |

Table 3

| Tp | Dp | $\operatorname{Rinp}_{T}$ | TSH | $\mathrm{HP}_{\text {snv }}$ |  |  | zCIN | Eoper | Clotv |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 468.4 | 3583 | 223 | 3.046 | 161 | 1 | 9 | 1162 | 27084 | 168 |
| 938.4 | 7179 | 446 | 3.045 | 161 | 1 | 9 | 1162 | 27082 | 168 |
| 239.2 | 1830 | 78 | 4.439 | 110 | 1 | 9 | 1162 | 26958 | 245 |
| 486.5 | 3722 | 158 | 4.438 | 110 | 1 | 9 | 1162 | 26954 | 245 |
| 133.0 | 1017 | 57 | 3.338 | 147 | 1 | 9 | 1162 | 27092 | 184 |
| 273.6 | 2093 | 118 | 3.336 | 147 | 1 |  | 1162 | 27086 | 184 |
| 83.9 | 642 | 45 | 2.671 | 184 | 1 | 9 | 1162 | 27181 | 148 |
| 175.1 | 1340 | 95 | 2.670 | 184 | 1 |  | 1162 | 27171 | 148 |
| 56.6 | 433 | 36 | 2.223 | 221 | 1 |  | 1162 | 27228 | 123 |
| 121.6 | 930 | 79 | 2.220 | 221 | 1 | 9 | 1162 | 27213 | 123 |
| 40.7 | 311 | 31 | 1.904 | 258 |  |  | 2325 | 38918 | 151 |
| 89.4 | 684 | 68 | 1.902 | 258 | 1 | 9 | 1162 | 27268 | 106 |
| 72.4 | 554 | 29 | 3,558 | 138 | 1 | 9 | 1162 | 27099 | 196 |
| 159.7 | 1222 | 65 | 3.555 | 138 | 1 | 9 | 1162 | 27087 | 196 |
| 40.3 | 308 | 21 | 2.675 | 183 |  | 7 | 2325 | 38759 | 212 |
| 89.8 | 687 | 48 | 2.671 | 184 | 1 | 9 | 1162 | 27193 | 148 |
| 25.4 | 194 | 17 | 2.140 | 229 |  | 7 | 2325 | 38851 | 170 |
| 57.5 | 440 | 39 | 2.135 | 230 | 1 | 9 | 1162 | 27256 | 119 |
| 17.3 | 133 | 14 | 1.794 | 274 | 3 | 6 | 3488 | 50601 | 185 |
| 39.9 | 305 | 32 | 1.788 | 275 | 2 | 7 | 2325 | 38978 | 142 |
| 12.6 | 96 | и | 1.535 | 320 | 4 | 5 | 4651 | 62283 | 195 |
| 29.3 | 224 | 27 | 1.528 | 322 | 2 | 7 | 2325 | 39053 | 121 |
| 22.1 | 169 | 11 | 2.855 | 172 | 2 | 7 | 2325 | 38802 | 226 |
| 52.3 | 400 | 26 | 2.848 | 172 | 1 | 9 | 1162 | 27133 | 158 |
| 12.3 | 94 | 8 | 2.150 | 228 | 4 | 5 | 4651 | 62123 | 272 |
| 29.4 | 225 | 19 | 2.139 | 230 | 2 | 7 | 2325 | 38928 | 169 |
| 7.7 | 59 | 6 | 1.734 | 283 | 7 | 1 | 8140 | 97120 | 343 |
| 18.8 | 144 | 15 | 1.720 | 285 | 3 | 6 | 3488 | 50597 | 178 |
| 5.3 | 41 | 5 | 1.449 | 339 | 9 | 4658 | 10 | 120477 | 355 |
| 13.1 | 100 | 13 | 1.432 | 343 | 4 | 5 | 4651 | 62345 | 182 |
| 3.8 | 29 | 4 | 1.251 | 393 | 13 | 73 | 151 | 167089 | 425 |
| 9.6 | 73 | 11 | 1.231 | 399 | 5 | 3 | 5814 | 74058 | 186 |
| 8.8 | 68 | 5 | 2.391 | 205 | 6 | 2 | 6977 | 85359 | 416 |
| 21,0 | 161 | 12 | 2.375 | 207 | 3 | 6 | 3488 | 50522 | 244 |
| 4.9 | 38 | 3 | 1.821 | 270 | 12 | 44 | 1395 | 155288 | 575 |
| 11.8 | 90 | 9 | 1.799 | 273 | 4 | 5 | 4651 | 62248 | 228 |
| 3.1 | 24 | 3 | 1.469 | 334 | 15 | 30 | 1744 | 190242 | 570 |


| 7.6 | 58 | 7 | 1.440 | 341 | 7 | 1 | 8140 | 97245 | 285 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.1 | 16 | 2 | 1.247 | 394 | 26 | 46 | 3023 | 318279 | 808 |
| 5.3 | 41 | 6 | 1.212 | 405 | 9 | 58 | 1046 | 120598 | 298 |
| 4.1 | 31 | 2 | 2.082 | 236 | 16 | 59 | 1860 | 201745 | 855 |
| 9.7 | 74 | 6 | 2.052 | 239 | 6 | 2 | 6977 | 85435 | 357 |

Table 4. An example of definition of the modes of cutting (steel 12 X 18 H 10 T processing by a spiral drill from P6M5)

| № | List of restrictions | The list of nodes of a set of the modes of cutting answering to the set conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1,30 \leq t_{\text {osn }} \leq 1.75$ | 192023273136 |
| 2 | $220 \leq H_{S m v} \leq 235$ | 192327 |
| 3 | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} 1.30 \leq t_{\text {osn }} \leq 1.35 \\ R_{0.95} \geq 15 \end{array}\right.$ | 192327 |
| 4 | $\left\{\begin{aligned} 220 & \leq H_{s m v} \leq 235 \\ 15 & \leq R_{0.95} \leq 35 \end{aligned}\right.$ | 23 |
| 5 | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} 1,45 \leq t_{\text {osn }} \leq 1.55 \\ R_{0.95} \geq 40 \end{array}\right.$ | Nil |
| 6 | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} 220 \leq H_{s m v} \leq 235 \\ C 1 \text { otv } \rightarrow \text { min } \end{array}\right.$ | 19 |

## IV. Conclusion

The carried-out analytical calculations showed that:

- At low values of the modes of cutting ( $V_{i}$ and $S_{i}$ ) and respectively minor changes of coefficient of a variation of firmness of VarT of change of the value $S P_{\text {fin }}, C L_{\text {opn }}$ practically does not occur at change of a variation of firmness of preparations from 0 to $20 \%$ at all considered levels of reliability $p_{i}$;
- At mean values of the modes of cutting $\left(V_{i}\right.$ and $\left.S_{i}\right)$ and changes of coefficient of a variation of firmness of VarT from 0.125 to 0.237 observe a tendency to increase of the values $S P_{f i n_{-} P i}, C L_{o p n_{-} P i}$ at increase of a variation of firmness of preparations from 0 to $20 \%$.
- At great values of the modes of cutting ( $V_{i}$ and $S_{i}$ ) and changes of coefficient of a variation of firmness of VarT from 0.125 to 0.293 observe a tendency to sharp increase of the values $S P_{f_{\text {fin } P i}, C L_{o p n_{-} P i}}$ at increase of a variation of firmness of preparations from 0 to $20 \%$.
The developed technique allowed to generate the database for definition of the modes of cutting on operation of drilling of a deaf opening $\emptyset 25$ of mm of $\mathrm{L}=75 \mathrm{~mm}$ in depth. On this operation, steel 12 X 18 H 10 T instruments of three different
constructions (spiral drills from P6M5, pointed drills with plates from BK8 and drills with MNS structure equipped with trihedral throw-away plates of T15K6) are used.

The developed technique allows considering in the course of definition of the modes of cutting the wide accumulated experience on operation of tools in the most different conditions presented in standards for the modes of cutting and reference books, providing thereby objectivity of the calculated cutting modes to real conditions of processing.
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